P-ISSN: 2618-0723 E-ISSN: 2618-0731



NAAS Rating (2025): 5.04 www.extensionjournal.com

### **International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development**

Volume 8; SP-Issue 9; September 2025; Page No. 96-99

Received: 21-06-2025

Accepted: 26-07-2025

Indexed Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal

## Profile and Suggestions of dryland farmers about dryland technologies in Marathwada region of Maharashtra

Sagar Chandaki<sup>1</sup>, PR Deshmukh<sup>2</sup>, R P Kadam<sup>3</sup>, AS Lad<sup>4</sup>, SR Jakkawad<sup>5</sup> and DS Perke<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

<sup>3</sup>Professor & Head, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

<sup>5</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

<sup>6</sup>Associate Dean and Principal, College of Agriculture, Dharashiv, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2025.v8.i9i.2464

Corresponding Author: Sagar Chandaki

### Abstract

The study was conducted to find out the Profile and Suggestions of dryland farmers related to dryland technologies among farmers in Marathwada region of Maharashtra. Total 120 respondents were randomly selected from 6 villages of 1 taluka of Parbhani district. Data were collected using a well-structured interview schedule. Data were analysed by using frequency, percentage, mean, Pearson's coefficient of correlation and standard deviation. The result was concluded that the majority of dryland farmers were middle aged farmers (60%), educated up to higher secondary school level (35.83%), medium size of family (65%), having marginal land holdings (40.83%), with medium level of annual income (87.50%), medium extension participation (52.50%) and belonged to medium level of social participation (75%).

It was observed that major suggestions given by Dryland farmers were 80.00 percent of farmers suggested that, there is a need of information awareness about soil and water conservation technology, 76.66 percent of farmers suggested that, there is a need of frequent technical extension guidance, 75 percent of farmers suggested that financial facilities should be given in time for adoption of dry land technologies, 74.16 percent of farmers suggested that there is a need of appropriate services from the agricultural department, 71.66 percent of farmers suggested that there is a need to simplify the procedure for subsidy and loan.

Keywords: Profile, suggestions, dryland farmers, dryland technology

### 1. Introduction

Dry land agriculture is the practice of growing crops in arid, semi-arid, and sub-humid climates solely through rainfall. One type of subsistence farming in areas with insufficient rainfall is dry land agriculture. Through the use of diverse dry land farming technologies, high-yield and high-efficiency agricultural output can be achieved in areas without irrigation and that rely heavily on natural rainfall. Based on the amount of rainfall received, dry land agriculture has been divided into three categories:

1. **Dry farming:** This is the practice of cultivating crops without irrigation in dry regions with less than 750 mm of annual rainfall and a growth season of less than 75 days. Due to extended dry spells during the crop cycle,

- crop failures are more common in dry farming. Potential transpiration and evaporation exceed typical rainfall. There are less than 200 days in the growth season.
- 2. Dry land farming: Growing crops in regions that get more than 750 mm but less than 1150 mm of rainfall. Crop failure is less common, however there are dry spells during the crop's lifespan. This category includes semi-arid locations.
- **3. Rainfed farming:** Is the method of growing crops without irrigation in regions that receive more than 1150 mm of rainfall annually. There is relatively little possibility of crop failure and water stress here.

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 96

Crops can be grown without irrigation using three different agricultural techniques: rainfed farming, dry farming, and dry land farming. Rainfed agriculture, which comprises the majority of Indian agriculture, includes both dry farming and dry land agriculture. Rain-fed agriculture makes up around 65% of India's arable land, and the amount of each crop produced is determined by the monsoon season. Nearly 75% of India's 143 million hectares of arable land are made up of 108 million hectares of rainfed land. Rainfed agriculture provides almost 40% of India's total food grain production, 75% of its oilseeds, and 90% of its pulses. Dry land agriculture accounts for about two-thirds of India's total

cultivated area and generates nearly half of its total agricultural output value.

### 1.1 Maharashtra Dry land agriculture profile

India has nearly nearly 80 Million hectares of dry land area out of 141 Million hectares of total cultivable land area. Dry land constitutes about 52% of total cultivable land area. In Maharashtra nearly 83%-84% area under dry land agriculture only 16% area has irrigation facility in Maharashtra which cannot be increased to more than 25% of total crop area. Parbhani district comes under Marathwada region. District's more portion is covered by dry land area.

Maharashtra Dry land agriculture profile

|                   | Total cultivable land (lakh ha) | Dry land area (Lakh ha) | Irrigated land area (Lakh ha) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Maharashtra       | 225                             | 184.42 (82%)            | 40.58 (18%)                   |
| Marathwada Region | 57                              | 48.9 (86%)              | 8.1 (14%)                     |

Dryland agriculture in Maharashtra is a vital component of the state's agricultural sector, supporting the livelihoods of millions of farmers and rural communities. Despite facing numerous challenges, including climate change, water scarcity, and soil degradation, dryland agriculture in Maharashtra has tremendous potential for growth and development.

### 2. Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted randomly in the Parbhani district of the Marathwada region in Maharashtra, where a considerable number of dryland farmers are there. The objective was to study the Profile and suggestions of dryland farmers related to dryland technologies among farmers in Marathwada region of Maharashtra. One taluka, Parbhani, is selected randomly from the district. From the

taluka, six villages with a significant number of dryland farmers who were practicing dryland agriculture were randomly chosen. In each village, 20 dryland farmers who are practicing dryland agriculture were selected randomly, making a total of 120 respondents for the study. Two dependent variables Knowledge and Adoption and seven independent variables viz., Age, Education, Size of family, Land holding, Annual income, Extension participation and Social participation were selected for the study. Data were collected from respondents using an interview schedule through personal interviews. The data were analyzed using frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation, and Pearson's coefficient of correlation.

### 2.1 Variables and their empirical measurements

Variables and their empirical measurements

| Sr. No | Variables  | Measurement   |  |
|--------|--|---|--|
|        | Independent variables  |   |  |
| 1.     | Age  | Actual chronological age(in years) of respondent at the time of interview |  |
| 2.     | Education Formal education obtained by the respondent  |   |  |
| 3.     | Land holding Classification as per the state Government of Maharashtra                       |   |  |
| 4.     | Size of family   | Size of family Number of family members of respondent                     |  |
| 5.     | Annual income Income in rupees of the farmer family derived from all the resources in a year |   |  |
| 6.     | Extension Participation  | Schedule was developed  |  |
| 7.     | Social participation   | Schedule was developed  |  |
|        | Dependent variables  |   |  |
| 1.     | Knowledge  | Schedule was developed  |  |
| 2.     | Adoption   | Schedule was developed  |  |

## 2.2 suggestions given by the farmers in adopting dry land agricultural technologies.

Suggestions were secured from the farmers to overcome the constraints experienced by them in adopting the technology.

The suggestions were grouped and percentages of suggestion were worked out and based on the percentage, ranks were allotted to the constraints.

www.extensionjournal.com 97

### 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Profile of dry land farmers

Table 1: Distribution of respondents according to their profile

| Sr. No                  | Category                          | Frequency | Percentage |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|
|                         | A. Age                            |           |            |  |  |  |
| 1                       | Young (Up to 30 years)            | 26        | 21.67      |  |  |  |
| 2                       | Middle (31 to 57 years)           | 72        | 60.00      |  |  |  |
| 3                       | Old (58 years & Above)            | 22        | 18.33      |  |  |  |
|                         | B. Education                      |           |            |  |  |  |
| 1                       | Illiterate                        | 8         | 6.67       |  |  |  |
| 2                       | Literate (Can read and write)     | 9         | 7.50       |  |  |  |
| 3                       | Primary School                    | 19        | 15.83      |  |  |  |
| 4                       | Seconadary                        | 28        | 23.33      |  |  |  |
| 5                       | Higher sec. School                | 43        | 35.83      |  |  |  |
| 6                       | Graduation                        | 13        | 10.83      |  |  |  |
|                         |                                   |           |            |  |  |  |
|                         | C. Size of family                 |           |            |  |  |  |
| 1                       | Small (Up to 3)                   | 26        | 21.67      |  |  |  |
| 2                       | Medium (4 to 7)                   | 78        | 65.00      |  |  |  |
| 3                       | Large (8 & Above)                 | 16        | 13.33      |  |  |  |
|                         | D. Land holding                   |           |            |  |  |  |
| 1                       | Marginal (upto 1.00 ha.)          | 49        | 40.83      |  |  |  |
| 2                       | Small (1.01 to 2.00 ha.)          | 48        | 40.00      |  |  |  |
| 3                       | Semi medium (2.01 to 4.00 ha.)    | 18        | 15.00      |  |  |  |
| 4                       | Medium (4.01 to 10.00 ha.)        | 3         | 2.50       |  |  |  |
| 5                       | Large (10.01 ha. and above)       | 2         | 1.67       |  |  |  |
|                         | E. Annual income                  |           |            |  |  |  |
| 1                       | Low (Up to Rs. 62,000)            | 3         | 2.50       |  |  |  |
| 2                       | Medium (Rs 62,001 to Rs 2,52,000) | 105       | 87.50      |  |  |  |
| 3                       | High (Rs. 2,52,001 and above)     | 12        | 10.00      |  |  |  |
|                         | F. Extension participati          | ion       |            |  |  |  |
| 1                       | Low (Up to 3)                     | 42        | 35.00      |  |  |  |
| 2                       | Medium (4 to 6)                   | 63        | 52.50      |  |  |  |
| 3                       | High (7 & above)                  | 15        | 12.50      |  |  |  |
| G. Social participation |                                   |           |            |  |  |  |
| 1                       | Low (Up to 1)                     | 23        | 19.17      |  |  |  |
| 2                       | Medium (2 to 3)                   | 90        | 75.00      |  |  |  |
| 3                       | High (4 & above 4)                | 7         | 5.83       |  |  |  |

It was observed from table 1 that, majority of the dryland farmers were middle aged farmers (60.00%), educated up to higher secondary school level (35.83%), medium size of family (65.00%), having marginal land holdings (40.83%), with medium level of annual income (87.50%), medium extension participation (52.50%) and belonged to medium level of social participation (75.00%).

# 3.2 Distribution of respondents according to the Suggestions given by farmers for adoption of recommended dry land agricultural practices.

The suggestions obtained from farmers to overcome problems was noted. The frequency and percentage for each constraint was worked out and based on percentage the ranks were allotted.

Distribution of respondents according to the Suggestions given by farmers for adoption of recommended dry land agricultural practices

N = 120

| Sr. No | Suggestions  |    | Percentage | Rank |
|--------|--|----|------------|------|
| 1      | There is a need of information awareness about soil and water conservation technology. | 96 | 80%        | I    |
| 2      | There is a need of frequent technical extension guidance.                              | 92 | 76.66%     | II   |
| 3      | There is a need of appropriate services from the agricultural department.              | 89 | 74.16%     | IV   |
| 4      | Financial facilities should be given in time for adoption of dry land technologies.    | 90 | 75%        | III  |
| 5      | There is a need to simplify the procedure for subsidy and loan.                        | 86 | 71.66%     | V    |

The table showed that, 80.00 percent of farmers suggested that There is a need of information awareness about soil and water conservation technology and ranked I. 76.66 percent of farmers suggested that There is a need of frequent technical extension guidance and ranked II. 75 percent of farmers suggested that Financial facilities should be given in

time for adoption of dry land technologies and ranked III. 74.16 percent of farmers suggested that There is a need of appropriate services from the agricultural department and ranked IV. 71.66 percent of farmers suggested that There is a need to simplify the procedure for subsidy and loan and ranked V.

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 98

The findings were similar to Parasu Ram Singh *et al.* (2004)  $^{[16]}$  and Kumbhare and Singh (2011)  $^{[7]}$ .

### 4. Conclusion

It was observed that majority of the dryland farmers were middle aged farmers (60%), educated up to higher secondary school level (35.83%), medium size of family (65%), having marginal land holdings (40.83%), with medium level of annual income (87.50%), medium extension participation (52.50%) and belonged to medium level of social participation. The study also identifies that, 80 percent of the farmers have suggested that there is a need of information awareness about soil and water conservation technology, 76.66 percent of the farmers have suggested that there is a need of frequent technical extension guidance and 75 percent of the farmers have suggested that financial facilities should be given in time for adoption of dry land technologies are the major suggestions given by the respondents.

Overall, the study suggests that promoting the adoption of dryland technologies among dryland farmers requires a multi-faceted approach that addresses knowledge gaps, ensures availability and accessibility, and provides policy support. By addressing the knowledge gaps identified in this study, policymakers, extension agencies, and other stakeholders can develop effective strategies to promote the adoption of dryland technologies among dryland farmers. Ultimately, this can contribute to improved crop productivity, soil health, and environmental sustainability.

### References

- 1. Benal D, Patel MM, Jain MP, Singh VB. Adoption of dryland technology; 2010.
- Prashanth P, Jaganmohan RM. Study on the profile of organic cotton farmers of Karimnagar district of Andhra Pradesh. Int J Farm Sci. 2012;2(2):134-140.
- 3. Sabi S. Knowledge and technological gap in wheat production [MSc (Agri.) thesis]. Dharwad: Univ. Agric. Sci.; 2012. p. 1-120.
- 4. Nigade DD. Impact of Kisan Credit Card scheme among the beneficiaries of Marathwada region [doctoral dissertation]. Parbhani: Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth; 2022. p. 1-220.
- Kale ND. Impact of National Agricultural Innovation Project on its beneficiaries in Marathwada region [doctoral dissertation]. Parbhani: Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth; 2020. p. 1-200.
- 6. Murmu RR, Bose DK, Jahanara. Knowledge of the beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries about Kisan Credit Card Scheme in Deoghar Block of Deoghar District, Jharkhand, India. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2019;8(6):435-442.
- 7. Kumbhare NV, Singh K. Adoption behavior and constraints in wheat and paddy production technologies. Indian Res J Ext Educ. 2011;11(3):41-44.
- 8. Raghuwansi S. A study on adoption of improved production technology by the soybean growers in Hoshangabad of M.P. [MSc (Agri.) thesis]. Jabalpur: Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya; 2010. p. 1-130.
- 9. Yadav SK, Prajati RR, Prajapati MR, Patel VT. Training needs and relative suitability for training

- programmes of tomato growers in Jaipur district of Rajasthan state. Int J Agric Sci. 2016;8(13):1206-1209.
- Parmar L. An evaluation study of farmer field school on soybean management practices conducted by agriculture department of Tikamgarh district Madhya Pradesh [MSc (Agri.) thesis]. Jabalpur: Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya; 2014. p. 1-140.
- 11. Puneet. A study on adoption of dairy management practices by KVK trained farmers [MSc (Agri.) thesis]. Shivamogga: Univ. Agric. Hrt. Sci.; 2016. p. 1-130.
- 12. Mishra NM. Knowledge and attitude of farmers towards Soil Health Card Scheme in Tikamgarh district of Madhya Pradesh [MSc thesis]. Jabalpur: Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya; 2020. p. 1-115. Available from:
  - https://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/581179528
- Choudhary V. Study on impact of Watershed Development Programme on productivity of major crops grown by the beneficiaries of Katangi block of Balaghat District (M.P.) [MSc thesis]. Jabalpur: Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya; 2010. p. 1-120.
- 14. Agashe R. Knowledge and adoption level of plant protection schedule and certified seed by potato growers. J Krishi Vigyan. 2017;5(2):90-93. https://doi.org/10.5958/2349-4433.2017.00021.6
- 15. Priyanka GP. Impact of Bhoochethana programme in Shivamogga district of Karnataka [MSc (Agri.) thesis]. Shivamogga: Univ. Agric. Hrt. Sci.; 2016. p. 1-140.
- 16. Parasu RS, Singh M, Jaiswal RS, Raikwar R. Feeding of existing concentrate mixtures to dairy animals in the Kumaon hills of Uttaranchal. Indian J Anim Res. 2004;38(2):147-149.

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 99