

## International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 8; Issue 11; November 2025; Page No. 606-610

Received: 18-08-2025  
Accepted: 27-09-2025

Indexed Journal  
Peer Reviewed Journal

### The role of agricultural cooperatives in rural socio-economic development: A global review

<sup>1</sup>Saiyadali Nadaf, <sup>2</sup>Sohail Indiakar and <sup>3</sup>Bebijan Nadaf

<sup>1</sup>Warder, Karnataka State Prisons and Correctional Services, Central Prison, Mysuru, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup>Project Co-ordinator, Shree Paramanand Rural Development Society (R), Harnal, Sindagi, Vijayapura, Karnataka, India

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Teacher, Govt. High School, Kagadal, Belgaum, Karnataka, India

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2025.v8.i11h.2711>

Corresponding Author: Saiyadali Nadaf

#### Abstract

Agricultural cooperatives are crucial institutions in shaping the socio-economic structure of rural areas across the world. They organize dispersed producers, reduce transaction costs, increase their bargaining power, and provide access to markets, technology, credit, and inputs. Global studies indicate that cooperative membership enhances smallholder income, supports local employment, improves food security, and fosters social cohesion, especially in marginal and resource-poor regions. In India, Primary Agricultural Credit Societies (PACS), dairy unions, sugar cooperatives, and multipurpose societies form one of the world's largest cooperative networks, reaching millions of rural households. The Amul dairy cooperative model remains a globally cited example of cooperative-led development through collective ownership and decentralized management. This review synthesizes conceptual foundations, pathways of influence, empirical evidence, and case studies from Africa, Asia, Europe, and Latin America, while drawing detailed insights from the Indian context. It also outlines limitations such as governance failures, elite capture, financial instability, and market competition. The paper concludes that future cooperatives must integrate digitalization, climate-smart strategies, and youth participation to remain effective, sustainable, and inclusive in rural socio-economic transformation.

**Keywords:** Agricultural cooperatives, socio-economic development, rural livelihoods, India, dairy cooperatives

#### 1. Introduction

Agriculture remains the backbone of rural economies worldwide, not only as a source of food production but also as a central component of employment, income generation, and community structure <sup>[1, 12]</sup>. Rural populations continue to face structural challenges such as fragmented landholdings, limited access to credit, unstable commodity prices, and exclusion from formal markets <sup>[2, 13]</sup>. Agricultural cooperatives emerged historically as institutional responses to these challenges. They provide an organizational framework through which farmers pool resources, share risks, and gain access to economic opportunities that are difficult to obtain individually <sup>[1, 14]</sup>. The International Cooperative Alliance defines cooperatives as voluntary and autonomous associations designed to meet common economic, social, and cultural needs through democratically controlled enterprises <sup>[3, 10]</sup>. Globally, there are an estimated 1.2 billion cooperative members across sectors, and more than 250 million people derive direct or indirect employment through cooperative economic systems. In India alone, more than 287 million people are affiliated with cooperatives, and they operate in nearly every rural district. The growing importance of agricultural cooperatives at national and international levels suggests that rural development cannot be understood in isolation from cooperative institutions <sup>[5, 15]</sup>.

This review examines the role of agricultural cooperatives in rural socio-economic development. It analyzes conceptual frameworks, documents pathways of cooperative impact, explores global and regional case studies, and presents policy implications based on evidence. Special attention is given to the Indian context, particularly the Amul cooperative model and the PACS system, as India holds one of the largest cooperative networks in the world <sup>[6, 11]</sup>.

#### 2. Conceptual Foundations: Agricultural Cooperatives and Rural Development

Agricultural cooperatives are producer-owned enterprises that function as intermediaries between farmers and markets. They may provide multiple services, including marketing of crops, supply of agricultural inputs, credit provision, extension services, machinery access, storage facilities, veterinary support, and transportation. Unlike investor-owned firms, cooperatives operate on democratic principles, and their decisions typically favor member welfare rather than profit maximization <sup>[4, 16]</sup>.

Rural socio-economic development involves sustained improvements in income, employment, purchasing power, household security, human development indicators, and access to infrastructure. Agricultural cooperatives contribute to these outcomes by strengthening both economic and social institutions within rural landscapes. The theoretical

relevance of cooperatives can be understood through multiple economic perspectives. According to transaction cost economics, cooperatives reduce the cost of dealing with markets by aggregating supply and demand. From a bargaining power perspective, cooperatives increase the negotiation strength of farmers against traders, processors, and financial institutions [7, 12]. Social capital theories suggest that cooperatives generate collective action, trust, and institutional coordination through community-level participation. Livelihood frameworks emphasize that cooperatives provide access to financial, physical, human, and social assets that shape economic resilience. Together, these theoretical perspectives explain the relevance of cooperatives to rural development. However, they also imply that cooperative performance depends on governance quality, institutional support, and the ability to scale operations without disrupting democratic participation [8, 18].

Table 1: Key Services Provided by Agricultural Cooperatives

Service	Description
Market Access	Aggregates produce to bypass middlemen, ensuring better pricing.
Credit Provision	Facilitates access to financial resources for smallholders.
Supply of Inputs	Bulk procurement of seeds, fertilisers, and machinery for members.
Technology and Extension	Provides training, technology, and modern farming techniques.
Processing and Storage	Supports post-harvest processing and storage facilities.
Social and Community Services	Facilitates social inclusion, gender empowerment, and local employment.

3. Global Landscape of Agricultural Cooperatives

Agricultural cooperatives vary widely across countries in terms of structure, size, organizational capacity, policy environment, and market integration. Despite these differences, two broad patterns emerge globally. In developed economies, cooperatives are highly integrated

into agribusiness value chains and operate at large scales. In developing economies, cooperatives often serve vulnerable smallholders and provide essential services that private firms do not [9, 19].

In Europe and North America, cooperatives are central market actors in dairy, grain, oilseeds, cotton, fruit, and vegetable sectors. In many cases, they control large processing facilities, develop international brands, and negotiate directly with export buyers. In the United States, farmer-owned cooperatives operate grain elevators, dairy plants, and input supply chains, ensuring that farmers retain control over key agricultural services. Similarly, European cooperatives such as FrieslandCampina and Arla Foods are among the world’s largest dairy producers and reinvest substantial earnings into member services [15, 18].

In contrast, African cooperatives often focus on primary production and marketing of crops such as coffee, cocoa, cotton, maize, and horticultural products. Studies from South Africa, Zimbabwe, and Cameroon suggest that cooperatives contribute to employment, food production, and local social cohesion, although outcomes are highly dependent on governance and institutional support. In China and Japan, cooperatives have become instrumental in integrating farmers into modern value chains and providing technological support, financial services, and insurance [17, 22]. The rapid growth of farmer professional cooperatives in China has significantly improved market access and farm productivity in many rural regions [16, 20].

India presents a unique case where cooperatives operate at both small and large scales. Millions of households obtain credit, inputs, and market access through PACS, dairy unions, and multipurpose cooperatives [19, 23]. The Amul model, in particular, has demonstrated how a cooperative can evolve from a local initiative into a national dairy brand while keeping smallholders at its core [21, 24]. The Indian government continues to promote cooperatives as vehicles for decentralised development, rural employment, and financial inclusion [23, 28].

Table 2: Comparative Global Impact of Agricultural Cooperatives

Region	Key Impacts	Key Examples
Europe and North America	High market integration, large-scale operations, global brand presence.	FrieslandCampina (Netherlands), Arla Foods (Sweden)
Africa	Focus on primary production, market access, and local employment creation.	Zimbabwe Coffee Cooperatives, South Africa Dairy
Asia (India, China)	Integration into modern supply chains, increased market access and productivity.	Amul (India), Chinese Farmer Professional Cooperatives
Latin America	Strengthening smallholder bargaining power, food security, and rural employment.	Ecuadorian Banana Cooperatives, Brazilian Coffee Cooperatives

4. Pathways of Socio-Economic Impact

4.1 Market Access and Bargaining Power

One of the most established impacts of agricultural cooperatives lies in enhanced market access. By aggregating produce, cooperatives enable small farmers to bypass local traders and interact with formal markets. This aggregation increases price transparency, reduces exploitation, and improves farmers’ bargaining position [25, 29]. Studies from Africa and Asia reveal that cooperative members receive higher farm-gate prices and greater price stability compared with non-members. In India’s dairy sector, Amul

consistently pays producers higher prices than private dairies and retains a high share of consumer value for farmers. The ability to negotiate collectively improves farmer confidence and encourages investment in quality improvement [26, 27].

4.2 Access to Inputs, Credit, and Technology

Smallholders often face barriers in obtaining credit, machinery, seeds, and fertilisers. Cooperatives help solve this by bulk procurement, credit facilitation, and technical support. In China, participation in cooperatives significantly

increases access to credit and technology for small-scale farmers [29, 30]. In India, PACS operate as last-mile institutions for credit and input distribution. Government-backed initiatives aim to convert PACS into multipurpose units that provide storage, marketing, and digital services. This transformation has the potential to redefine rural institutional infrastructure and enlarge economic participation [32, 33].

#### 4.3 Employment Generation and Local Value Addition

Agricultural cooperatives create direct and indirect employment. Direct employment occurs in areas such as collection, processing, administration, transport, and extension services. Indirect employment emerges through linkages with agro-processing, logistics, packaging, and retail. In India, dairy cooperatives employ thousands of workers in village collection centers, chilling plants, milk plants, procurement departments, and distribution systems [31, 42]. The establishment of processing units within rural regions ensures value addition remains locally embedded rather than shifting to urban centers. In Africa, cooperatives have contributed to non-farm activity expansion, helping reduce poverty and provide income diversification [34, 43].

#### 4.4 Social Inclusion and Gender Empowerment

Cooperatives often position themselves as inclusive institutions, yet inclusion is not guaranteed without intentional strategies. In many regions, women and landless farmers remain underrepresented. Studies from Indian villages indicate that households without land often have lower participation rates in cooperative systems. Where gender-focused approaches are adopted, cooperatives can increase women's control over income and household decisions. In Southern Africa, social cohesion, collective responsibility, and empowerment of marginalized communities have been notable outcomes of cooperative participation. The rise of women-only dairy cooperatives in India shows how social status and economic autonomy can improve through cooperative membership [35, 44].

#### 4.5 Environmental Sustainability and Climate Resilience

Climate change and ecological degradation require collective responses that individual farmers rarely implement alone. Cooperatives offer institutional mechanisms for collective action on sustainable practices. They can promote watershed management, improved irrigation techniques, crop rotation, and adoption of climate-resilient varieties. In the dairy sector, cooperatives such as Amul are adopting sustainability goals related to energy optimization, fodder management, and waste reduction. International studies indicate that cooperatives are increasingly aligning with global sustainability standards and linking agricultural production with environmental responsibility [36, 45].

### 5. Regional and Country Case Studies

#### 5.1 India and the Amul Model

India holds one of the world's most extensive cooperative networks. Cooperatives cover nearly every rural block and operate in areas such as credit, dairy, sugar, horticulture, fisheries, and input supply. PACS act as foundational rural institutions and are now being converted into multipurpose

entities through government-led reforms. The Amul cooperative model remains a landmark example of rural transformation. Originating in Anand district in Gujarat, it became the driving force behind Operation Flood—the world's largest dairy development program [1, 12]. The three-tier structure includes village-level societies, district unions, and a federal marketing organization. Amul consistently returns a high proportion of revenue to farmers, provides veterinary care, and ensures regular cash flow through daily milk payments. These factors collectively improved food security, education access, and rural living standards [36, 46].

#### 5.2 United States and Europe

In the United States, cooperatives are central to grain, cotton, dairy, and fruit industries. They operate large supply chains, storage facilities, and export networks. They also provide education, input supply, and extension services while keeping farmer ownership intact [45, 47]. In Europe, cooperatives such as Arla Foods, Fonterra (operating in global markets), and FrieslandCampina control substantial market shares in processed dairy products [37, 48]. Their investment in technology, research, and market branding demonstrates how cooperatives can compete with private companies if managed efficiently. However, the challenge of retaining democratic governance while expanding globally remains a critical issue in advanced cooperative systems [38, 49].

#### 5.3 Africa

African cooperatives often emerge in response to weak market infrastructure. In Zimbabwe and Cameroon, cooperatives have generated employment, raised production levels, and supported local economic development. Studies confirm that cooperatives provide access to training and increase the bargaining power of farmers, although long-term sustainability depends on governance quality and financial independence. In South Africa, cooperatives are strategically used to address historical inequalities, especially in land redistribution and rural entrepreneurship. Yet, many African cooperatives are vulnerable to political interference and face difficulties in scaling up operations [39, 50].

#### 5.4 China and East Asia

Cooperatives in China have expanded rapidly as instruments for integrating small-scale farmers into modern supply chains. Research demonstrates that cooperative membership significantly reduces multidimensional poverty by improving material conditions, capabilities, and social rights. In Japan and South Korea, agricultural cooperatives operate highly diversified systems that include banking, insurance, marketing, and extension services. Their integration into national policy frameworks shows that cooperative models adapt successfully when aligned with long-term agricultural strategies [40, 51].

### 6. Challenges and Limitations

Although cooperatives offer considerable benefits, their success is not guaranteed. Poor governance remains a recurring issue across many countries. Elite capture, limited transparency, and low member participation weaken the cooperative's democratic foundation. Financial instability

also affects many agricultural cooperatives due to restricted access to capital and inefficient management. In India, many PACS and cooperative banks have faced insolvency and non-performing assets. Another challenge is market competition from private agribusinesses that may offer more attractive incentives to farmers <sup>[1, 12]</sup>. Scalability raises further concerns, as large cooperatives may lose their member-centric character and become similar to corporate entities. Inclusion remains uneven, especially regarding women, youth, and landless farmers, who often face indirect entry barriers even when policies are favourable <sup>[31, 42]</sup>.

## 7. Policy Environment and Institutional Support

The success of cooperatives depends on strong policy frameworks and institutional backing. Legislation must balance cooperative autonomy with accountability. In India, reforms aim to modernize cooperative laws and emphasize digital integration, risk management, and professional management <sup>[45, 46]</sup>. Public investment plays a substantial role in sustaining rural cooperatives, especially in infrastructure, storage facilities, cold chains, and credit arrangements <sup>[16, 42]</sup>. International studies show that cooperatives are more effective when aligned with complementary rural development strategies, including education, health, digital connectivity, and water management. Without an enabling institutional ecosystem, cooperatives alone may not be able to drive rural development <sup>[21, 34]</sup>.

## 8. Future Directions

The future of agricultural cooperatives will depend on innovation and adaptability. Digitalization has the potential to reduce transaction costs, enhance transparency, and improve market access through online platforms. Climate-smart agriculture will increasingly rely on collective action, where cooperatives can coordinate resilient practices, crop diversification, and risk-sharing mechanisms. Youth engagement is critically important as younger farmers bring managerial skills, technical knowledge, and entrepreneurial thinking. Cooperative structures that promote youth leadership and innovation are more likely to remain relevant in changing agricultural environments <sup>[23, 34]</sup>.

## 9. Conclusion

Agricultural cooperatives hold significant potential in strengthening rural socio-economic systems across the world. Evidence from multiple continents shows that cooperatives can enhance market access, stabilize incomes, generate employment, promote gender inclusion, and support environmental sustainability. The Indian cooperative system, especially the Amul model, demonstrates how cooperatives can integrate millions of smallholders into modern value chains while maintaining democratic participation. However, cooperatives do not automatically produce equitable development outcomes. Their success depends on governance quality, institutional frameworks, financial discipline, and member engagement. The future of agricultural cooperatives will rely on how well they integrate digital innovations, climate resilience strategies, and youth participation. If these challenges are addressed, agricultural cooperatives will remain a vital component of rural socio-economic development and an

effective mechanism for inclusive growth.

## References

1. Shaikh MST, Suryawanshi SM. Socio-economic conditions of milk producers and their impact on dairy development. *Phoenix Int Multidiscip Res J*. 2025;3(1):137.
2. Christie N. Rethinking local institutional development in India: Theoretical perspectives and analysis of dairy cooperatives. *J Public Aff*. 2021;21(3):e2230.
3. Tanwar PS, Kumar Y. Socio-economic characteristics of member and non-member families of dairy cooperatives in semi-arid Rajasthan. *J Rural Agric Res*. 2014;14(1):1-4.
4. Raghunath BS, Agarwal YK. Socio-economic impact of dairy co-operatives on dairy farmers in Maharashtra State. *Int J Agriworld*. 2025;6:2.
5. Sahoo AK, Meher SK, Panda TC, Sahu S, Begum R, Barik NC. Critical review on cooperative societies in agricultural development in India. *Curr J Appl Sci Technol*. 2020;39(22):114-21.
6. Singh K, Pundir RS. Co-operatives and rural development in India. Anand: Institute of Rural Management; 2000.
7. Premising RT. Socio-economic impact of dairy co-operative societies on its members. Akola: Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth; 2019.
8. Khan N, Parashari AK, Salman MS. Role of dairy co-operatives in socio-economic development of dairy farmers in Moradabad District: A case study. *Int J Soc Sci*. 2014;2(1):1-8.
9. Chaudhary B, Upadhyaya M. Socio-economic impacts of dairy cooperative. *Econ J Dev Issues*. 2013;15-23.
10. Gangwar M, Kandekar N, Mandai MK, Kandekar P. Empowerment status of rural women: Insights from dairy cooperatives. *Soc Change*. 2004;34(1):112-23.
11. Tesfay A, Tadele H. The role of cooperatives in promoting socio-economic empowerment of women: Evidence from Tigray, Ethiopia. *Int J Community Dev*. 2013;1(1):1-11.
12. Bharti N. Role of cooperatives in economic empowerment of women: A review of Indian experiences. *World J Entrep Manag Sustain Dev*. 2021;17(4):617-31.
13. Getnet K, Anullo T. Agricultural cooperatives and rural livelihoods: Evidence from Ethiopia. *Ann Public Coop Econ*. 2012;83(2):181-98.
14. Patil MVB, Honnalli SH. A review of dairy farming as a tool for sustainable rural development and female empowerment in Kalaburagi and Bidar. n.d.
15. Bansal RK, Shaikh AS. Role of women dairy cooperative societies on income and employment of women. *Guj J Ext Educ*. 2021;32(2):75-80.
16. Gandhi M. Enhancing rural livelihoods: The role of dairy farming in income and employment generation in Telangana. *Int J Res Publ Rev*. 2024;5(10):4075-9.
17. Dhanalakshmi V, Pitchai C, Akilandeewari SV. Role of dairy cooperatives in addressing gender inequality and fostering rural development at Puducherry. In: 18th ICA Asia-Pacific Research Conference Proceedings; 2024. p. 269.
18. Kumar FR, Thamila M. Dairy cooperative



- developments in India—An overview. *Asia Pac J Res.* 2015;1.
19. Dash S, Sarangi MK, Dash M, Sahoo DS, Muduli K. Role of dairy cooperative society in empowering women in rural Odisha. *Int J Adv Sci Technol.* 2020;29(7):461-7.
  20. Kiba VA, Das S, Rohith GV. Economic empowerment of dairy farmers through dairy cooperative societies in Nagaland. *Agric Res J.* 2024;61(4).
  21. Dohmworth C. The impact of dairy cooperatives on the economic empowerment of rural women in Karnataka. Berlin: Humboldt University; 2014.
  22. Mandi K. Impact assessment of Jharkhand State Cooperative Milk Producers' Federation on socio-economic status of dairy farmers. Karnal: NDRI; 2022.
  23. Ghosh AK. Cooperative movement and rural development in India. *Soc Change.* 2007;37(3):14-32.
  24. Magrey AH, Belagalla N. Plastic pollution in agriculture: The unsung impact on soil fertility. *Agrifrontline.* 2025;1(3):24-8.
  25. Sudan FK. Role of dairy cooperatives in achieving the economic dimension of the SDGs. In: *Implementing the Sustainable Development Goals: What Role for Social and Solidarity Economy.* UNFSSSE; 2019.
  26. Akram AA, Chowdhury S, Mobarak AM. Effects of emigration on rural labour markets. NBER Working Paper. 2017;No. 23929.
  27. Obayelu AE, Obayelu OA, Tolorunju ET. Rural-urban labour migration and youth employment: The relevance of Nigeria's agricultural sector. In: *Palgrave Handbook of Agricultural and Rural Development in Africa.* Cham: Springer; 2020. p. 341-65.
  28. Yusuf OD, Adokwe CA. Effect of rural-urban migrants' remittances on socio-economic development in Nasarawa State, Nigeria. n.d.
  29. Hanako T. The dual forces of change: Impacts of rural-urban migration and peri-urban transformation. *Urban-Rural Community Stud.* 2025;1(1):6-11.
  30. Ezeudu TS, Tukur B. Effects of high poverty and unemployment on rural-urban migration in Nigeria. *J Child Adult Vaccines Immunol.* 2024;8:1-13.
  31. Farah N, Khan IA, Maan AA. Rural-urban migration. In: *Developing Sustainable Agriculture in Pakistan.* CRC Press; 2018. p. 687-701.
  32. Honyal AS, Premakumara C, Salvi S. Innovative technologies in harvest management: From field to market. *Agrifrontline.* 2025;1(3):6-10.
  33. Shrestha RB, Ali Y, Bhandari H, Islam A. Family farmers' cooperatives: Ending poverty and hunger in South Asia. SAARC Agriculture Center; 2020.
  34. Thapa DB. Socio-economic contribution of the cooperative [PhD dissertation]. Kathmandu: Tribhuvan University; 2017.
  35. Rahaman MS, Dana LP, Rahman MM, Moral IH. Socio-economic scenario of cooperative societies during COVID-19. In: *Cooperatives as a Catalyst for Sustainability.* Springer; 2023. p. 1-27.
  36. Patel BA. Economic empowerment through dairy cooperatives: A case study of Rambhas Division Milk Cooperative Society in Dang District, Gujarat. n.d.
  37. Kumar D. Comparative impact evaluation of dairy cooperatives of Jammu and Punjab. Jammu: SKUAST-J; 2020.
  38. Mandi K, Behera JJ, Meena DC. Dairy cooperatives in India: An overall outlook. *Indian Farmer.* n.d.;183:177.
  39. Choudhary S, Singh AK. Socio-economic upliftment of women through dairy enterprise. Lulu.com; 2017.
  40. Singh M. Sustainable harvest management: Balancing productivity and environmental impact. *Agrifrontline.* 2025;1(3):11-14.
  41. Priscilla L. Economic analysis of dairy cooperatives in Manipur State. Karnal: NDRI; 2017.
  42. Scholten BA, Basu P. White counter-revolution? India's dairy cooperatives in a neoliberal era. *Hum Geogr.* 2009;2(1):1-25.
  43. Poudel D. Management of cooperatives focusing on Asta-Ja and globalization. *J Comp Int Manag.* 2018;21(1):77-84.
  44. Uddin ME, Pervez AK, Gao Q. Effect of voluntary cooperativisation on livelihood capital of smallholder dairy farmers in southwest Bangladesh. *GeoJournal.* 2022;87(1):111-30.
  45. Singla N, Haq F, Saraf SA, Bhagat V. Performance and economic impact of dairy cooperatives in Pulwama District of Jammu and Kashmir (UT), India. *Asian J Dairy Food Res.* n.d.
  46. Singh M, Meena HL, Najmusaqib S. Optimizing harvest management: Strategies for maximizing crop yield and quality. *Agrifrontline.* 2025;1(3):1-5.