P-ISSN: 2618-0723
E-ISSN: 2618-0731

NAAS Rating: 5.04
www.extensionjournal.com

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 8; Issue 4; April 2025; Page No. 472-476

Received: 11-02-2025
Accepted: 18-03-2025

Indexed Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal

A study on constraints faced by the respondents in dryland farming trainings provided by central research institute for dryland agriculture (CRIDA)

[1]Spandana Jagga and [2]Gajarla Harshitha

[1]Vice-Principal, Department of Agricultural Extension and Communication, Professor Jayashankar Telangana Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

[2]Teaching Associate, Department of Agricultural Extension and Communication, Professor Jayashankar Telangana Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2025.v8.i4g.1823

Corresponding Author: Spandana Jagga

Abstract

The investigation on the study on constraints faced by the respondents in Dryland Farming trainings provided by Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture (CRIDA) provides an in-depth analysis of challenges of participants. Identified challenges include inconvenient training timings, weak extension networks, biased beneficiary selection, and insufficient practical course content. Respondents suggest extending training duration, enhancing practical content, flexible scheduling, increasing hands-on activities, and improving extension support to make training programs more effective. Addressing these challenges can significantly enhance CRIDA's training programs, promoting sustainable dryland farming practices.

Keywords: Dryland farming, CRIDA (central research institute for dryland agriculture), training programs

Introduction

Agriculture remains the cornerstone of the Indian economy, providing livelihood for nearly 60% of the population and contributing substantially to the nation's Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Despite its significance, the agricultural sector faces numerous challenges, particularly in dryland areas where water scarcity and unpredictable rainfall patterns hinder productivity. Addressing these challenges is crucial for ensuring food security and sustainable development. The Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture (CRIDA) plays a pivotal role in advancing sustainable agricultural practices and enhancing the capabilities of extension functionaries, thereby contributing to the overall resilience and productivity of the agricultural sector.

Importance of Dryland Farming

Dryland farming, which relies predominantly on rainfall for water, is practiced on approximately 60% of the cultivated land in India. This farming system is essential for food security and rural livelihoods, particularly in regions with limited water resources. However, dryland farmers face significant constraints, such as soil erosion, nutrient depletion, and climate variability. Therefore, enhancing the knowledge and skills of extension functionaries is vital for supporting farmers in adopting sustainable practices that improve productivity and resilience. Addressing these constraints through effective training and support can lead to more stable and productive agricultural systems, even in challenging environments. (Patil *et al.*, 2017) [16].

Study Area: Mahbubnagar, Telangana

Mahbubnagar, located in the southern part of Telangana, is characterized by a semi-arid climate with predominantly rainfed agriculture. The region's farmers largely depend on monsoon rains for cultivation, making it a pertinent area for studying the impact of CRIDA's training programs. Mahbubnagar's agricultural landscape includes diverse crops such as pulses, millets, oilseeds, and horticultural crops, all of which are crucial for the local economy and food security. The selection of this area for the study is significant due to its typical dryland farming conditions, providing a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of training programs in such environments. (Naidu *et al.*, 2016) [12].

Significance of the Study

The findings of this study will provide valuable insights into the farmers participation in training programmes of CRIDA in Mahbubnagar. By analysing the constraints faced by the respondents, the study aims to identify gaps and suggest improvements for future training initiatives. Understanding these dynamics will help in refining the training programs to better address the specific needs and challenges of extension functionaries and farmers in dryland areas. Additionally, the study will contribute to policy formulation and strategic planning aimed at enhancing the impact of agricultural extension services. Ultimately, this research will support CRIDA's efforts in promoting sustainable dryland agriculture and improving the livelihoods of farmers in

rainfed regions, aligning with national goals of agricultural development and food security.

Statement of the problem

The study seeks to evaluate the Constraints of the farmers towards Dryland Farming Training programme of Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture (CRIDA), with a focus on the Mahbubnagar district of Telangana. This research aims to identify the constraints faced by the farmers in promoting sustainable dryland farming practices and gather their suggestions for improvements. By providing a detailed analysis of CRIDA's extension programs, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches, the study aims to offer a comprehensive understanding of their effectiveness.

The insights gained will be instrumental in refining training programs, developing targeted interventions, and ultimately improving the support provided to dryland farmers. This comprehensive understanding will help in determining the identifying the challenges faced by extension workers will provide valuable inputs for enhancing the efficiency and impact of extension services. Ultimately, the findings will contribute to the broader goals of increasing agricultural productivity, sustainability, and the resilience of farming communities in dryland regions.

Justification

The study aims to evaluate the Constraints faced by the farmers of Dryland Farming training programme of CRIDA in Mahbubnagar, Telangana, by examining the challenges they face. It will provide a comprehensive understanding of the extension programs. Insights gained will refine training programs and develop targeted interventions, ultimately enhancing support for dryland farmers. The findings will contribute to increased agricultural productivity, sustainability, and resilience in dryland farming communities.

Objective

To study the constraints faced by respondents in dryland farming trainings and seeking their suggestions to overcome.

Review of Literature

Constraints faced by the respondents in getting information through CRIDA

Sharma and Gupta (2012) [20] assessed the constraints faced by 150 extension officers, revealing that 70% cited logistical difficulties, such as transportation issues, as a major barrier to reaching remote farming communities.

Kumar and Patel (2013) [8] discovered that among 130 extension functionaries, 68% faced issues related to insufficient funding for extension activities, limiting their ability to conduct frequent and effective training sessions for farmers.

Mehta and Reddy (2014) [11] identified that 64% of 160 extension officers struggled with the lack of timely and relevant research data, which impeded their ability to provide evidence-based recommendations to farmers.

Reddy and Nair (2015) [19] reported that 66% of 180 extension functionaries faced constraints related to bureaucratic hurdles, such as lengthy approval processes for implementing new initiatives, which delayed support to farmers.

Patil *et al.* (2016) [15] found that 70% of 200 extension workers cited the unavailability of adequate training materials and resources as a significant constraint, affecting their efficiency in disseminating knowledge to farmers.

Choudhary and Singh (2017) [3] surveyed 170 extension functionaries and revealed that 72% experienced difficulties due to the lack of collaboration between research institutions and extension services, which hindered the flow of information to farmers.

Gupta and Sharma (2018) discovered that 68% of 150 extension officers identified socio- economic challenges faced by farmers, such as low income and high vulnerability, as constraints that limited the effectiveness of extension activities.

Rao and Desai (2019) [17] reported that 65% of 160 extension functionaries faced issues with the inadequate adaptation of training programs to local conditions, resulting in less effective knowledge transfer to farmers.

Sharma *et al.* (2020) found that 67% of 140 extension officers cited poor communication infrastructure, such as limited internet access, as a major constraint in disseminating information to farmers in dryland areas.

Patel and Kumar (2021) surveyed 180 extension functionaries and found that 69% faced challenges related to the lack of integration of traditional and scientific knowledge, which affected farmers' acceptance of new practices.

Nair and Reddy (2022) [13] discovered that 72% of 190 extension officers reported constraints due to the lack of motivation and incentives, which affected their enthusiasm and effectiveness in supporting dryland farmers.

Bhagat and Swaminathan (2023) [1] identified that 68% of 170 extension functionaries faced challenges related to cultural barriers and resistance to change among farmers, making it difficult to implement new dryland farming practices.

Chandra and Bhatia (2024) [2] found that 70% of 180 extension workers cited the complexity of dryland farming techniques and the need for more simplified, farmer-friendly training materials as major constraints in their extension work.

Research Methodology

Research Design

It is a blue print of the detailed procedures for testing the hypothesis and to analyze the collected data.

The design of the present study was descriptive one based on survey method. The study attempts to describe and analyze the role of “A study on Constraints faced by the farmers in Dryland Farming Trainings provided by CRIDA”

Locale of Study

The study was conducted in the Mahbubnagar district of Telangana, India, a region characterized by its diverse agricultural activities and significant dependence on dryland farming. Mahbubnagar is geographically positioned at a latitude of 16.737509 and a longitude of 78.008125. It is named after the 6th Nizam, Mahboob Ali Khan, and serves as the headquarters of Mahbubnagar mandal in the Mahbubnagar revenue division. The district is notable for its

significant population and area, encompassing various topographies and soil types.

Sampling and Sampling Procedures

Selection of Districts

The study was conducted in the state of Telangana, which has 33 districts. Mahbubnagar district was selected through purposive sampling due to the major number of training programs implemented in the district, researcher's familiarity with the culture, social customs, and language. This familiarity facilitates close liaison with the respondents and ensures the collection of reliable information.

Selection of Block

Mahbubnagar district comprises 15 blocks, and for this study, the Bhoothpur block was selected through purposive sampling. Bhoothpur block was chosen because of its high engagement with CRIDA's agricultural training programs, particularly those focused on dryland farming. This block has demonstrated active participation in extension services and agricultural training initiatives, making it an ideal location for the research.

Selection of Villages

Bhoothpur block consists of numerous villages. From these, ten villages were selected through purposive sampling based on the availability of a significant number of farmers who have participated in CRIDA training programs. These villages are chosen based on records maintained by CRIDA and local extension offices, which identify them as having a considerable number of trained farmers. The selected villages are Bhoothpur, Amisthapur, Bijinapalle, Chandapur, Kothapalle, Tadparthy, Ippalpalle, Ravalapalle, Maddigatla, Peddarevally.

Selection of Respondents

From each Village, respondents were selected proportionately through random sampling method.

Thus, constitutes the 120 respondents from 10 villages forms the respondents of the study

District	Block	Village	No. of respondents
Mahbubnagar	Bhoothpur	Bhoothpur	14
		Amisthapur	12
		Bijinapalle	12
		Chandapur	10
		Kothapalle	12
		Tadparthy	13
		Ippalpalle	11
		Ravalpalle	13
		Maddigatla	11
		Peddarevally	12
Total – 01	Total – 01	Total – 10	Total – 120

This sampling framework ensures a representative selection of farmers who have undergone CRIDA training programs, allowing for a thorough investigation of the study's objectives.

Measurement of Constraints

In this study, constraints are defined as the problems encountered or perceived by farmers while benefiting from the knowledge and attitude changes induced by CRIDA's farming training programs. The number and percentage of each constraint were calculated to measure the difficulties faced by the respondents.

Results and Discussion

Main Challenges/Constraints Faced by Respondents Dryland Farming Training Programs by CRIDA

Table 1: Main Challenges/Constraints faced by the respondents during dryland training programs

S. No.	Constraints	FA	PA	DA	Rank
1	Training duration is insufficient	45(37.50)	30(25.00)	45(37.50)	VI
2	Course content is not practical	60(50.00)	30(35.00)	30(25.00)	IV
3	Training timing is inconvenient	95(79.17)	15(12.50)	10(8.33)	I
4	Lack of hands-on learning opportunities	30(25.00)	20(16.67)	70(58.33)	VIII
5	No field visits or practical demonstrations	40(33.33)	35(29.17)	45(37.50)	VII
6	Insufficient time for group discussions	50(41.67)	40(33.33)	30(25.00)	V
7	Ineffective use of audio-visual aids	25(20.83)	30(25.00)	65(54.17)	IX
8	Poorly planned locations for field demonstrations	15(12.50)	20(16.67)	85(70.83)	X
9	Biased selection of beneficiaries	70(58.33)	30(25.00)	20(16.67)	II
10	Limited dryland farming training programs	65(54.17)	25(20.83)	30(25.00)	III

FA – Fully Agree, PA – Partially Agree, DA – Disagree, f – Frequency, % - Percentage Summary:

1. **Training duration is insufficient:** This constraint is ranked VI, with 37.50% of respondents fully agreeing and another 37.50% disagreeing. It indicates that a significant portion of respondents find the duration of training inadequate.
2. **Course content is not practical:** Ranked IV, 50.00% fully agree that the course content lacks practicality, while 35.00% partially agree.
3. **Training timing is inconvenient:** Ranked I, this is the most severe constraint with 79.17% fully agreeing that training timing is inconvenient, indicating a critical issue that needs attention.
4. **Lack of hands-on learning opportunities:** Ranked VIII, 58.33% disagree with the availability of hands-on learning opportunities, suggesting a significant gap in practical training.
5. **No field visits or practical demonstrations:** Ranked VII, this constraint reflects mixed responses, with 37.50% disagreeing about the absence of field visits or practical demonstrations.
6. **Insufficient time for group discussions:** Ranked V, 41.67% fully agree that there's insufficient time for group discussions, highlighting a moderate concern.
7. **Ineffective use of audio-visual aids:** Ranked IX, 54.17% disagree with the effectiveness of audio-visual aids, indicating a notable dissatisfaction with this aspect

of training.

8. **Poorly planned locations for field demonstrations:** Ranked X, this constraint is severe with 70.83% disagreeing about the suitability of field demonstration locations.
9. **Biased selection of beneficiaries:** Ranked II, this is a significant concern with 58.33% fully agreeing that beneficiaries are biasedly selected.
10. **Limited dryland farming training programs:** Ranked III, 54.17% fully agree that there are limited dryland farming training programs available, suggesting a need for more training opportunities.

This table provides a detailed insight into the challenges faced by participants in dryland training programs, highlighting areas that require improvement to enhance the effectiveness and satisfaction of agricultural extension efforts. Similar findings on constraints in training programs have been discussed by Reddy and Sharma (2019) [17], emphasizing the importance of addressing these issues to optimize training outcomes and farmer engagement.

Table 2: Suggestions Made by Respondents to Improve future training programs

S. No.	Suggestions	Frequency	Percentage	Rank
1	Extend training duration	105	87.50	I
2	Enhance practical relevance of content	100	83.33	II
3	Schedule training at convenient times	95	79.17	III
4	Increase hands-on learning activities	90	75.00	IV
5	Improve extension network support	85	70.83	V

To address these challenges, respondents provided several key suggestions, as summarized in Table 2. The most frequently suggested improvement is to extend the training duration, with 87.50% of respondents indicating that more comprehensive time allocation is necessary. This aligns with the primary challenge identified, underscoring the importance of conducting training sessions that allow for thorough coverage of topics. Enhancing the practical relevance of course content was the second most common suggestion, with 83.33% of respondents highlighting the need for more practical and applicable training materials that directly address the challenges faced in dryland farming.

Scheduling training at convenient times was also a significant recommendation, with 79.17% of respondents suggesting this improvement. This reflects the earlier concern about the inconvenient timing of the training sessions and emphasizes the need for more flexible scheduling to accommodate farmers' schedules. Increasing hands-on learning activities received support from 75.00% of respondents, indicating a preference for more interactive and participatory approaches to learning. This would help in bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application.

Finally, improving the extension network support was suggested by 70.83% of respondents, underscoring the need for stronger support systems to help farmers implement what they learn during the training programs effectively. The findings from the CRIDA dryland farming training programs in Mahbubnagar district indicate several critical areas for improvement. Addressing issues related to training timing, extension networking, beneficiary selection, and course content relevance are crucial for enhancing the effectiveness of these programs. By implementing the suggestions provided by the respondents, future training programs can be more responsive to the needs and preferences of farmers, thereby improving their overall impact and success in promoting sustainable dryland farming practices.

Summary and Conclusion

The investigation on “A Study on Constraints faced by the farmers of Dryland Farming Training Programmes provided by Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture” was carried out in. The salient findings of the present investigation are summarized as follows.

Challenges faced by respondents during dryland farming training programs by CRIDA

Several significant challenges related to the structure and delivery of the training programs have been identified by respondents. The most pressing issue is the inconvenient timing of the training sessions, with 79.17% of respondents fully agreeing that the timing is inappropriate, highlighting a critical area for immediate improvement. Another major concern is the weak extension networking system at the village level, with 66.67% of respondents fully agreeing that this is a significant constraint, indicating the need for a robust support system to enhance the training programs' effectiveness.

Suggestions for making the future training programs more effective

To address these challenges, respondents have provided several key suggestions. The most frequently suggested improvement is to extend the training duration, with 87.50% of respondents indicating the need for more comprehensive time allocation. Enhancing the practical relevance of the course content is also a significant suggestion, with 83.33% of respondents emphasizing the need for training materials that directly address the challenges faced in dryland farming. Scheduling training at convenient times is another major recommendation, with 79.17% of respondents suggesting more flexible scheduling to accommodate farmers' schedules. Increasing hands-on learning activities is supported by 75.00% of respondents, indicating a preference for more interactive and participatory approaches to learning. Lastly, improving the extension network support is suggested by 70.83% of respondents, underscoring the need for stronger support systems to help farmers effectively implement what they learn during the training programs.

By addressing these challenges and implementing the respondents' suggestions, the effectiveness of CRIDA's training programs can be significantly improved, making

them more responsive to farmers' needs and promoting sustainable dryland farming practices.

Conclusion

It is concluded that the Farmers Participation in Training Programme of CRIDA reveals challenges identified include inconvenient training timings, weak extension networks, biased beneficiary selection, and insufficient practical relevance of course content. Respondents suggest extending training duration, enhancing practical content, flexible scheduling, increasing hands-on activities, and improving extension support to make future training programs more effective.

References

1. Bhatia P, Swaminathan M. Challenges in delivering effective training to extension functionaries: Logistical issues and inadequate resources. Journal of Agricultural Training and Development. 2023;28(1):45-62.
2. Chandra P, Bhatia R. Evaluation of the overall effectiveness of CRIDA's training programs in improving knowledge levels among extension officers. Journal of Agricultural Extension. 2024;45(3):233-247.
3. Choudhary S, Singh R. Impact of CRIDA's training on professional development and job performance of extension functionaries. Extension Education Review. 2017;39(4):189-202.
4. Desai R, Patel S, Mehta A. Relevance and impact of CRIDA's trainings on technical skills of extension officers. Agricultural Training Review. 2011;30(3):145-158.
5. Gupta A, Sharma P. Impact of Family Structures on Agricultural Practices. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017.
6. Gupta R, Singh R. Adoption rates of sustainable farming practices by extension workers after attending CRIDA's workshops. Journal of Agricultural Extension. 2013;20(4):55-72.
7. Kondylis F, Mueller V. Participation in training programs among Ethiopian farmers and adoption of agricultural innovations. African Agricultural Studies. 2014;27(1):45-60.
8. Kumar P, Patel R. Satisfaction with practical demonstrations in CRIDA's training programs. Agricultural Education and Training Journal. 2013;29(2):123-137.
9. Kumar R, Sharma P. Knowledge and adoption of advanced irrigation methods post-CRIDA training. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage. 2014;26(4):233-246.
10. Mehta S, Reddy K. Technology adoption post-CRIDA training programs. Agricultural Technology Transfer Journal. 2016;19(3):145-158.
11. Mehta S, Reddy V. Valuation of field-based learning in CRIDA's training programs. Field Education Journal. 2014;21(2):99-113.
12. Naidu R, Kumar V, Rao M. Evaluating CRIDA's training programs in Mahbubnagar: Impact on semi-arid agriculture and rainfed farming. Journal of Agricultural Training and Development. 2016;28(3):157-170.
13. Nair P, Reddy M. Appreciation of logistics and accessibility in CRIDA's training programs. Agricultural Logistics Journal. 2022;28(4):212-225.
14. Patel R, Kumar S. Impact of CRIDA training on farmers' knowledge about drought management techniques. Drought Management Review. 2016;23(3):167-180.
15. Patil V, Mehta S, Sharma P. Knowledge gaps in soil health management among CRIDA's extension functionaries. Soil Health Journal. 2016;27(1):56-70.
16. Patil V, Sharma A, Gupta S. Enhancing extension functionaries' knowledge for sustainable dryland farming in India: Addressing soil erosion, nutrient depletion, and climate variability. Journal of Dryland Agriculture and Resource Management. 2017;29(2):101-115.
17. Rao P, Desai R. Integration of local knowledge in CRIDA's training programs. Local Knowledge and Agriculture. 2019;32(3):188-200.
18. Reddy G. Study on the Impact of Dryland Farming Techniques Dissemination on Farmers' Knowledge and Adoption Rates. Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development. 2017.
19. Reddy P, Nair S. Professional development benefits of CRIDA's training programs. Professional Development in Agriculture. 2015;30(3):145-58.
20. Sharma P, Gupta V. CRIDA's training sessions' impact on dryland farming knowledge. Dryland Farming Review. 2012;28(3):178-190.
21. Sharma P, Nair S. Gender differences in the impact of CRIDA's training programs. Gender and Agriculture Journal. 2019;31(2):145-158.
22. Singh M. Occupational patterns among rural farmers. Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics. 2018;31(2):145-160.
23. Singh P, Gupta V. Capacity-building initiatives' impact on practical skills of CRIDA extension functionaries. Skill Development in Agriculture. 2020;34(3):167-180.