P-ISSN: 2618-0723 E-ISSN: 2618-0731



NAAS Rating: 5.04 www.extensionjournal.com

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 7; Issue 8; August 2024; Page No. 339-341

Received: 24-06-2024 Indexed Journal
Accepted: 28-07-2024 Peer Reviewed Journal

Knowledge of stakeholders towards agricultural skill development training programme

¹DN Padaliya, ²HC Chhodvadia and ³NB Jadav

¹Ph. D. Scholar, Department of Agriculture Extension, College of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh, Rajasthan, India ²Associate Director of Extension Education, Directorate of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh, Rajasthan, India ³Director of Extension Education, Directorate of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh, Rajasthan, India

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2024.v7.i8e.934

Corresponding Author: DN Padaliya

Abstract

In India, a wide range of training programs are frequently organized with a particular focus on rural communities, farmers and economically disadvantaged groups. These programs aim to equip individuals with the necessary knowledge and skills to enhance their livelihoods. Training serves as a fundamental process through which individuals acquire new skills, develop positive attitudes and gain essential knowledge. This process is crucial not only for those entering a new profession but also for those seeking to improve their productivity within an existing organization or enterprise. By fostering the development of human resources, training plays a vital role in empowering individuals and strengthening communities. In first stage, out of seven FTCs of Saurashtra, four FTCs will be selected for the study on the basis of maximum number of farmers were got training under Farmer Training Centre (FTC). A tentative list of 42 statements was drafted keeping in view the applicability of statements suited to the area of study. The collected statements were edited in the light of the suggested criteria. The result showed less than half (48.75 percent) of trained stakeholders had high level of knowledge, whereas 39.37 percent had medium and 11.88 percent had low level of knowledge about improved agricultural practices. The probable reason of this finding might be the advantage of information gained during attending training.

Keywords: Farmer training centre, training, knowledge, skill

Introduction

Training is considered the most important activity for transferring agricultural technologies to farmers. Hence, it is crucial to analyse the institutional training imparted to the farmers at Farmer Training Centre. The history of the well-organized farmers training programme in India can be traced back to 1948 with the inception of Etawah pilot project.

Training, an age-old concept, serves the therapeutic function of shaping individuals' knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary for effective performance in their roles. Programs aimed at agricultural and community development seek to impart information, knowledge and skills, fostering the exchange of experiences and opinions while addressing doubts and challenges. Training ensures individuals possess the specific knowledge, skills and attitudes required to meet established standards. It typically involves four fundamental components: acquiring knowledge of the skill, observing skilled models, practicing the skill and reinforcing newly acquired behaviors.

Lynton and Pareek (1990) said that "Training is a process by which the desire, knowledge, attitude, skill and ideas are inculcated, fostered and reinforced in an organism". A systematically arranged training programme aids in the production of desirable changes in the behavior of people. In India various training programmes are conducted

especially for rural people, farmers and weaker section for knowledge and skill development. Training is a process of acquisition of new skills, attitude and knowledge in the context of preparing for entry into a vocation or improving productivity in an organization or enterprise. Agricultural skill development training programme sanctioned under gender audit programme for more productive varieties and training and education programme for farmers. Government of Gujarat sanctioned a programme of "Agricultural Skill Development Training Programme for Women Farmers" in the year 2012-13. Afterwords in the year of 2013-14, government have sanctioned this programme jointly. Under this programme, selected women farmers, male farmers and youth have trained and guided in agricultural and allied aspects in scientific method. The programme has completed eight years. Now, it is necessary to study how the Agricultural Skill Development Training Programme has made an impact on perception of farmers.

Objective

To study the knowledge of stakeholders towards agricultural skill development training programme.

Methodology

The present study was conducted in Saurashtra region of Gujarat state during 2021-22. Ex-post facto research design

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 339

was followed for carrying out the study. In first stage, out of seven FTCs of Saurashtra, four FTCs will be selected for the study on the basis of maximum number of farmers were got training under Farmers Training Centre (FTC). Two villages from each FTC will be selected, thus forty farmers selected from each village. The villages from FTCs will be selected on the basis of information given by Farmers Training Centre. At the second stage, twenty trained farmers will be selected by multistage purposive sampling method from each selected village. Thus, total 160 trained farmers will be selected as sample for the study. The equal number of untrained farmers also will be randomly selected from the

same villages.

For measuring the knowledge of stakeholders about improved farming practices of crop, the teacher made knowledge test was used. The knowledge was measured by asking the questions regarding recommended improved farm practices.

A comprehensive list of all the practices adopted by stakeholders under above sub heads was prepared. The different weightage was given to each practice. The weightage of particular practice was determined by seeking the opinions of the expert scientist and extension workers, considering the total score 100 (Table 1).

Table 1: The	weightage	given t	o different	practices i	in the scale

Sr. No.	Practice	Weightage out of 100		
1	Planning	10.94		
2	Variety	9.48		
3	Soil and field preparation	6.92		
4	Seed Treatment	7.28		
5	Crop rotation	5.49		
6	Sowing method and time	7.14		
7	Seed rate & Spacing	3.86		
8	Fertilizer	9.84		
9	Irrigation	11.93		
10	Weed management	6.75		
11	Plant protection	16.42		
12	Storage	2.41		
13	Other	1.54		
	Total	100		

A unit score was given according to the weightage given to each item in the scale. The total score obtained by individual respondent for all the statement were calculated. Then, with the help of mean and standard deviation, the respondents were categorized about knowledge level of the beneficiaries.

Sr. No.	Level of knowledge	Range	
1.	Low level	< Mean $-$ S.D.	
2	Medium level	between Mean \pm S.D.	
3.	High level	>Mean + S.D.	

The respondents were classified into three categories of knowledge on the basis of mean and standard deviation as shown in below.

Sr. No.	Category	Range	
1.	Low level of knowledge	< Mean $-$ S.D.	
2	Medium level of knowledge	between Mean \pm S.D.	
3.	High level of Knowledge	>Mean + S.D.	

Results and Discussion

It is the body of understood information possessed by an individual with respect to improved agricultural practices. As discussed in the research methodology, a teacher made knowledge index was developed and used. The knowledge scores of respondents were calculated and a unit score was rewarded for each correct response. The respondents' knowledge of improved agricultural practices is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Distribution of the respondents according to their knowledge of agricultural skill development training programme about improved agricultural practice (n=320)

No.		Catananian	Trained (n=160)		Untrained (n=160)	
		Categories	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
	1.	Low level of knowledge (< Mean − S D.)	19 (<72.93)	11.88	44 (< 64.78)	27.50
	2	Medium level of knowledge (Mean ± S.D.)	63 (72.93 to 80.09)	39.37	85 (64.78 to 72.14)	53.12
	3. High level of knowledge (> Mean + S D.)		78 (>80.09)	48.75	31 (>72.14)	19.38
Total		Total	160	100.00	160	100.00
Mean		Mean	76.51		68.46	
Standard deviation		Standard deviation	3.58		3.68	

From Table 2 it is quite evident that less than half (48.75 percent) of trained stakeholders had high level of knowledge, whereas 39.37 percent had medium and 11.88 percent had low level of knowledge about improved agricultural practices. The probable reason of this finding might be the advantage of information gained during

attending training.

This finding of trained stakeholders was in partially conformity with findings of Dubey and Srivastava (2007) [1], Dubey *et al.* (2008) [2], Rathore and Dhakar (2012) [7], Malabasari and Hiremath (2016) [3], Roy *et al.* (2016) [8], Pandey *et al.* (2017) [4], Patel *et al.* (2019) [5], Poshiya *et al.*

(2019) [6] and Sipai et al. (2019) [10].

In case of untrained stakeholders Table 2 reveals that more than half (53.12 percent) of the respondents had medium level of knowledge while 27.50 percent and 19.38 percent had low and high level of knowledge, respectively.

The knowledge of trained stakeholders is generally higher than that of untrained stakeholders due to their exposure to structured learning and development opportunities. This structured learning ensures they are well-versed in the current methodologies, tools and strategies that can enhance their effectiveness and efficiency. As a result, they possess a

deeper understanding of their domain, enabling them to make informed decisions and contribute more effectively to their roles. On the other hand, untrained stakeholders, who lack formal training and access to continuous education, may rely on outdated information and traditional methods. This gap in knowledge can limit their ability to perform optimally and respond to new challenges effectively.

This finding of untrained stakeholders was in partially conformity with findings of Dubey *et al.* (2008) ^[2], Rathore and Dhakar (2012) ^[7], Sarma *et al.* (2013) ^[9] and Patel *et al.* (2019) ^[5].

	Knowledge level of trained stakeholders	Knowledge level of untrained stakeholders	
Mean	16.51	12.41	
Standard Deviation	3.58	3.41	
Sample Size	160	160	
Z cal	9.084		
Z tab at 95% los	1.96		

Table 3: Z test for two mean of knowledge level

From the Table 3, it can be observed that the Z calculated is less than Z tab. Therefore, it is inferred that there was significant difference in knowledge level of trained and untrained stakeholders. The study of Table inferred that the significance level of t test calculated is less than "t" tabulated at 95 percent level of significance. Thus, it can be said that there was significant difference among the knowledge level of stakeholders. Thus, from Table 3 it can be concluded that the trained stakeholders had statistically significant higher knowledge level than untrained stakeholders. Therefore, it can be concluded that training conducted by FTCs were able to create a significant advantage to knowledge level of stakeholders.

Conclusion

The majority (48.75 percent) of trained stakeholders had high level of knowledge followed by 39.37 percent and 11.88 percent had medium and low level of knowledge, respectively. In case of untrained stakeholders more than half (53.12 percent) had medium level of knowledge while 27.50 percent and 19.38 percent had low and high level of knowledge, respectively. Among the respondents, the trained stakeholders had statistically significantly higher knowledge level than untrained stakeholders. Therefore, it can be concluded that training conducted by FTCs was able to create a significant advantage to knowledge level of stakeholders.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of the paper declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Dubey AK, Srivastava JP. Effect of training programme on knowledge and adoption behaviour of farmers on wheat production technologies. Indian J Ext. Educ. 2007;7(3):41-43.
- 2. Dubey AK, Srivastva JP, Singh RP, Sharma VK. Impact of KVK training programme on socio-economic status and knowledge of trainees in Allahabad district. Indian J Ext Educ. 2008;8(3):60-61.
- 3. Malabasari RT, Hiremath US. Effect of Krishi Vigyan Kendra training programmes on knowledge and

- adoption of home science and agricultural technologies. J Farm Sci. 2016;29(2):251-256.
- 4. Pandey A, Gupta N, Pandey A, Singh S. Impact of vocational training on value addition in knowledge and adoption of rural women. Indian J Ext. Educ. 2017;53(3):36-39.
- 5. Patel DK, Kumar S, Singh U, Pandey VK. Impact of training on cauliflower cultivation in perspective of knowledge and adoption. Indian J Ext. Educ. 2019;55(2):136-138.
- 6. Poshiya VK, Pandya RD, Khodifad PB. Impact of training programme on knowledge regarding value addition by tribal farm women. Gujarat J Ext. Educ. 2019;30(1):5-7.
- 7. Rathore RS, Dhakar SD. Impact of KVK training programme on knowledge and adoption of guava crop technologies in Chittorgarh district of Rajasthan. Indian Res J Ext Educ. 2012;2:123-124.
- 8. Roy S, Bug TK, Prasad A, Yadav SK. Impact analysis of training intervention on potato growing tribal farmers of Meghalaya. Indian Res. J Ext. Educ. 2016;16(10):116-119.
- 9. Sarma H, Talukdar RK, Mishra P. Impact of training on knowledge level of integrated rice-fish farming practices. Indian J Ext Educ. 2013;13(1):35-38.
- Sipai SA, Zala MB, Khadayata KG. Impact of farm technology training centre on knowledge of cucurbitaceous growers. Gujarat J Ext. Educ. 2019;30(2):133-136.

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 341