

## International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 8; SP-Issue 5; May 2025; Page No. 21-26

Received: 17-02-2025  
Accepted: 19-03-2025

Indexed Journal  
Peer Reviewed Journal

### Effects of climate change scenario on insect-pests

<sup>1</sup>Meenu, <sup>2</sup>Kumar Rajesh, <sup>3</sup>Lalita, <sup>4</sup>Devi Roomi and <sup>5</sup>Singh Gulab

<sup>1</sup>CCSHAU, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Bhiwani, Haryana, India

<sup>2</sup>CCSHAU, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Jhajjar, Haryana, India

<sup>3</sup>CCSHAU, Rice Research Station, Kaul, Haryana, India

<sup>4</sup>CCSHAU, College of Agriculture, Bawal, Haryana, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2025.v8.i5Sa.1875>

Corresponding Author: Meenu

#### Abstract

Insects, as ectothermic organisms with short life cycles and highly variable population sizes, are expected to respond swiftly to climate change. Despite having thrived and diversified for over 450 million years through Earth's fluctuating climates, insects are now confronted with unprecedented threats driven by rapid changes in temperature and precipitation compounded by decades of human induced environmental pressures. Climate change is also intensifying the damage insects inflict on crops, leading to greater yield losses and posing significant challenges for pest management. Natural enemies are also equally affected by these environmental changes. This comprehensive scientific review warns that without targeted efforts to protect insects from climate related impacts, our ability to achieve a sustainable future will be severely compromised. The threat is particularly acute in tropical regions, where deforestation, agriculture, and climate change intersect to endanger insect populations most.

**Keywords:** Ectothermic, natural enemies, precipitation and temperature

#### 1. Introduction

Over the last hundred years, global surface temperatures have increased by approximately 0.8 °C and are projected to rise further-potentially reaching between 1.1 °C and 5.4 °C by the next century (Sharma *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[52]</sup>. Simultaneously, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels have climbed from 280 ppm to 370 ppm, with expectations of doubling by 2100. These climatic transformations-characterized by elevated temperatures, erratic precipitation, and extended droughts-pose significant threats to agriculture.

Climatic variables influence crop physiology through complex mechanisms, altering photosynthesis at both tissue and organ levels. These changes also have profound effects on insect populations. Insects are impacted directly-through shifts in metabolism and behavior (Samways, 2005; Parmesan, 2007; Merrill *et al.*, 2008) <sup>[50, 45, 37]</sup> and indirectly via alterations in host plant availability, competition, and predation dynamics (Harrington *et al.*, 2001; Bale *et al.*, 2002) <sup>[26, 5]</sup>. Broader ecological outcomes include changes in species phenology, distribution, and community dynamics, which may ultimately lead to species extinctions (Walther *et al.*, 2002) <sup>[58]</sup>. Additionally, the growing frequency of extreme weather events- such as heatwaves, droughts, floods, cyclones, and hailstorms-poses a direct risk to agricultural productivity (Aggarwal, 2003; Aggarwal *et al.*, 2022) <sup>[2, 1]</sup>. While issues related to food distribution and accessibility are critical, they are outside the scope of this analysis. This review centers on the direct and indirect repercussions of climate change on crop yields and

agricultural ecosystems.

#### Primary agricultural impacts

##### 1. Rising Temperatures

Increasing temperatures disrupt crop development, particularly during critical growth stages. Heat stress reduces yields, compromises grain quality, and in severe cases, results in complete crop failure. For instance, in wheat, elevated heat shortens grain-filling periods and reduces kernel size, negatively affecting overall productivity. Global crop yields may decrease by roughly 10% by mid-century, posing serious food security challenges (Ahmed *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[3]</sup>.

##### 2. Altered Rainfall Patterns

Variations in the timing and intensity of rainfall alter water availability. Irregular precipitation contributes to both droughts and flooding each harmful to crops. Water-scarce regions, in particular, find it increasingly difficult to sustain water-demanding crops such as maize and rice.

##### 3. Increased Extreme Weather Events

Climate change is intensifying natural disasters like hurricanes and storms. These events devastate crops, disrupt transportation and supply chains, accelerate soil erosion, and extend recovery periods. A stark example is Hurricane Maria, which severely impacted Puerto Rico's agriculture especially its banana and coffee industries.

#### 4. Soil Degradation

Rising temperatures accelerate organic matter decomposition, reducing the soil's capacity to store carbon. This degradation weakens soil structure and hampers its ability to retain water and nutrients. Erratic rainfall compounds erosion, stripping away fertile layers and reducing the land's agricultural potential.

#### 5. Disturbance of Soil Microbes

Soil microbial communities are essential for nutrient cycling and plant vitality are highly sensitive to changes in temperature and moisture. Climate induced shifts may destabilize these microbial populations, undermining plant-microbe interactions and impairing nutrient uptake.

#### 6. Water Scarcity and Mismanagement

Climate change intensifies both droughts and heavy rainfall, worsening freshwater scarcity. Prolonged drought reduces soil moisture, while intense rainfall results in runoff and nutrient leaching. As irrigation demand grows alongside rising temperatures, competition for limited freshwater resources intensifies, especially in already water-stressed regions.

#### How climate change affects agricultural insect pests

Climate change is creating serious problems for farming not only by hurting crops directly but also by changing how insect pests behave, where they live, and how many of them there are. These pests are affected both directly- through changes in how they grow, survive, and spread and indirectly by changes in their relationships with plants, predators, and other organisms (Prakash *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[49]</sup>. Since, insects are cold-blooded, their bodies depend on outside temperatures to function properly (Kocmánková *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[32]</sup>. So, as temperatures rise, CO<sub>2</sub> levels increase, and soil dries out, we can expect major changes in how pest populations grow-and likely see more crop damage as a result (Fand *et al.*, 2012) <sup>[20]</sup>. Global warming also opens up new areas for pests to live, allowing them to move into places where they previously couldn't survive (FAO, 2020) <sup>[21]</sup>. All of this threatens global food security and calls for worldwide cooperation to manage the risks.

##### a. Insects and Warmer Temperatures Changes in Insect Populations

Temperature has a big impact on insects. As it gets warmer, insects usually grow and reproduce faster. Their metabolism almost doubles with every 10°C increase (Dukes *et al.*, 2009) <sup>[17]</sup>, which can lead to more feeding, quicker breeding, and faster spread (Bale *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[4]</sup>. This often results in earlier and more intense pest problems (Yamamura *et al.*, 2002) <sup>[60]</sup>, though not all species react the same way. Some pests are already causing more trouble in warmer conditions. Whiteflies, for instance, thrive in heat and humidity (Pathania *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[47]</sup>. Bark beetles are becoming more common because warmer winters help them survive and develop faster (Lantschner *et al.*, 2022; Robbins *et al.*, 2022) <sup>[33, 1]</sup>. Climate change is also disrupting the timing between pests and their natural enemies. For example, the cereal leaf beetle and its predators are now out of sync (Evans *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[19]</sup>. Insects, like aphids and cabbage white butterflies, which can have several

generations per year, are especially affected by temperature. Warmer weather shortens the time between generations, leading to more pest outbreaks during the growing season (Bale *et al.*, 2002; Pollard & Yates, 2002) <sup>[5, 48]</sup>. Pests are also beginning to feed on new types of crops and move into new areas (Skendzic *et al.*, 2021; Meynard *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[38]</sup>. Examples include the corn earworm and cotton bollworm. These pests are now spreading further and surviving winters better, putting key crops like maize at risk worldwide (Diffenbaugh *et al.*, 2008; Fand *et al.*, 2012; Nayak *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[15, 20, 40]</sup>.

Pest populations are growing, moving into new places, and appearing earlier in the year making them harder to control. Aphids, in particular, are reacting quickly to rising temperatures and causing sudden, damaging outbreaks (Shi *et al.*, 2014; Wu *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[53, 1]</sup>. To keep up, farmers and scientists need to better predict how pests will behave as the climate changes and create smarter, more flexible pest control plans. If we want to keep growing enough food in a warmer world, we'll need to deeply understand how pests respond to these environmental shifts.

##### b. Impacts of Rising CO<sub>2</sub> on Insect Pests and Crops

As atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels continue to rise due to climate change, both crops and the insect pests that feed on them are affected. These changes can alter how pests behave, how their populations grow, and how they interact with plants.

##### How Higher CO<sub>2</sub> Affects Insects

Insect pests respond to higher CO<sub>2</sub> in two main ways:

- **Direct effects:** These include changes in how insects grow, reproduce and survive.
- **Indirect effects:** These come from changes in the plants that insects eat, especially in how those plants are nutritious.

The impact depends on the relationship between the insect and the plant. Crops that use the C<sub>3</sub> photosynthesis process (like rice, wheat, and cotton) respond more to increased CO<sub>2</sub> than C<sub>4</sub> crops (like maize and sorghum), which means insects feeding on C<sub>3</sub> crops may experience more changes in food quality.

##### Changes in Plant Chemistry and Insect Diet

Higher CO<sub>2</sub> boosts plant growth and changes the chemical makeup of their leaves. For example, plants grown in more CO<sub>2</sub> often have more sugars but less nitrogen. Since nitrogen is crucial for insect development, this can lead to compensatory feeding where insects eat more to make up for the lower quality of food.

A study on soybeans showed that crops grown under higher CO<sub>2</sub> suffered 57% more insect damage due to pests like beetles and leafhoppers. The increase was likely due to the sweeter leaves encouraging more feeding.

##### Different Insects, Different Responses

Not all insects respond the same way. Insects that chew (like caterpillars and beetles) often eat more when food quality declines. Sap-sucking insects (like aphids and whiteflies) show mixed results; some reproduce more, others less. Chewing insects were most affected.

A broad study found that higher CO<sub>2</sub> generally leads to:

- 17% more insect feeding
- 22% fewer pest's overall
- 4% longer development times
- 9% slower growth

### Can Insects Adapt?

Some pests, like the pine sawfly, can adjust by using nitrogen more efficiently. Others can't, and they suffer reduced growth or reproduction. Some pests, like the Japanese beetle, even live longer and reproduce more when eating CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched plants—possibly making them more damaging in the long run.

### Rethinking the CO<sub>2</sub> Benefit

It was once believed that more CO<sub>2</sub> would simply help crops grow better but in reality, this benefit may be reduced or canceled out by increased pest damage. So instead of boosting agriculture, rising CO<sub>2</sub> might make pest problems worse, especially for crops that are already vulnerable.

### c. Impact of Altered Precipitation Patterns on Agricultural Insect Pests

Climate change is significantly altering global precipitation patterns, modifying not just the amount of rainfall but also its distribution, timing, and intensity. These shifts influence hydrological mechanisms such as infiltration, evapotranspiration, and streamflow as well as atmospheric moisture levels and soil water content. Collectively, these changes have far-reaching implications for the life cycles and population dynamics of agricultural insect pests.

Insects like aphids, jassids, whiteflies, mites, and wireworms are particularly responsive to fluctuations in rainfall (Gregory et al., 2009, Johnson et al., 2008, Staley et al., 2007) [23, 28, 55]. While intense downpours can physically dislodge or drown smaller pests, irregular precipitation patterns—marked by alternating periods of drought and flooding—can disrupt ecological balances, including predator-prey relationships, or weaken plant defenses (Pathak et al., 2012) [46]. For instance, Oriental armyworms often thrive after extended droughts followed by sudden heavy rains, benefiting from the diminished presence of their natural predators (Sharma et al., 2010) [52]. Water stress in crops further exacerbates vulnerability to pest infestations (Zayan et al., 2019) [61]. Drought conditions, for example, are closely linked with increased bark beetle activity, while plants exposed to intermittent water availability may become more conducive to sap sucking pests (Netherer et al., 2019 and Netherer., 2015) [42, 41]. Pest species such as *Helicoverpa armigera* show higher population densities during unusually wet periods in certain seasons, indicating a close association with precipitation variability.

Extreme rainfall events can also directly influence pest behavior and spatial distribution. Simulated rainfall experiments using sprinkler systems have demonstrated that aphid populations in wheat fields, among other crops, tend to decline under consistent wet conditions (Daebeler and Hinz, 1977; Chander, 1998) [14, 9]. Root-feeding insects may respond differently, with some thriving in wetter soils and others showing no marked change (Karuppiah and Sujayanad, 2012) [29]. Additionally, agricultural practices such as host plant pre-cultivation and crop rotation influence

pest emergence; for example, outbreaks of *Spodoptera litura* are often linked to a combination of specific weather conditions and cropping systems (Chari et al., 1993) [10]. Phytophagous insects may also develop adaptations to overcome higher carbon to nitrogen ratios, for example the pine sawfly, *Neodiprion lecontei*, showed an increase in the efficiency of nitrogen utilization when reared on plants treated with high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Williams et al., 1994) [59]. However, other insect species seem unable to compensate the lower nutritional quality of the plants by increasing the efficiency of nutrient utilization (Brooks and Whitekar, 1999) [8]. The experiments of Lindroth et al. (1993) [34] on three species of saturniid moths showed that the performance of caterpillars is only marginally affected when the nitrogen content of the leaves is reduced by 23% and the carbon to nitrogen ratio increased by 13-28%.

### Geographic redistribution of pests under a changing climate

Shifts in insect pest distributions are increasingly driven by climate-induced changes, particularly in temperature and precipitation. While traditional factors like crop choice, land management, and international trade still play roles in pest dispersion, climatic variables are now critical in shaping future pest ranges. Historically, colder temperatures have served as natural barriers limiting pest spread. However, as global temperatures rise, these constraints are diminishing, allowing pests to colonize higher latitudes and altitudes. Notable examples include the northward expansion of the European corn borer by over 1,000 kilometers and the unexpected presence of the Diamondback moth in Arctic zones (Coulson et al., 2002) [13]. The pink bollworm, once restricted to the warmer regions of Arizona and California, has also moved into cooler cotton-producing areas, facilitated by increasingly mild winters (Gutierrez et al., 2006) [24]. Lopez-Vaamonde et al., 2010 [35] reported that 97 non-native Lepidoptera species in 20 families have become established in Europe, and 88 European Lepidoptera species in 25 families have expanded their range in Europe, with 74% of species becoming established in the last century. Parmesan et al. studied 35 species of non-migratory European butterflies and concluded that the geographic ranges of 63% had shifted 35 to 240 km northward and only 3% southward in the 20th century.

The ecological impact of these shifts is complex. In some areas, rising temperatures may reduce the number of pest generations due to seasonal mismatches, while in others, warmer conditions permit more generations per year. Alterations in frost patterns are particularly important, as they can aid the survival of frost-sensitive species and enable earlier infestations aligned with earlier plant growth stages (Fleming et al., 1995) [22].

### Diapause disruption and overwintering challenges

Many insect pests rely on diapause—a dormant physiological state—to survive unfavorable conditions, particularly in winter. As ectothermic organisms, insects synchronize diapause initiation and termination with environmental cues such as temperature and photoperiod. However, the increasing unpredictability of seasonal transitions is disrupting these cues (Bale and Hayward, 2010, Hahn and Denlinger, 2007) [4, 25]. Warmer winters



accelerate insect metabolic rates, shortening diapause duration and triggering earlier emergence. While this can result in greater pest pressure and additional generations in some areas, it can also increase mortality if insects emerge prematurely and encounter subsequent cold snaps. This mismatch introduces greater instability in agroecosystems (Naeem-Ullah *et al.*, 2012, Overgaard *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[39, 44]</sup>.

A case in point is the green stinkbug in Japan, which requires adult-stage diapause to survive the winter. When diapause onset is delayed and insects remain in juvenile stages, overwintering success diminishes sharply (Boyes *et al.*, 2021) <sup>[7]</sup>. Conversely, in warmer climates, the extended growing season enables pests to complete development before winter, increasing their chances of survival (Numata *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[43]</sup>. As diapause timing becomes increasingly misaligned with environmental rhythms, pest management becomes more complicated. Disruptions to diapause not only affect survival rates but also elevate metabolic demands, further threatening insect populations and agricultural productivity (Bale *et al.*, 2010, Ma *et al.*, 2021, Kerr *et al.*, 2020, Dyck *et al.*, 2015) <sup>[4, 36, 30, 57]</sup>.

### Climate change and the role of natural enemies in pest management

Natural enemies such as predators, parasitoids, and pathogens are key allies in controlling plant-feeding insect pests. These species function within a tri-trophic system that includes plants, herbivores, and their natural enemies, forming a delicate balance essential to both agriculture and natural ecosystems.

### Disruption of Tri-Trophic Relationships

Climate change significantly influences the biology and behavior of both pests and their natural enemies (Thompson *et al.*, 2010). Alterations in temperature, precipitation, and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> can disturb the timing and effectiveness of biological control. For example, warming trends may cause predators or parasitoids to develop faster than their prey, leading to mismatches in their life cycles and reduced survival rates due to lack of hosts. In addition, shifting crop zones due to climate stress can relocate herbivores into new regions where their natural enemies may not be present. This disconnect can lead to uncontrolled pest populations unless predators can also expand their ranges.

### Varying Responses Among Natural Enemies

The degree to which natural enemies are affected by climate change depends on their ecological strategies. Generalist species, which feed on a range of prey, are generally more adaptable and better suited to cope with environmental shifts. In contrast, specialist species are more vulnerable to disruptions in host availability and timing (Selvaraj *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[51]</sup>. The direct effects of temperature changes and different responses by each component species can disrupt pests and their natural enemy dynamics (Kiritani *et al.*, 2006) <sup>[31]</sup>. Climate factors also indirectly affect predator-prey dynamics through changes in plant health (van Doan *et al.*, 2021) <sup>[16]</sup>. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, variable rainfall, and temperature extremes can alter plant nutritional quality, which in turn affects herbivore physiology and the fitness of their predators and parasitoids (Jamieson *et al.*, 2012) <sup>[27]</sup>.

### Evidence from Ecological Studies

Experimental studies show that climate change influences biocontrol outcomes in complex ways:

- In a system involving annual bluegrass, green peach aphids, and the parasitoid *Aphidius matricariae*, both aphid abundance and parasitism increased under higher temperatures, though elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alone had minimal impact (Bezemer *et al.*, 1998) <sup>[6]</sup>.
- In another case, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> reduced the reproductive capacity of *Aphidius picipes*, despite an increase in parasitism of the English grain aphid (Chen *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[12]</sup>.
- Alfalfa studies revealed that while beet armyworm larvae developed more quickly under low-nutrient conditions induced by climate change, their parasitoid *Cotesia marginiventris* could not survive, leading to its local extinction (Dyer *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[18]</sup>.
- Predatory ladybirds (*Harmonia axyridis*) preferred aphids grown in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> environments, though their development and predation efficiency remained stable (Chen *et al.*, 2005 and Chen *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[11, 12]</sup>.

These outcomes underscore that the impacts of climate change on natural enemies are species-specific and dependent on traits like environmental tolerance and life cycle synchronization.

### Moving forward: integrated assessment is key

To effectively manage pest populations in a changing climate, we must evaluate entire food webs rather than individual species. Predictive models and long-term studies that consider plant physiology, herbivore dynamics, and predator-prey relationships under different climate scenarios are critical. A systems-based approach to pest management one that recognizes and integrates the cascading effects of climate change will be essential for building resilient and sustainable agricultural systems in the future.

### References

1. Aggarwal P, Roy J, Pathak H, Kumar SN, Venkateswarlu B, Ghosh A, *et al.* Managing climatic risks in agriculture. Indian Agriculture Towards 2030. 2022;83-108.
2. Aggarwal PK. Impact of climate change on Indian agriculture. J Plant Biol. 2003;30(2):189-98.
3. Ahmed SS, Liu D, Simon JC. Impact of water-deficit stress on tritrophic interactions in a wheat-aphid-parasitoid system. PLoS One. 2017;12(10).
4. Bale JS, Hayward SAL. Insect overwintering in a changing climate. J Exp Biol. 2010;213:980-94.
5. Bale J, Masters GJ, Hodkins ID, Awmack C, Bezemer TM, Brown VK, *et al.* Herbivory in global climate change research: direct effects of rising temperature on insect herbivores. Glob Change Biol. 2002;8:1-16.
6. Bezemer TM, Jones TH, Knight KJ. Long-term effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on populations of the peach potato aphid *Myzus persicae* and its parasitoid *Aphidius matricariae*. Oecologia. 1998;116:128-35.
7. Boyes DH, Evans DM, Fox R, Parsons MS, Pocock MJ. Is light pollution driving moth population declines? A review of causal mechanisms across the life cycle. Insect Conserv Divers. 2021;14(2):167-87.

8. Brooks GL, Whittaker JB. Responses of three generations of a xylem-feeding insect, *Neophilaenus lineatus* (Homoptera), to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Glob Change Biol*. 1999;5:395-401.
9. Chander S. Infestation of root and foliage/earhead aphids on wheat in relation to predators. *Indian J Agric Sci*. 1998;68:754-5.
10. Chari MS, Raghupathirao G, Ramaprasad G, Sreedhar U. Pest epidemics in tobacco: A recent trend. In: *Lead Papers of the National Seminar on Changing Scenario in Pest and Pest Management in India*. Hyderabad: Plant Protection Association of India; 1993. p. 96-102.
11. Chen F, Ge F, Parajulee MN. Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on tri-trophic interaction of *Gossypium hirsutum*, *Aphis gossypii*, and *Leis axyridis*. *Environ Entomol*. 2005;34:37-46.
12. Chen F, Wu G, Parajulee MN, Ge F. Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the third trophic level: A predator *Harmonia axyridis* and a parasitoid *Aphidius picipes*. *Biocontrol Sci Technol*. 2007;17:313-24.
13. Coulson SJ, Hodkinson ID, Webb NR, Mikkola K, Harrison JA, Pedgley DE. Aerial colonization of high Arctic islands by invertebrates: the diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* as a potential indicator species. *Divers Distrib*. 2002;8:327-34.
14. Daebeler F, Hinz B. Compensation of aphid injury to sugarbeet by means of supplementary rain. *Arch Phytopathol Pflanzenschutz*. 1977;13(3):199-205.
15. Diffenbaugh NS, Krupke CH, White MA, Alexander CE. Global warming presents new challenges for maize pest management. *Environ Res Lett*. 2008;3:1-9.
16. Van Doan C, Pfander M, Guyer AS, Zhang X, Maurer C, Robert CA. Natural enemies of herbivores maintain their biological control potential under short-term exposure to future CO<sub>2</sub>, temperature, and precipitation patterns. *Ecol Evol*. 2021;11(9):4182-92.
17. Dukes JS, Pontius J, Orwig D, Garnas JR, Rodgers VL, Brazee N, *et al*. Responses of insect pests, pathogens, and invasive plant species to climate change in the forests of northeastern North America: what can we predict? *Can J For Res*. 2009;39(2):231-48.
18. Dyer LA, Richards LA, Short SA, Dodson CD. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on tritrophic interactions. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e62528.
19. Evans E, Carlile N, Innes M, Pitigala N. Warm springs reduce parasitism of the cereal leaf beetle through phenological mismatch. *J Appl Entomol*. 2013;137(5):383-91.
20. Fand BB, Kamble AL, Kumar M. Will climate change pose serious threat to crop pest management: A critical review. *Int J Sci Res*. 2012;2:1-14.
21. Food and Agriculture Organization. Climate Related Transboundary Pests and Diseases [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-ai785e.pdf>
22. Fleming RA, Volney WJA. Effects of climate change on insect defoliator population processes in Canada's boreal forest: Some plausible scenarios. *Water Air Soil Pollut*. 1995;82:445-54.
23. Gregory PJ, Johnson SN, Newton AC, Ingram JS. Integrating pests and pathogens into the climate change/food security debate. *J Exp Bot*. 2009;60(10):2827-38.
24. Gutierrez AP, D'Oultremont T, Ellis C, Ponti L. Climatic limits of pink bollworm in Arizona and California: Effects of climate warming. *Acta Oecol*. 2006;30:353-64.
25. Hahn DA, Denlinger DL. Meeting the energetic demands of insect diapause: nutrient storage and utilization. *J Insect Physiol*. 2007;53:760-73.
26. Harrington R, Fleming R, Woiwod I. Climate change impacts on insect management and conservation in temperate regions: can they be predicted? *Agric For Entomol*. 2001;3:233-40.
27. Jamieson MA, Trowbridge AM, Raffa KF, Lindroth RL. Consequences of climate warming and altered precipitation patterns for plant-insect and multitrophic interactions. *Plant Physiol*. 2012;160:1719-27.
28. Johnson SN, Anderson EA, Dawson G, Griffiths DW. Varietal susceptibility of potatoes to wireworm herbivory. *Agric For Entomol*. 2008;10(2):167-74.
29. Karuppaiah V, Sujayanad GK. Impact of climate change on population dynamics of insect pests. *World J Agric Sci*. 2012;8(3):240-6.
30. Kerr NZ, Wepprich T, Grevstad FS, Dopman EB, Chew FS, Crone EE. Developmental trap or demographic bonanza? Opposing consequences of earlier phenology in a changing climate for a multivoltine butterfly. *Glob Change Biol*. 2020;26(4):2014-27.
31. Kiritani K. Predicting impacts of global warming on population dynamics and distribution of arthropods in Japan. *Popul Ecol*. 2006;48(1):5-12.
32. Kocmánková E, Trnka M, Juroch J, Dubrovský M, Semerádová D, Možný M, *et al*. Impact of climate change on the occurrence and activity of harmful organisms. *Plant Prot Sci*. 2010;45(Suppl):S48-S52.
33. Lantschner MV, Corley JC. Spatiotemporal outbreak dynamics of bark and wood-boring insects. *Curr Opin Insect Sci*. 2022;101003.
34. Lindroth RL, Kinney KK, Platz CL. Responses of deciduous trees to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: productivity, phytochemistry and insect performance. *Ecology*. 1993;74:763-77.
35. Lopez-Vaamonde C, Agassiz D, Augustin S, De Prins J, De Prins W, Gomboc S, *et al*. *Lepidoptera*. Chapter 11. *BioRisk*. 2010;4:603-68.
36. Ma CS, Ma G, Pincebourde S. Survive a warming climate: insect responses to extreme high temperatures. *Annu Rev Entomol*. 2021;66:163-84.
37. Merrill R, Gutiérrez D, Lewis O, Gutiérrez J, Diez S, Wilson R. Combined effects of climate and biotic interactions on the elevational range of a phytophagous insect. *J Anim Ecol*. 2008;77:145-55.
38. Meynard CN, Migeon A, Navajas M. Uncertainties in predicting species distributions under climate change: a case study using *Tetranychus evansi*. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(6):e66445.
39. Naeem-Ullah U, Ramzan M, Bokhari SHM, Saleem A, Qayyum MA, *et al*. Insect pests of cotton crop and management under climate change scenarios. In: *Environment, Climate, Plant and Vegetation Growth*. Springer, Cham; 2020. p. 367-96.
40. Nayak SB, Rao KS, Ramalakshmi V. Impact of climate change on insect pests and their natural enemies. *Int J Ecol Environ Sci*. 2020;2:579-84.

41. Netherer S, Matthews B, Katzensteiner K, Blackwell E, Henschke P, Hietz J, *et al.* Do water-limiting conditions predispose Norway spruce to bark beetle attack? *New Phytol.* 2015;205(3):1128-41.
42. Netherer S, Panassiti B, Pennerstorfer J, Matthews B. Acute drought is an important driver of bark beetle infestation in Austrian Norway spruce stands. *Front For Glob Change.* 2019;2:39.
43. Numata H, Shintani Y. Diapause in univoltine and semivoltine life cycles. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 2023;68:257-76.
44. Overgaard J, MacMillan HA. The integrative physiology of insect chill tolerance. *Annu Rev Physiol.* 2017;79:187-208.
45. Parmesan C. Influences of species, latitudes and methodologies on estimates of phenological response to global warming. *Glob Change Biol.* 2007;13:1860-72.
46. Pathak H, Aggarwal PK, Singh S. *Climate Change Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation in Agriculture: Methodology for Assessment and Applications.* New Delhi: Indian Agricultural Research Institute; 2012. p. 302.
47. Pathania M, Verma A, Singh M, Arora PK, Kaur N. Influence of abiotic factors on the infestation dynamics of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) in cotton and its management strategies in North-Western India. *Int J Trop Insect Sci.* 2020;40:969-81.
48. Pollard E, Yates TJ. *Monitoring Butterflies for Ecology and Conservation: the British Butterfly Monitoring Scheme.* Springer Science & Business Media; 1994.
49. Prakash A, Rao J, Mukherjee AK, Berliner J, Pokhare SS, Adak T, *et al.* *Climate Change: Impact on Crop Pests.* Odisha, India: Applied Zoologists Research Association (AZRA), Central Rice Research Institute; 2014.
50. Samways M. *Insect Diversity Conservation.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005. p. 342.
51. Selvaraj S, Ganeshamoorthi P, Pandiaraj T. Potential impacts of recent climate change on biological control agents in agro-ecosystem: a review. *Int J Biodivers Conserv.* 2013;5(12):845-52.
52. Sharma HC, Srivastava CP, Durairaj C, Gowda CLL. Pest management in grain legumes and climate change. In: Yadav SS, McNeil DL, Redden R, Patil SA, editors. *Climate Change and Management of Cool Season Grain Legume Crops.* Dordrecht: Springer; 2010. p. 115-40.
53. Shi X, Zhao Y, Wang K. Migration quantitative dynamic of winged aphids in the suction trap monitor and its relationship with meteorological factors. *Soybean Sci.* 2014;33(6):950-2.
54. Skendžić S, Zovko M, Živković IP, Lešić V, Lemić D. The impact of climate change on agricultural insect pests. *Insects.* 2021;12(5):440.
55. Staley JT, Hodgson CJ, Mortimer SR, Morecroft MD, Masters GJ, Brown VK, *et al.* Effects of summer rainfall manipulations on the abundance and vertical distribution of herbivorous soil macro-invertebrates. *Eur J Soil Biol.* 2007;43(3):189-98.
56. Thomson LJ, Macfadyen S, Hoffmann AA. Predicting the effects of climate change on natural enemies of agricultural pests. *Biol Control.* 2010;52(3):296-306.
57. Van Dyck H, Bonte D, Puls R, Gotthard K, Maes D. The lost generation hypothesis: could climate change drive ectotherms into a developmental trap? *Oikos.* 2015;124(1):54-61.
58. Walther GR, Post E, Convey P, Menzel A, Parmesan C, Beebee TJ. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature.* 2002;416:389-95.
59. Williams RS, Lincoln DE, Thomas RB. Loblolly pine grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> affects early instar pine sawfly performance. *Oecologia.* 1994;98:64-71.
60. Yamamura K, Yokozawa M. Prediction of a geographical shift in the prevalence of rice stripe virus disease transmitted by the small brown planthopper (*Laodelphax striatellus*) under global warming. *Appl Entomol Zool.* 2002;37(1):181-90.
61. Zayan SA. Impact of climate change on plant diseases and IPM strategies. In: *Plant Diseases—Current Threats and Management Trends.* 2019.