P-ISSN: 2618-0723 E-ISSN: 2618-0731



NAAS Rating: 5.04 www.extensionjournal.com

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 8; Issue 7; July 2025; Page No. 338-341

Received: 02-05-2025

Accepted: 07-06-2025

Indexed Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal

Economic Analysis of Aonla Candy In Vidarbha Region

¹PP Wani, ²BN Ganvir, ³VK Khobarkar, ⁴VS Tekale, ⁵SR Patil and ⁵RD Walke

¹Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India ²Retired Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India ³Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India

⁴Associate Dean, College of Agriculture, Mul, Maharashtra, India

⁵Head of Section, Department of Agricultural Economics & Statistics, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2025.v8.i7e.2150

Corresponding Author: PP Wani

Abstract

This study presents a detailed economic analysis of Aonla (Indian gooseberry) candy processing units in the Vidarbha region of Maharashtra. With increasing demand for healthy, shelf-stable, and value-added fruit products, Aonla candy has gained popularity due to its nutritional value, long shelf life, and market appeal. The study evaluates the financial feasibility of these units by examining capital investment, cost structures, marketing practices, value addition, and break-even analysis. Primary data were collected from small, medium, and large-scale Aonla candy processing units during the year 2020-2021. The analysis revealed a total average cost of ₹183 per kg, with gross returns amounting to ₹289 per kg, resulting in a benefit-cost ratio of 1.58. These findings confirm that Aonla candy processing is a viable and profitable agri-business, offering potential for income generation, employment, and rural industrial development.

Keywords: Aonla candy, value addition, agri-business, cost analysis, benefit-cost ratio, break-even analysis, rural development

Introduction

Aonla (*Phyllanthus emblica*), a fruit renowned for its high vitamin C content and therapeutic properties, is extensively cultivated and consumed in India. With growing consumer awareness toward health-oriented and functional foods, the demand for value-added products such as Aonla candy has increased substantially. This product offers a combination of nutritional benefits, extended shelf life, and consumer appeal through attractive taste and packaging. Given the fruit's seasonal nature and perishability, processing becomes essential to reduce post-harvest losses and enhance marketability. Aonla candy, as a processed product, plays a vital role in preserving the fruit while contributing to income generation through value addition.

This study focuses on the Vidarbha region of Maharashtra, where processing of horticultural crops has become an emerging livelihood opportunity. Primary data were collected from selected small, medium, and large Aonla candy processing units for the reference year 2020-2021. The dataset includes comprehensive information on capital investment, input costs, labour use, packaging, marketing practices, returns, and sales strategies. To assess economic viability and operational sustainability, the study employed cost-return analysis, break-even point calculations, and tools for evaluating marketing efficiency.

Objectives

To estimate per unit cost and returns of Aonla candy

processing units.

Methodology

This study, "Economic Analysis of Aonla Candy in Vidarbha", adopted a structured approach to analyse cost structures, economic returns, and marketing efficiency associated with orange-based value-added products.

The study was carried out in the Vidarbha region of Maharashtra, encompassing processing units of varying sizes. Units were classified based on their annual turnover:

- Small-scale units: Turnover less than Rs. 20 lakhs
- Medium-scale units: Turnover between Rs. 20-40 lakhs
- Large-scale units: Turnover above Rs. 40 lakhs

Four processing units were selected, and primary data were collected through personal interviews using a structured schedule. Analytical methods included cost-return analysis, break-even analysis, and computation of benefit-cost ratios. The break-even quantity was computed using the formula:

$$Q = \frac{TFC}{(P-AVC)}$$

Where.

Q = Quantity of processed product in quintals required for break-even.

TFC = Total fixed cost

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 338

P = Price (Processing charges) per quintal AVC= Average variable cost of processing per quintal

Results and Discussion

The economic analysis of Aonla candy processing units in the Vidarbha region was carried out by categorizing units into small, medium, and large groups based on their annual turnover. The major findings related to capital investment, raw material usage, production returns, cost structure, and profitability.

Capital Investment in Aonla Candy Production

Capital investment is a critical factor influencing the scale and efficiency of processing units. As shown in Table 1, the overall capital investment for Aonla candy units was ₹2,88,782. Among all components, machinery constituted the highest share (42.75%), followed by building (33.14%) and land (8.88%). The data clearly indicate that capital investment increases with the scale of the unit, with large units investing significantly more in machinery and infrastructure.

Table 1: Capital Investment in Aonla Candy Production (Rs.)

Sr.	Particulars		Groups of Units						
No.	Particulars	Small	Medium	Large	Overall				
1	Land	4637	28202	38456	25642				
		(4.29)	(10.40)	(7.39)	(8.88)				
2	Building	35346	91235	160548	95710				
		(32.73)	(33.64)	(30.86)	(33.14)				
3	Machinery	45222	110586	214562	123457				
		(41.88)	(40.78)	(41.24)	(42.75)				
4	Vehicle	16281	32477	80415	28452				
		(15.08)	(11.98)	(15.45)	(9.85)				
5	Furniture	648	845	14852	5648				
		(0.60)	(0.31)	(2.85)	(1.96)				
6	Other fixed capital	5846	7854	11485	9874				
	-	(5.41)	(2.90)	(2.21)	(3.42)				
	Total	107980	271198	520318	288782				
	rotar	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)				

(Figures in parentheses indicate percentages to total)

At the overall level, capital investment per unit was Rs. 2.89 lakh. Machinery accounted for the highest share (42.75%), followed by building (33.14%) and land (8.88%). Capital investment increased with the scale of production.

Unit Raw Material Used for Aonla Candy Processing

Raw materials form the backbone of aonla candy

production. Table 2 illustrates that the total raw material cost at the overall level was ₹2,35,698, where sugar contributed the highest share (51.21%), followed by aonla fruits (37.56%). The quantity of aonla fruits used increased from 1768.43 kg in small units to 7456.71 kg in large units, showing a direct correlation between production scale and raw material requirement.

Table 2: Per Unit Raw Material Used for Aonla Candy Processing

		Groups of Units							
Sl.		Small		Medium		Large		Overall	
No.	Particulars	Qty	Value	Qty	Value	Qty	Value	Qty	Value
1	Apple familia (Ira)	1768.43	38905	3148.04	66109	7456.71	149134	4215.39	88523
1	Aonla fruits (kg)	1708.43	(38.14)	3146.04	(37.82)	7430.71	(36.93)	4213.39	(37.56)
2	Cuaar(Va)	1485.48	51992	2612.87	88942	6114.50	207893	3498.77	120708
2	Sugar(Kg)	1465.46	(50.97)	2012.87	(50.88)	0114.30	(51.48)	3498.77	(51.21)
3	Salt(kg)	74.27	1114	132.22	1983	313.18	4698	177.05	2656
3	San(kg)	74.27	(1.09)	132.22	(1.13)	313.16	(1.16)	177.03	(1.13)
4	Cardamom powder(kg)	0.27	1129	0.47	2010	1.12	4762	0.63	2692
+	Cardamoni powder(kg)	0.27	(1.11)	0.47	(1.15)	1.12	(1.18)	0.03	(1.14)
5	Ginger poweder(kg)	1.77	1326	3.15	2361	7.46	5593	4.22	3162
,	Giliger poweder(kg)	1.//	(1.30)	3.13	(1.35)	7.40	(1.38)	4.22	(1.34)
6	Preservatives(kg)	10.61	7533	18.89	13411	44.74	31766	25.29	17958
U	i iesei vativės(kg)	10.01	(7.39)	10.09	(7.67)	44.74	(7.87)	23.29	(7.62)
	Total		102000		174816		403845		235698
	Total		(100.00)		(100.00)		(100.00)		(100.00)

(Figures in parenthesis indicate percentages to gross returns)

Total candy production was 2655.70 kg with gross returns of Rs. 7.67 lakh. The 100g pack was the most profitable, contributing 54.20% of total income, showing consumer preference for smaller packaging

Per Unit Production and Returns from Aonla Candy

The success of annla candy processing is determined by the volume of production and corresponding revenue. Table 3 reveals that total production per unit was 2655.70 kg, with

gross returns of ₹7,67,257. Notably, 100g polythene bags contributed the most to income (₹4,15,882 or 54.20%),

indicating strong consumer preference for smaller packaging formats.

Table 3: Per Unit Production and Returns from Aonla Candy

C			Groups of Units								
Sr.	Particulars	Unit	Small		Medi	ium	Lar	Large		Overall	
No.			Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	
1	Candy production	kg	1096.42		2014.74		5070.56		2655.70		
2	Dalvithana haa 100 am	1ra	570.14	165341	1027.52	297980	2433.87	705822	1434.08	415882	
	Polythene bag 100 gm	kg	370.14	(52.310	1027.32	(51.25)		(48.16)		(54.20)	
3	Dalvithana haa 250 am	l.a	383.75	110136	564.13	162469	1571 07	454272	770.15	221804	
3	Polythene bag 250 gm	kg	363.73	(34.84)	304.13	(27.94)	1571.87	(30.99)		(28.91)	
4	Dalvithana haa 500 am	l.a	142.54	40622	423.10	121005	1064.82	305603	451.47	129571	
	Polythene bag 500 gm	kg	142.34	(12.85)	425.10	(20.81)	1004.82	(20.85)	431.47	(16.89)	
5	Gross returns		Rs.	316099		581455		1465697		767257	
)	Gross returns	KS.		(100.00)		(100.00)		(100.00)		(100.00)	

Total candy production was 2655.70 kg with gross returns of Rs. 7.67 lakh. The 100g pack was the most profitable, contributing 54.20% of total income, showing consumer preference for smaller packaging.

Cost and Returns in Aonla Candy Production

An analysis of cost structure and profitability provides

clarity on the economic feasibility of processing units. As presented in Table 4, the total cost at the overall level was ₹4,87,087, with variable costs accounting for the majority (56.40%). The net returns amounted to ₹2,80,170, and the Benefit-Cost (B:C) ratio was 1.58, suggesting that aonla candy processing is a financially viable activity, especially at larger scales.

Table 4: Cost and Returns in Aonla Candy Production (Rs.)

Sr.	Particulars	Groups of Units					
No.	Particulars	Small	Medium	Large	Overall		
A)	Variab	le cost					
1	Aonla fruits	38905	66109	149134	88523		
1	Aonia iruits	(18.36)	(17.63)	(16.97)	(18.17)		
2	Ç	51992	88942	207893	120708		
2	Sugar	(24.54)	(23.72)	(23.66)	(24.78)		
3	Salt	1114	1983	4698	2656		
3	Sait	(0.53)	(0.53)	(0.53)	(0.55)		
4	C	1129	2010	4762	2692		
4	Cardamom powder	(0.53)	(0.54)	(0.54)	(0.55)		
5	C: 1	1326	2361	5593	3162		
3	Ginger powder	(0.63]	(0.63)	(0.64)	(0.65)		
	E I	992	1190	2380	1520		
6	Fuel	(0.47)	(0.32)	(0.27)	(0.31)		
7	D	7533	13411	31766	17958		
7	Preservatives etc.	(3.56)	(3.58)	(3.61)	(3.69)		
0	D. d. din a matarial	2467	4332	10192	5338		
8	Packaging material	(1.16)	(1.16)	(1.16)	(1.10)		
9	Repairs and	411	845	1145	645		
9	renewals	(0.19)	(0.23)	(0.13)	(0.13)		
10	Wages paid to	4637	11280	24139	11054		
10	casual labours	(2.19)	(3.01)	(2.75)	(2.27)		
11	Interest on working	13261	23095	(23.66) (23.66) (4698 (0.53) (0.53) (0.54) (0.54) 5593 (0.64) 2380 (0.27) 31766 (3.61) 10192 (1.16) 1145 (0.13) 24139 (2.75) 53004 (6.03) (6.03) (6.03) (6.04) 22585 (2.57) 11537 (1.31) 47815 (5.44)	30511		
11	capital @ 12%	(6.26)	(6.16)	(6.03)	(6.26)		
	Total (A)	123767	208014	477273	274736		
	Total (A)	(58.42)	(55.47)	(54.31)	(56.40)		
B)	Fixed	Cost					
12	License fee	220	220	310	250		
12	License fee	(0.10)	(0.06)	(0.04)	(0.05)		
13	Salary to permanent	9621	10779	22585	12084		
13	labours	(4.54)	(2.87)	(2.57)	(2.48]		
14	Land rent	2086	8460	11537	7693		
14	Land lent	(0.98)	(2.26)	(1.31)	(1.58)		
15	Danraciation	5105	12585	47815	21835		
13	Depreciation	(2.41)	(3.36)	(5.44)	(4.48)		
16	Interest on fixed	8638	21696	41625	23103		
10	capital @8%	(4.08)	(5.79)	(4.74)	(4.74)		

www.extensionjournal.com 340

		25671	53740	123872	64964
	Total (B)	(12.12)	(14.33)	(14.10)	(13.34)
	Market	ing Cost			, ,
17	Toronout and allow Madestine and	5513	8581	13747	9280
1 /	Transport and other Marketing cost	(2.60)	(2.29)	(1.56)	(1.91)
18	GST 12%	56898	104662	263825	138106
10	GS1 12%	(26.86)	(27.91]	(30.02)	(28.35)
	Total (C)	62410	113242	277573	147386
	Total (C)	(29.46)	(30.20)	(31.59)	(30.26)
	Total Cost	211848	374996	878718	487087
	Total Cost	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)
D)	Return	ıs (Rs.)			
19	a) A onla condu	316099	581455	1465697	767257
19	a) Aonla candy	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)
20	b) by product	0	0	0	0
20	b) by product	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
21	Gross Returns	316099	581455	1465697	767257
21	Gloss Returns	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)
22	Net returns	104251	206458	586979	280170
22	Net letuins	(32.98)	(35.51)	(40.05)	(36.52)
23	B:C ratio	1.49	1.55	1.67	1.58
24	Per quintal cost	19322	18613	17330	18341
25	Cost per kg.	193.22	186.13	173.30	183.41
26	Returns per kg.	288.30	288.60	289.06	288.91

(Figures in parentheses indicate percentages to total cost)

Overall cost of production was Rs. 4.87 lakh per unit, with variable cost being the largest component (56.4%). Net returns stood at Rs. 2.80 lakh and profitability improved with larger unit size, as reflected in higher B:C ratios.

Per Quintal Cost and Returns in Aonla Candy Production

Per quintal analysis offers insights into the unit-level efficiency and profitability of processing. The table below presents the per quintal cost and returns in aonla candy production, segregated by unit size, to understand the economies of scale.

Table 5: Per Quintal Cost and Returns in Aonla Candy Production (Rs.)

Sr.	Doutionlone	Groups of Unit					
No.	Particulars	Small	Medium	Large	Overall		
1	Variable cost	11288	10325	9413	10345		
2	Aonla fruits	3548	3281	2941	3333		
3	Fixed Cost	2341	2667	2443	2446		
4	Transport cost	503	426	271	349		
6	Total Marketing Cost	5692	5621	5474	5550		
7	Total Cost	19322	18613	17330	18341		
8	Returns (Rs.)						
9	a)Aonla candy	28830	28860	28906	28891		
10	b) by product	0	0	0	0		
11	Gross Returns (Rs)	28830	28860	28906	28891		
12	Net returns	9508	10247	11576	10550		
13	B.C.Ratio	1.49	1.55	1.67	1.58		
15	Polythene bag (100 gm)	19	19	17	18		
16	Polythene bag (250 gm)	48	47	43	46		
17	Polythene bag (500 gm)	97	93	87	92		
18	Cost per kg	193	186	173	183		
19	Gross returns per kg.	288	289	289	289		

Interpretation

Per quintal production cost was Rs. 18,341 and gross returns were Rs. 28,891, yielding net returns of Rs. 10,550. Profitability increased with scale, with the B:C ratio highest (1.67) in large units.

Discussion

Aonla candy production is economically viable, with profitability improving significantly as the scale increases. Capital investment rose from ₹1.08 lakh in small units to ₹5.20 lakh in large units, with machinery being the largest component. Raw material costs were dominated by sugar and Aonla fruits, together making up nearly 89% of inputs across all unit sizes.

Average production was 2,655.70 kg per unit, yielding ₹7.67 lakh in gross returns. The 100g packs were the most profitable, contributing over 54% of income. Variable costs formed the majority of total expenses (over 54%), and net returns were highest in large units (₹5.87 lakh) with a B:C ratio of 1.67.

Per quintal costs declined with scale, while gross returns remained stable, confirming better efficiency in large-scale operations. The findings highlight that scaling up, controlling costs, and using effective packaging strategies are key to maximizing profitability.

References

- 1. Kumar A. Economic analysis of production, processing and marketing of litchi in Bihar [PhD thesis]. Pusa (Bihar): Department of Agricultural Economics, RAU; 2009
- 2. Sonawane AB, Jadhav AS, Bhoge KR. An economic evaluation of aonla candy processing unit. Int J Agric Sci. 2017;9(40):4537-9.
- Naik VT. An economic analysis of mango production, processing and export in South Konkan region of Maharashtra [MSc thesis]. Dapoli: Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. BSKKV; 2005.
- 4. Talathi JM, Sawant PA. Economics of kokum processing units in Ratnagiri district. Agric Mark. 2001;44(2):23-7.
- Patil NB. Economics of cashew processing units in Konkan region [MSc thesis]. Dapoli: Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. BSKKV; 2002.

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 341