

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 7; SP-Issue 8; August 2024; Page No. 14-16

Received: 16-05-2024
Accepted: 20-06-2024

Indexed Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal

Effect of irrigation and weed management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)

¹Preeti Handa Kakkar, ²Km. Monika, ³Manisha Phaugat and ⁴Manoj Shukla

¹Professor, School of Agriculture, Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

²M.Sc. Scholar, Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

³Professor, Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

⁴Associate Professor, Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2024.v7.i8Sa.892>

Corresponding Author: Preeti Handa Kakkar

Abstract

The experiment was done at the Crop Research Farm at Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. The farm is above 410 meters above sea level and is situated at 30.3841°N latitude and 77.9326° E longitude. Split plot design was used to test twelve different combination of irrigation and weed management, as well as different herbicide doses and hand weeding. The results showed that irrigation and weed management had a significant effect on plant height, no. of tillers, dry weight, leaf area index, crop growth rate, relative growth rate and yield attributes such as no. of effective tillers, no. of grains/ear, test weight, harvest index. I₃ (CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling) and W₂ (2 handweeding at 25 and 45 DAS) are best for weed management, growth and yield. Both of the treatment I₂ (CRI + Tillering + Booting + Grain filling) and W₃ (Sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/hac) did about well, which shows that the right use of herbicide and irrigation can effectively control weeds and increase wheat yield.

Keywords: Wheat, irrigation, growth, weed management and yield

Introduction

Wheat, the most important grain for the world's population, is crucial for national food security. Globally, about 23.7 million hectares of wheat are cultivated each year, making it the most cultivated cereal. In 1960-1961, India produced 11.0 million tons of wheat; In 2011-2012, this amount jumped to 93.9 million tons. Wheat grain contains starch (60-68%), protein (6-21%), fat (1.5-2.0%), cellulose (2.0-2.5%), minerals (1.8%) and vitamins (Das, 2008) [4]. About one-third of the damage caused by pests is caused by weeds, which are thought to be the most damaging to agricultural productivity. One of the most important factors affecting agricultural productivity is weeds. Weeds make harvesting difficult and reduce yield. *Phalaris minor* is one of the very serious weeds in wheat production in this cropping system and sometime almost 100 percent crop losses have been reported (Singh and Singh 2002) [13]. Fertilizer, irrigation, and the use of short-growing, high-yielding varieties increased wheat production more than eightfold. If we want to restore soil productivity and improve crop health, we need nutrient management that uses both organic and inorganic nutrients. One of the biggest problems in growing wheat, a staple human crop, in arid and semi-arid regions of the world is water scarcity. Six to eight irrigations at a depth of four to seven centimeters are often required to meet the water requirements of semi-dwarf wheat varieties. The lack of irrigation water during critical stages of the wheat

growing season forced scientists to work on the problem and find a solution to stabilize profitable wheat production with limited irrigation.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out in Crop Research Farm at Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. The university research farm is located near Dehradun in the state of Uttarakhand. Its coordinates are around 30.3841°N latitude and 77.9326° E longitude. The location of the site is 410 meters above mean sea level. Dehradun's subtropical climate makes it an ideal and yield of wheat. Comprises of twelve treatments T₁ CRI + Booting + grain filling + Control (No weeding), T₂ Crown root initiation + Booting + Grain filling + 2 handweeding at 25 and 45 DAS, T₃ Crown root initiation + Booting + Grain filling + Sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/ hac, T₄ Crown root initiation + Booting + Grain filling + Metasulfuron @ 4 g/hac as pre-emergence, T₅ CRI + Tillering + Booting + Grain filling + Control (No weeding), T₆ CRI + Tillering + Booting + Grain filling + 2 handweeding at 25 and 45 DAS, T₇ CRI + Tillering + Booting + Grain filling + Sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/hac, T₈ CRI + Tillering + Booting + Grain filling + Metasulfuron @ 4 g/hac as pre-emergence, T₉ CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling + Control (No weeding), T₁₀ CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling + 2 handweeding at 25 and 45

DAS, T₁₁ CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling + Sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/ hac, T₁₂ CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling + Metasulfuron @ 4 g/hac as pre-emergence

Results and Discussion

1. Growth and growth analytical parameters

The close examination of mean data revealed that irrigation practices and weed management were significantly affected and maximum growth attributes viz. Plant height, No. of tillers, Dry weight was recorded I₃ (CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling) and W₃ (sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/ hac)

Among the different growth analytical characters viz. Crop growth rate, Relative growth rate, Leaf area index were significantly influenced by irrigation practices and weed management. Maximum growth analytical characters were recorded by I₃ (CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling) and W₂ (2 handweeding at 25 and 45 DAS).

However, analysis of the data was also revealed that I₂ (CRI + Tillering + booting + Grain filling) at par with I₃ (CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + Grain filling) However, analysis of the data revealed that W₃ (Sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/hac) was found to be at par with W₂ (2 handweeding 25 and 45 DAS).

Table 1: Effect of different irrigation and weed management on weed dynamic growth and yield of on Pre-harvest of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)

Treatment	Plant height	Dry weight	No. of tillers	CGR	RGR	LAI
Irrigation level						
I ₁ CRI + Booting + Grain filling	53.68	12.17	21.14	19.21	0.06	2.05
I ₂ CRI + Tillering + Booting + Grain filling	57.47	13.06	22.08	21.63	0.19	2.20
I ₃ CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling	59.71	13.57	24.39	23.43	0.35	2.28
SEd (±)	1.15	0.26	0.23	1.17	0.16	0.04
CD (P= 0.05)	2.39	0.54	0.48	2.42	0.24	0.09
Weed management						
W ₁ Control (No weeding)	54.83	12.55	18.07	18.68	0.04	2.10
W ₂ 2 handweeding	59.60	14.17	23.52	21.39	0.54	2.63
W ₃ Sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/ hac	57.96	13.55	22.01	20.81	0.48	2.50
W ₄ Metasulfuron @ 4 g/hac	55.42	12.46	20.22	19.81	0.40	2.45
SEd (±)	1.33	0.30	0.27	0.89	0.24	0.05
CD (P= 0.05)	2.75	0.63	0.55	0.95	0.29	0.11

2. Yield attributes and yield

The close examination of mean data revealed that irrigation practices and weed management were significantly affected and maximum yield attributes and yield viz. No. of effective tillers, No. of grains, test weight was recorded I₃ (CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling) and W₂ (2 handweeding 25 and 45 DAS).

Among the different growth analytical characters viz. Crop growth rate, Relative growth rate, Leaf area index were significantly influenced by irrigation practices and weed

management. Maximum growth analytical characters were recorded by I₃ (CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling) and W₂ (2 handweeding 25 and 45 DAS).

However, analysis of the data was also revealed that I₂ (CRI + Tillering + booting + Grain filling) at par with I₃ (CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + Grain filling). However, analysis of the data revealed that W₃ (Sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/hac) was found to be at par with W₂ (2 handweeding 25 and 45 DAS).

Table 2: Effect of different irrigation and weed management on weed dynamic growth and yield of on Post-harvest of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)

Treatment	No. of effective tillers	No. of grains/ear	Test weight	Grain yield	Straw yield	Harvest index
Irrigation level						
I ₁ CRI + Booting + Grain filling	297.17	20.97	39.38	8.30	20.69	31.22
I ₂ CRI + Tillering + Booting + Grain filling	302.50	25.89	42.06	10.78	23.56	36.34
I ₃ CRI + Tillering + booting + ear emergence + grain filling	306.00	28.81	45.87	13.53	27.67	37.77
SEd (±)	1.55	0.38	0.31	0.09	0.43	0.10
CD (P= 0.05)	3.21	0.79	42.33	0.18	0.89	0.21
Weed management						
W ₁ Control (No weeding)	295.30	20.96	36.33	6.39	22.15	31.31
W ₂ 2 handweeding	315.40	27.19	43.25	11.57	24.34	37.43
W ₃ Sulfosulfuron @ 25 g/ hac	301.45	26.02	41.30	10.78	23.75	36.81
W ₄ Metasulfuron @ 4 g/hac	398.40	25.93	40.57	9.40	23.52	35.41
SEd (±)	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01
CD (P= 0.05)	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.02

Conclusion

The study performed at the Crop Research Farm of Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University in Dehradun, Uttarakhand,

effectively demonstrated the significant impact of irrigation and weed management on wheat growth, weed dynamics and yield. It was found that 5 irrigation and application of

sulfosulfuron 25 g/hac worked best when using in the Randomized Block Design (RBD). Plant height, dry weight, crop growth rate, leaf area index, relative growth rate, no. of effective tillers, no. of grains/ear, straw yield, grain yield, harvest index, biological yield were all better with these treatments. Additionally, the herbicide treatments with (metasulfuron 4 g/hac as pre emergence) was found to be comparable to sulfosulfuron. Overall, the results emphasize the importance of selecting suitable irrigation and weed management to improve wheat productivity and reduce weed interference.

Reference

1. Balyan RS, Malik RK, Bhan VM. Effects of time of application of isoproturon on the control of weeds in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Weed Science. 1988;20:10-14.
2. Catizone P, Tedeschi M, Baldoni G. Influence of crop management on weed population and wheat yield. Proceedings of an EWRS Symposium, Helsinki, Finland, 4-6 June 1990.
3. Chippa KG, Nepalia V. Effect of weed control and phosphorus sources on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015;49(2):180-184.
4. Das NR. Wheat Crop Management. Jodhpur: Scientific Publication; c2008.
5. Dhaliwal LK, Buttar GS, Kingra PK, Kaur S, Singh J. Growth, yield, water use efficiency of wheat under different sowing dates, planting methods, and irrigation treatments. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2020;90(3):519-523.
6. Hooda IS, Agarwal SK. Studies on irrigation, weed control, and fertility levels on growth and yield of wheat. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1987;32:261-264.
7. Ingle AU, Shelke DK, Aghav VD, Karad ML. Effect of irrigation schedules and nutrient management on WUE and nutrient uptake of wheat on Vertisol. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2007;17(1):188-190.
8. Jabran K, Mahmood K, Melander B, Bajwa AA, Kudsk P. Weed dynamics and management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Advances in Agronomy. 2017;145:97-166.
9. Pandey J, Singh RP. Effect of isoproturon tank mix with metsulfuron and 2,4-D on weeds and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1997;49(2):114-116.
10. Riaz M, Malik MA, Mahmood TZ, Jamil M. Effect of various weed control methods on yield and yield components of wheat under different patterns. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2006;8(5):636-640.
11. Singh S, Malik RK, Balyan RS, Singh S. Distribution of weed flora of wheat in Haryana. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 1995;27:114-121.
12. Sardana V, Walia US, Mahajan G. Management of broad leaf weeds in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2001;33:69-71.
13. Singh G, Singh M, Singh VP. Effect of doses and stages of application of sulfosulfuron on weeds and wheat yield. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2002;34(3-4):172-174.
14. Sandhu GS, Dhillon SS. Evaluation of different weed management options. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2008;35:44-47.
15. Sharma OL. Efficiency of post-emergence herbicides to control broad leaf weeds in wheat in Indira Gandhi canal command area of western Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2009;41(1-2):52-54.
16. Saharawat YS, Ladha JK, Jat ML, Gathala MK, Chaudhary N. InfoRCT: productivity, income, and environment simulation tool for conservation agriculture-based rice-wheat rotation. In: Proceedings of 5th World Conservation Agriculture Conference. ACIAR Publication; c2011. p. 152-153.
17. Sharma R, Gupta M, Sharma AK. Effect of different herbicides on weed growth and yield performance of wheat. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2012;44(2):106-109.
18. Singh S, Singh AK, Yadav A, Shivam, Harikesh. Assess the effect of different combinations of herbicides on weed population and economic feasibility of treatments in late sown wheat crop. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(5):648-651.
19. Thakral SK, Kadian VS, Kumar S. Effect of different organic and fertilizer levels on yield and yield attributes of wheat. Haryana Journal of Agronomy. 2003;19:60-62.
20. Thakur SS, Pandey LB, Singh SJ, Mishra SS. Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties to weed management under late sown condition on calcareous soils of North Bihar. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1995;40:204-208.
21. Thomas CG, Yaduraju NT. Competitive effects of wild oat [*Avena sterilis* spp. *ludoviciana* (Dur) Nym] on light interception and growth of wheat. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 1999;31:56-59.
22. Thomas CG, Yaduraju NT, Sreeskumar. Estimation of yield losses of wheat caused by wild oats (*Avena sterilis* spp. *ludoviciana*) competition. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2000;32:44-50.
23. Valverde BE. Herbicide resistance management in developing countries. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper. 2003;120:223-244.
24. Vasanthi D, Kumaraswamy K. Efficacy of vermicompost to improve soil fertility and rice yield. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 1999;47:268-272.
25. Verma SK, Singh SB, Prasad SK, Meena RN, Meena RS. Influence of irrigation regimes and weed management practices on water use and nutrient uptake in wheat. Bangladesh Journal of Botany. 2015;44(3):437-442.