

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 7; SP-Issue 2; Feb 2024; Page No. 124-127

Received: 25-12-2023 Accepted: 30-01-2024 Indexed Journal Peer Reviewed Journal

Feasibility of *Rabi* crops using harvested Rain Water through farm pond under semiarid conditions of North Gujarat

¹BS Parmar, ²NI Patel, ³CK Patel, ⁴JJ Makwana, ⁵BR Patel and ⁶RV Bhabhor

¹Centre for Natural Resources Management (CNRM), SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

^{2, 3, 5, 6}Centre for Natural Resources Management, SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

⁴Agricultural Information and Communication Technology, SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2024.v7.i2Sb.361

Corresponding Author: BS Parmar

Abstract

The ponds prevailing in the history give ample evidence about the knowledge of man regarding harvesting and utilization of runoff water in rainfed areas. The farm ponds are one of the best alternative methods for collecting and storing rainwater for future use. The farm ponds are supports to sustain agricultural activities and improve the standard of living of people, especially in the rural area. A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of treatments consists of three Rabi crops with low water requirement grown using harvested rainwater. The cc lined farm pond of 1100 m³ capacity was used to harvest runoff water and results of crop performance obtained during 2019-20, 2020-21 and 2021-22 are presented. The harvested water was used for growing popular regional crops such as cumin, mustard and dilseed. Year wise cumin seed yield was obtained highest 410 kg/ha in the 2021-22 with mean seed yield of 377 kg/ha. The net profit of cumin cultivation (mean) was obtained 1202 kg/ha. The net profit (mean) of mustard cultivation was obtained 1202 kg/ha. The net profit (mean) of mustard cultivation was obtained 1202 kg/ha. The net profit (mean) of mustard cultivation (mean) was obtained 1202 kg/ha. The net profit of dilseed cultivation (mean) was obtained 1202 kg/ha. The net profit of dilseed cultivation (mean) was obtained 1202 kg/ha. The net profit of dilseed cultivation (mean) was obtained 1202 kg/ha. The net profit of dilseed cultivation (mean) was obtained 1202 kg/ha the net profit of dilseed cultivation (mean) was obtained 1202 kg/ha in the 2025 / ha with 2.03 BCR. The comparative performance of dilseed crop was found better over cumin and mustard in terms of water productivity and net return.

Keywords: Feasibility, Rabi, rain water, pond, semi-arid

Introduction

Rainwater harvesting and recycling is an age-old practice in India, especially in the semi-arid regions. Unfortunately, modern techniques of groundwater utilization (tube wells) have over the years encouraged individualistic approach and the community participation in rainwater harvesting disappeared slowly. Increased population pressure and increasing demand from domestic and industrial sectors coupled with erratic monsoon has forced re-invention of old techniques. Water is becoming scarce in the rural livelihood. Farm ponds have a significant role in rain fed regions where annual rainfall is more than or equal to 500 mm. If average annual rainfall (AAR) varies between 500 to 750 mm, the farm ponds with capacity of 250 to 500 m³ can be constructed. If AAR is more than 750 mm the farm ponds with capacity more than 500m³ can be constructed particularly in black soil regions without lining. It was observed from field experience that at least two to three rainfall events can occur, making the ponds highly beneficial to farmers [Reddy et al. 2012] ^[1]. Normally, the farm ponds are constructed for rainwater harvesting from the rainfall with high intensity and used for life saving or supplemental irrigation. In semi arid region, two to five events are available in semi arid region having rainfall 500 mm to 750 mm. Besides, life saving and supplemental irrigation, the water harvested from delayed monsoon can be used for seedbed preparation or sowing of Rabi crops also. In Northen part of Gujarat, crops like cumin, dilseed and Isabgul are some of the crops which require low water to grow and grown in conserved moisture depending upon availability of rainfall at later part of the monsoon season. In the situation, the harvested water in farm pond is a good source for assured cultivation of such crops. The study was undertaken to know feasibility of harvested water for cultivation of *Rabi* crops.

Materials and Methods

The harvested water from 8 ha catchment area was stored in CC lined farm pond of 1100 m³ storage capacity was used to grow low water requiring *Rabi* crops such as cumin, mustard and dilseed. The irrigations were applied through sprinkler system at the rate 0.5 IW/CPE ratio in cumin and dilseed where as in mustard at the rate 0.6 IW/CPE ratio. The fertilizers were applied as per recommended dose. The volume of water harvested, evaporation losses and seepage losses were worked out year wise. The cost of farm pond construction was considered for deriving the economics. Based on the comparative performance of the crops on water use, net profit and BCR was derived to determine most economical *Rabi crop* using harvested water.

Out of total harvested rainwater, 434.9 m^3 (39.5% of storage) of water was used for irrigation using sprinkler irrigation system (Table 1). Average evaporation and

seepage losses were found to be 20 percent and 2.2 percent, respectively. Mahalle, *et al.*, 2014 ^[5] estimated the similar rate of evaporation from farm pond for semi-arid region.

| Fable 1 | l: ` | Year | wise | details | of | rain | water | harvested | and | water | balance | e of | farm | pond |
|---------|------|------|------|---------|----|------|-------|-----------|-----|-------|---------|------|------|------|
|---------|------|------|------|---------|----|------|-------|-----------|-----|-------|---------|------|------|------|

| Dainfall and datails of howseted water in form need | Year | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Raman and details of narvested water in farm pond | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | Mean | | | |
| Rainfall, mm | 830.3 | 1173.3 | 524 | 842.5 | | | |
| Water harvested, m ³ | 1432.5 | 3835.0 | 1329.0 | 2198.8 | | | |
| Used for irrigation, m ³ | 486.0 | 384.0 | 434.7 | 434.9 | | | |
| Evaporation, m ³ | 198.0 | 228.0 | 235.2 | 220.4 | | | |
| Seepage, m ³ | 25.0 | 20.9 | 26.1 | 24.0 | | | |
| Other losses (overflow/ leakage), m ³ | 342.5 | 3003.0 | 568.2 | 1304.6 | | | |
| Storage at the end of the season, m ³ | 381.0 | 220.0 | 64.8 | 221.9 | | | |

The performance of different crops is described as under

Cumin

Cumin is one of the popular spices crops of the region. The

crop growth and yield attributes are presented in Table 2.

 Table 2: Year wise results of growth and yield attributes, yield, and water use efficiency and economics for cumin cultivation (2019-20 to 2021-22)

| Parameter/Character | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | Mean |
|--|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Plant height, cm | 28.3 | 30.5 | 34.9 | 31.2 |
| Number of umbels/plant | 43 | 40.2 | 36.5 | 39.9 |
| Number of branches/plants | 11 | 9 | 8.3 | 9.4 |
| Seed weight, kg/ha | 384 | 336 | 410 | 377 |
| Stalk weight, kg/ha | 984 | 712 | 1265 | 987.0 |
| Water applied, mm @ 0.5 IW/CPE | 280 | 220 | 240 | 247 |
| WUE, kg/ha mm | 1.37 | 1.53 | 1.70 | 1.5 |
| Cost of cultivation ₹/ha | 22100 | 22680 | 23430 | 22737 |
| Annual capital cost of farm pond, ₹ | 12400 | 12400 | 12400 | 12400 |
| Annual capital cost of pump unit and MIS, ₹/ha | 6667 | 6667 | 6667 | 6667 |
| Total cost, ₹/ha | 41167 | 41747 | 42497 | 41804 |
| Gross income, ₹/ha | 54744 | 47752 | 58665 | 53720 |
| Net Profit, ₹/ha | 13577 | 6005 | 16168 | 11917 |
| BCR | 1.33 | 1.14 | 1.38 | 1.28 |
| Selling price, cumin ₹/kg | 140.0 | 140.0 | 140 | |
| Selling price, Straw ₹/kg | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1 | |

Table 3: Year wise results of growth and yield attributes, yield, Water use efficiency and economics for cultivation of mustard

| Character/Parameter | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | Mean |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Plant height, cm | 197 | 162.9 | 174.0 | 178.0 |
| Total number of branches/plant | 16.0 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 11.4 |
| Length of main branch, cm | 100.6 | 80.6 | 83.5 | 88.2 |
| Number of Siliqua of main branch (Nos.) | 50 | 54.3 | 72.2 | 58.8 |
| Seed yield, kg/ha | 1248 | 1156 | 1203 | 1202 |
| Stalk yield, kg/ha | 5549 | 4229 | 4010 | 4596 |
| Water applied, mm @ 0.6 IW/CPE | 240 | 210 | 190 | 213 |
| WUE, kg/ha mm | 5.20 | 5.50 | 6.33 | 5.68 |
| Cost of cultivation, ₹ | 22400 | 23600 | 23000 | 23000 |
| Annual capital cost of Farm pond, ₹ | 12400 | 12400 | 12400 | 12400 |
| Annual capital cost of pump unit and MIS. ₹ | 6667 | 6667 | 6667 | 6667 |
| Total cost, ₹/ha | 41467 | 42667 | 42067 | 42067 |
| Gross income, ₹/ha | 55469 | 56229 | 76190 | 62629 |
| Net Profit, ₹/ha | 14002 | 13562 | 34123 | 20562 |
| BCR | 1.33 | 1.32 | 1.81 | 1.49 |
| Selling price, mustard ₹/kg | 40.0 | 45.0 | 60 | |
| Selling price, Stalk, ₹/kg | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1 | |

| Parameter/Character | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | Mean |
|--|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Plant height, cm | 136.4 | 127.9 | 133.8 | 132.7 |
| No. of umbels/plant | 22.0 | 18.6 | 21.6 | 20.7 |
| Number of umbelet/umble | 24.6 | 26.1 | 23.3 | 24.7 |
| Seed weight, kg/ha | 924 | 1422 | 1448 | 1265 |
| Stalk weight, kg/ha | 3063 | 3975 | 4095 | 3711 |
| Water applied, mm @ (0.5 IW/CPE) | 240 | 180 | 180 | 200 |
| WUE, kg/ha mm | 3.85 | 7.90 | 8.04 | 6.60 |
| Cost of cultivation ₹/ha | 26000 | 20480 | 19880 | 22120 |
| Annual capital cost of farm pond, ₹ | 12400 | 12400 | 12400 | 12400 |
| Annual capital cost of pump unit and MIS, ₹/ha | 6667 | 6667 | 6667 | 6667 |
| Total cost, ₹/ha | 45067 | 39547 | 38947 | 41187 |
| Gross income, ₹/ha | 58503 | 82185 | 103650 | 81446 |
| Net Profit, ₹/ha | 13436 | 42638 | 64703 | 40259 |
| BCR | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.03 |
| Selling price of dilseed grain, ₹/kg | 60.0 | 55.0 | 70.0 | 61.7 |
| Selling price, stalk, ₹/kg | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

Table 4: Year wise results of growth and yield attributes, yield, and water use efficiency and economics for cultivation of dilseed

Year wise cumin seed yield was obtained highest 410 kg/ha in the 2021-22 and lowest in 2020-21 with mean seed yield of 336 kg/ ha. The water applied was observed from 220 mm to 280 mm with three year mean value of 247 mm. The water use efficiency (three year mean) was recorded 1.5 kg/ha-mm. The net profit of cumin cultivation (mean) was obtained ₹11917/ha with 1.28 BCR using harvested water in farm pond. As such water requirement of the crop is low however, needed minimum two light irrigations 25 mm each at 5-6 day interval for germination besides pre-sowing irrigation of 50 mm. The crop was applied 4-5 irrigations each of 30 mm at the interval at 12-15 days up to 75 days after sowing (DAS) using sprinkler irrigation system.

Mustard: Mustard is one of the important oilseed crops of the North Gujarat having comparatively low water requirement than other regional *Rabi* crops like castor and wheat. Besides pre sowing irrigation the crop was applied 4-5 irrigations each of 30 mm at the interval of 12-15 days up to January using sprinkler irrigation system. The crop growth and yield attributes are presented in Table 3. Year wise mustard seed yield was obtained highest 1248 kg/ha in 2019-20 and mean seed yield of three years was found to be 1202 kg/ha (Table 3). The net profit (mean) of mustard cultivation was obtained ₹20562/ha with 1.49 BCR. The mean water applied was 213 mm and mean water use efficiency was obtained 5.68 kg/ha-mm.

Dilseed: Dil Seeed is one of the spices crops of the North

Gujarat with low water requirement grown particularly in Patan and Banaskantha districts. Dilseed is cultivated in post monsoon conserved moisture. In areas with loamy soil also, many farmers grow dilseed on availability of good rainfall during post monsoon period. As per experimental design dilseed was cultivated successfully for three years during 2019-20, 2020-21 and 2021-22 (Table 4). The mean seed of dilseed for three years was obtained 1265 kg/ha (Table 4). One pre sowing irrigation of 50 mm and one irrigation of 30 mm one week after sowing required for germination. Besides, four irrigations each of 30 mm at the interval of 25-30 days required for the crop to reach to maturity. The net profit of dilseed cultivation (mean) was obtained ₹ 40259 per ha with 2.03 BCR. The mean quantity of water applied was 200 mm and mean water use efficiency was obtained 6.60 kg/ha-mm under experimentation.

Ex-situ water management for enhanced water productivity: The comparative performance of different *Rabi* crops is presented in Table 5. The water use efficiency was obtained higher in dilseed (6.60 kg/ha-mm) followed by mustard (5.84 kg/ha mm) and cumin (1.57 kg/ha-mm). Accordingly, the net profit per ha and BCR was found to be higher in dilseed. Therefore the harvested water can be economically used to grow crops with low water requirement. Ramamurthy, *et al.* 2009 ^[2] and Bhandarkar, 2009 ^[6] also quoted use of harvested water for growing Rabi crops. Dhanapal, *et al.*, 2009 ^[4] also advocated use of harvested water for growing Rabi.

| Parameter | Cumin | Mustard | Dilseed |
|--|-------|---------|---------|
| Seed weight, kg/ha | 377 | 1202 | 1265 |
| Stalk weight, kg/ha | 987 | 4596 | 3711 |
| Water applied, mm | 247 | 213 | 200 |
| WUE, kg/ha mm | 1.53 | 5.68 | 6.60 |
| Cost of cultivation ₹/ha | 22737 | 23000 | 22120 |
| Annual capital cost of farm pond, ₹ | 12400 | 12400 | 12400 |
| Annual capital cost of pump unit and MIS, ₹/ha | 6667 | 6667 | 6667 |
| Total cost, ₹/ha | 41804 | 42067 | 41187 |
| Gross income, ₹/ha | 53720 | 62629 | 81446 |
| Net Profit, ₹/ha | 11917 | 20562 | 40299 |
| BCR | 1.28 | 1.49 | 2.03 |

Table 5: Comparative performance of different crops grown using harvested rainwater in existing farm pond.

Summery and Conclusion

The harvested water in CC lined farm pond of was used to grow regional *Rabi* crops with low water requirements. The volume of water harvested, evaporation losses and seepage losses year wise were worked out. The cost of farm pond construction was considered for deriving the economics. Based on the comparative performance of the crops on water use, dilseed crop was found to be higher over mustard and cumin. Therefore, farmers may be suggested to grow dilseed for higher net return using harvested water in farm pond.

References

- Reddy KS, Kumar M, Rao KV, Maruthi V, Reddy BMK, Umesh BG, *et al.* Farm Ponds – A Climate resilient technology for rainfed agriculture planning, design and construction. Farm Ponds Technical Bulletin; c2012.
- Ramamurthy V, Patil NG, Sarkar D. Impact of Water Harvesting Structures on Water Availability - A Case Study of Kokarda Watershed, Nagpur District of Maharashtra. In: Rain Water Harvesting and Reuse through farm ponds. Brain Storming Session. Experience, issues and Strategies. Proceeding of National Workshop cum Brainstorming. CRIDA; c2009. p. 77-81.
- Yadav SK, Singh RB, Trivedi H, Singh R. Studies on insect-pests complex in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Int. J Biol. Sci. 2022;4(1):106-109. DOI: 10.33545/26649926.2022.v4.i1b.96
- Dhanapal GN, Umesh MR, Mariraju H, Manjunatha MH, Ramachandrappa BK. Farm ponds for a Viable and Profitable Dry Land Agriculture – Experiences in Alfisols of Karnataka. In: Proceeding of National Workshop cum Brainstorming. CRIDA; c2009. p. 149-153.
- Mahalle YR, Adhau GW. Study of Evaporation and Seepage Losses from Farm Pond in Saline Area. Int. J Agric Eng. 2014;7(2):402-409.
- Bhandarkar DM. Water Harvesting and Recycling Technology for Sustainable Agriculture in Vertisols with high Rainfall. In: Proceeding of National Workshop cum Brainstorming. CRIDA; c2009. p. 82-99.