

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 8; Issue 1; January 2025; Page No. 453-464

Received: 17-11-2024
Accepted: 30-12-2024

Indexed Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal

Role of farmer producer organizations in promoting women empowerment in agriculture

¹G Anand, ²P Kalaiselvi, ³S Paul Sebastian, ⁴M Senthil Kumar, ⁵G Amuthaselvi, ⁶G Porkodi, ⁷K Suganya and ⁸G Malathi

¹Associate Professor (Agrl Extn.), ICAR - Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India

²Associate Professor (ENS), ICAR - Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India

³Associate Professor (ENS), Agricultural College and Research Institute, Kudumiyamalai, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Associate Professor (Agrl. Ento.), Horticulture Research Station, Yercaud, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India

⁵Assistant Professor (Food Processing Engg.), Agricultural Engineering College & Research Institute, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

⁶Assistant Professor (Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry), Agricultural College and Research Institute, Kudumiyamalai, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu, India

⁷Associate Professor (ENS), Department of Environmental Science, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

⁸Associate Professor (Horti.), Horticulture Research Station, Yercaud, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2025.v8.i1.g.1568>

Corresponding Author: S Paul Sebastian

Abstract

Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) play an increasingly critical role in the agricultural landscape of India and other developing nations by enhancing the socio-economic conditions of farmers, particularly women. This article explores how FPOs contribute to promoting women's empowerment in agriculture through improved access to resources, market linkages, capacity building and social inclusion. By examining their functions, challenges and impact on rural women, the paper emphasizes how FPOs serve as vehicles for transforming agricultural economies while fostering gender equality. The study also provides insights into best practices, policy recommendations and potential future avenues for enhancing the role of FPOs in uplifting women in agriculture.

Keywords: Women empowerment, farmer producer organizations, agriculture, gender equality, rural development, market linkages, capacity building, social inclusion, economic empowerment

Introduction

Empowering women in agriculture through Women Farmer Producer Organizations (WFPOs) is essential for achieving inclusive rural development. To strengthen WFPOs, focused efforts are needed in capacity building, financial support and market access ^[13]. Training programs tailored to enhance leadership, decision-making and business skills can empower women to take on managerial roles. Access to affordable credit and government subsidies ensures that WFPOs can invest in modern technology and infrastructure ^[29]. Establishing robust market linkages and fostering partnerships with private and public entities can help WFPOs secure better prices and reduce transaction costs. Additionally, creating gender-sensitive policies and providing legal and technical support can address the unique challenges faced by women farmers. Promoting collective action and leveraging digital platforms for information dissemination and networking can further enhance the operational efficiency of WFPOs ^[14]. These initiatives not

only improve women farmers' livelihoods but also contribute to sustainable agricultural growth and rural resilience. Agriculture forms the backbone of rural economies, particularly in developing nations like India, where it employs a significant portion of the population. Among those engaged in agriculture, women contribute substantially to farming activities, although their participation often remains invisible and undervalued. Women in agriculture face a myriad of challenges such as limited access to resources, financial services, technology and markets ^[28]. Despite these barriers, women play a pivotal role in ensuring food security and the overall functioning of rural economies ^[44]. In recent years, Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) have emerged as transformative entities that have the potential to empower women in agriculture. FPOs are collectively owned and operated by farmers to enable better bargaining power, resource pooling and enhanced access to markets. While FPOs have traditionally focused on strengthening the

economic position of male farmers, there is increasing recognition of the need to include women farmers in these organizations to ensure inclusive agricultural development [1].

This review article delves into the significant role of FPOs in promoting women's empowerment in agriculture by enhancing their economic, social and political standing. It investigates how FPOs contribute to closing gender gaps in agriculture, fostering an environment for women to take leadership roles and ensuring greater participation in decision-making processes. Additionally, the paper highlights the barriers women face in engaging with FPOs and suggests strategies to improve their inclusion.

The Concept and Structure of Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs)

The economic empowerment of women, especially in the agriculture sector, requires a multidimensional approach that addresses the structural inequalities they face. While recent government policies such as "Nari-Shakti" and "women-led development" highlight the importance of gender equality, women farmers continue to face challenges, particularly regarding land ownership rights [16]. Traditionally perceived as a male-dominated asset, land has shifted its role from wealth generation to a vital tool for family food security, especially with male migration to cities for economic opportunities. Despite shouldering greater agricultural responsibilities, women remain economically marginalized, lacking autonomy over crops, livestock and agricultural decisions [57]. To transition women from subsistence farming to commercial agriculture, it is crucial to provide access to and control over essential resources, including land, equipment and income. Government programs such as the Jeevika programme in Bihar, Mahila Lakhpati scheme in Madhya Pradesh and Mahila Kisan Sushaktikaran Pariyojana under the National Rural Livelihoods Mission have shown promise by integrating women into community-based platforms like self-help groups (SHGs) and farmer-producer organizations (FPOs). These initiatives facilitate skill development, agricultural extension services and access to credit, enabling women to participate actively in commercial farming [45].

Furthermore, the Jan Dhan scheme has played a significant role in financial inclusion, empowering women through access to bank accounts. However, the transformative potential of these efforts will remain incomplete without addressing women's land rights. Ownership of land not only provides financial security but also strengthens their decision-making power and economic independence, laying the foundation for sustainable development and true gender equality in agriculture [58]. Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) are collective enterprises formed by farmers, typically smallholders, to achieve better bargaining power, improve agricultural practices and enhance their economic well-being. These organizations aim to strengthen farmers' position in the agricultural value chain by pooling resources, improving access to markets and providing a platform for collective action. FPOs are primarily designed to address the limitations faced by individual farmers, such as fragmented land holdings, inadequate access to finance, market exploitation and insufficient knowledge and technology [75].

Concept of FPOs

FPOs are farmer-led organizations established with the goal of enabling farmers to work collectively to address common challenges and optimize the benefits from agriculture. The concept revolves around pooling resources, sharing knowledge and creating synergies among members to increase the collective bargaining power of farmers [59]. These organizations are based on the principle of mutual support and collaboration and they help farmers leverage their collective strength to improve their livelihoods.

In essence, FPOs serve as platforms for:

- **Collective Procurement:** By pooling resources, FPOs help members procure agricultural inputs like seeds, fertilizers and equipment at bulk prices, reducing costs for individual farmers [76].
- **Collective Marketing:** FPOs help farmers market their produce directly to buyers or through organized networks, cutting out intermediaries and improving the price realization for farmers [17].
- **Access to Finance:** FPOs act as intermediaries to provide members with access to financial services, such as micro-loans, insurance and credit [84].
- **Capacity Building and Knowledge Sharing:** FPOs also provide training, technical assistance and resources for improving agricultural productivity and sustainability [46].

By addressing these key areas, FPOs improve farmers' access to resources and opportunities, which can lead to enhanced productivity, income generation and greater sustainability in agricultural practices.

Key Features of FPOs

1. **Farmer-Centric Structure:** FPOs are primarily composed of farmer members who are directly involved in agriculture. These members contribute a nominal fee or equity share to become part of the organization, thus making them stakeholders in the enterprise. FPOs ensure that the interests of the farmer members are at the centre of their activities and objectives [2].
2. **Democratic Governance:** The governance structure of an FPO is typically democratic, with members having a say in decision-making processes. Each member has voting rights and decisions regarding the direction and policies of the organization are made collectively, either in general meetings or through elected representatives. The leadership of the FPO is usually chosen from the members themselves, promoting accountability and inclusiveness [47].
3. **Legal Framework:** FPOs can operate under various legal structures, including cooperatives, producer companies or societies. In India, the most common legal structure for FPOs is the Producer Company model, as defined by the Companies Act, 1956, which allows farmers to come together to form a company while retaining the autonomy and rights of individual farmers. This model also offers certain tax benefits and facilitates better access to institutional financing [30].
4. **Collective Action:** The underlying principle of FPOs is collective action, where farmers come together to solve common problems and capitalize on opportunities that they would be unable to achieve individually. This

includes collective procurement of inputs, shared access to technology and infrastructure, collective marketing and pooling of labour and expertise. By acting as a group, farmers can increase their market power and reduce transaction costs ^[60].

5. **Inclusive Membership:** FPOs aim to be inclusive, promoting the participation of marginalized groups such as women, smallholder farmers and tribal populations. Ensuring equitable representation within the organization helps in addressing the unique challenges faced by these groups, while simultaneously promoting social and economic empowerment ^[18].

Structure of FPOs

FPOs have a flexible and decentralized structure that allows them to operate effectively across different regions and agricultural contexts. The basic organizational structure of FPOs can be broken down into the following components:

1. **General Body:** The General Body is the ultimate decision-making authority within the FPO. It consists of all members of the organization and is responsible for approving the organization's policies, goals and budgets. The General Body elects representatives to the governing body (Board of Directors) and may also decide on significant matters, such as annual plans, investments and dividends ^[48].
2. **Board of Directors:** The Board of Directors is elected by the General Body and is responsible for the day-to-day governance of the FPO. The Board consists of farmers who typically have a strong understanding of agricultural practices and they work together to set the strategic direction of the organization. The Board is responsible for implementing policies, making key decisions and ensuring that the FPO's operations are transparent and aligned with the interests of its members ^[3].
3. **Executive Committee:** The Executive Committee is often made up of the senior leadership within the FPO, including the CEO or Managing Director, along with a team of professionals who manage the operations of the organization. The Executive Committee is responsible for the implementation of the decisions taken by the Board and overseeing the practical aspects of running the FPO, such as managing finances, production and marketing activities ^[61].
4. **Sub-Committees (optional):** Depending on the size and scope of the FPO, sub-committees may be formed to focus on specific functions, such as:
 - a. **Finance and Audit Committee:** To oversee financial operations and ensure the accountability of the FPO ^[85].
 - b. **Marketing Committee:** To coordinate the marketing and sale of produce, establishing direct linkages with buyers and retailers ^[31].
 - c. **Women's Empowerment Committee:** Dedicated to addressing the unique needs of women farmers and ensuring their active participation in decision-making.
5. **FPO Staff:** FPOs may also employ staff or hire consultants to support technical, managerial and financial functions. This can include field officers, accountants, technical experts and marketing professionals who assist the farmers in various operational tasks such as training, input management,

market linkages and financial management ^[62].

Legal and Operational Models of FPOs

FPOs can operate under different legal frameworks, each with its own benefits and limitations. Some common models include:

1. **Producer Company Model:** The Producer Company model, governed under Section 581B of the Companies Act, 1956, is the most popular structure for FPOs in India. This model allows farmers to form a company in which they hold shares based on their contribution to the organization. It ensures that farmers maintain control over the operations of the organization while benefiting from the collective nature of the company. Producer Companies enjoy greater access to capital, better market access and more opportunities for scaling up their activities ^[49].
2. **Cooperative Model:** Cooperatives are another common model for FPOs, especially in India. These are member-based organizations that are legally registered under the Cooperative Societies Act and they focus on pooling resources and sharing profits equitably among members. Cooperatives have a long history in agricultural development but face challenges related to bureaucracy, governance issues and the need for professional management.
3. **Society Model:** FPOs can also be registered as societies under the Societies Registration Act, 1860. This model is more flexible than the cooperative model and allows for easier decision-making. However, it may face challenges when scaling up or when attempting to access large institutional finance ^[32].

Challenges in the Structure of FPOs

Despite the potential of FPOs, there are several challenges in their structure and operation that need to be addressed:

- **Lack of Professional Management:** Many FPOs, especially in their early stages, struggle with a lack of professional management and expertise in areas such as marketing, finance and operations ^[4].
- **Governance Issues:** The governance of FPOs can sometimes be hindered by internal conflicts, lack of transparency and inefficiencies in decision-making. Ensuring good governance practices is crucial for the long-term success of FPOs ^[77].
- **Access to Finance:** FPOs often face difficulties in accessing sufficient finance for their operations, particularly in the early stages. Without adequate capital, FPOs may struggle to expand or provide services to their members.
- **Market Access and Competition:** Establishing robust market linkages and competing with established players in the supply chain can be challenging for FPOs. They need effective marketing strategies to ensure that their members receive fair prices for their produce ^[63].

Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) represent a promising model for empowering farmers, especially women and marginalized groups, through collective action and shared resources. Their flexible structure, based on democratic governance, collective procurement and marketing, enables farmers to access better prices, resources

and markets, thus enhancing their economic outcomes. However, FPOs must overcome challenges related to management, governance, access to finance and market access in order to achieve their full potential. By addressing these challenges, FPOs can significantly contribute to the empowerment of farmers, promoting inclusive and sustainable agricultural development [78].

Women's Role in Agriculture: Challenges and Opportunities

Women have long been integral to agricultural activities, especially in rural economies across the world. In developing countries, women contribute substantially to food production, post-harvest processing and even the management of agricultural-based enterprises [79]. Despite their critical role in agricultural productivity and rural development, women often face challenges that limit their ability to fully participate in and benefit from agricultural activities. These challenges, coupled with opportunities for empowerment and inclusion, are central to understanding the dynamics of women's participation in agriculture [33,90].

Women's Contribution to Agriculture

Women play a central role in agricultural production and food security across the globe. They are involved in various activities ranging from the preparation of land, sowing, weeding, harvesting and post-harvest processing, to managing household food supplies. In many countries, women are responsible for a significant portion of the labour force in smallholder farming. In some regions, women handle tasks such as:

- **Crop Production:** Women often manage and cultivate food crops such as vegetables, grains and tubers, which are essential for household nutrition and income [19].
- **Livestock Farming:** In many rural settings, women are responsible for raising livestock such as cows, goats, poultry and other animals. This activity provides milk, eggs, meat and other animal products that sustain household livelihoods [80].
- **Post-Harvest Activities:** Women are heavily involved in post-harvest processing, including cleaning, sorting, packaging and preserving agricultural produce, which often constitutes an additional source of income [64].
- **Value-Added Activities:** In many regions, women engage in activities like processing agricultural products (e.g., milling grains, making jams and producing handmade crafts), which enhances value and marketability [50].

Despite these extensive contributions, the role of women in agriculture is often overlooked, underappreciated and undervalued in many societies. Women face multiple barriers that hinder their full potential and the recognition of their contributions to agriculture.

Challenges Faced by Women in Agriculture

1. Limited Access to Land

Access to land is a fundamental factor influencing agricultural productivity, but women's access to land remains limited in many regions. In many societies, inheritance laws and traditional practices favour male family members, making it difficult for women to own or control

agricultural land. Women who do not have secure land tenure may face challenges in making long-term investments in land and agricultural improvements, which can negatively impact their productivity and economic outcomes [65].

2. Lack of Access to Financial Resources

Access to credit, loans and financial services is critical for farmers to invest in inputs like seeds, fertilizers, equipment and technology. However, women in agriculture often have limited access to financial resources due to a combination of factors, such as limited collateral, low financial literacy and discriminatory banking practices. Without access to adequate finance, women farmers are often unable to invest in modern farming technologies, improve their yields or diversify their agricultural activities [34].

3. Gendered Division of Labour

In many rural communities, a gendered division of labour exists, where men are typically involved in the more economically valued or visible tasks (e.g., managing larger agricultural enterprises, market negotiations), while women handle household-related duties and labour-intensive tasks that are often unpaid or underpaid. This division of labour often leads to the undervaluation of women's contributions and restricts their opportunities for leadership roles or decision-making in agricultural businesses [66].

4. Limited Access to Education and Training

Education and training are key to improving agricultural productivity, yet women often have limited access to these resources. Gender disparities in education, particularly in rural areas, prevent women from acquiring the skills necessary to adopt new agricultural technologies, modern farming practices and innovative techniques. The lack of technical training also limits women's ability to participate in decision-making processes within their households, communities and agricultural enterprises [51, 89].

5. Inadequate Access to Agricultural Inputs

While agricultural inputs such as improved seeds, fertilizers, pesticides and irrigation systems are crucial for increasing farm productivity, women often struggle to access these resources. Limited control over household income, coupled with cultural norms, may restrict women's ability to purchase these inputs. Additionally, extension services, which provide vital support to farmers in terms of advice, training and resources, often do not reach women in sufficient numbers or with content that addresses their specific needs [35].

6. Lack of Representation in Decision-Making

Women's participation in decision-making, whether at the household, community or policy level, remains minimal in many agricultural settings. Decisions related to land, resource allocation, crop choices and market access are often made by male members of the family or community. This lack of agency in decision-making not only limits women's ability to optimize agricultural practices but also hinders their economic empowerment [20].

7. Social and Cultural Barriers

Cultural and societal norms often dictate the roles and

responsibilities of women in agriculture, limiting their mobility, access to resources and opportunities for leadership. In many regions, women are discouraged or even prohibited from owning property, attending agricultural meetings or engaging in market negotiations. These social restrictions result in women being marginalized in agriculture and economic activities in general ^[5].

8. Climate Change and Environmental Challenges

Women, especially those in rural and agricultural communities, are disproportionately affected by climate change and environmental degradation. They tend to have limited access to climate-smart technologies, early warning systems and resources to adapt to changing weather patterns. Furthermore, the additional burden of water collection, fuel gathering and food security often falls on women, making them more vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change ^[67].

Opportunities for Women in Agriculture

Despite these challenges, there are several opportunities for women to increase their involvement, empowerment and overall contribution to agriculture. These opportunities are driven by shifts in agricultural policies, greater focus on gender equality and increasing support for inclusive growth.

1. Access to Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs)

Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) provide a significant opportunity for women farmers to unite and advocate for their rights, access better markets and receive support. By joining FPOs, women can pool resources for collective procurement of inputs, gain access to credit and financial services and improve their bargaining power in the market. Moreover, FPOs offer platforms for women to participate in leadership roles, enhancing their decision-making capabilities and overall social and political empowerment ^[36].

2. Technology and Innovation

Advancements in agricultural technology, including mobile apps, drones, precision farming tools and low-cost machinery, provide new avenues for women farmers to increase productivity and reduce labour. Women's access to these technologies can greatly improve efficiency, crop yields and income. Furthermore, digital platforms and extension services that are gender-sensitive and tailored to the needs of women can facilitate greater access to information and resources ^[81].

3. Women-Led Agricultural Enterprises

As women gain access to markets and financial resources, there is increasing potential for women to start and lead agricultural enterprises. These could include agribusiness ventures such as processing, packaging and value-added products. Women-run agricultural enterprises contribute to local economies, create jobs and enhance food security. Women-led businesses also have the potential to empower women both economically and socially, as they take on leadership roles within the agricultural sector ^[21].

4. Government Policies and Programs

Many governments and international organizations have

recognized the importance of empowering women in agriculture and have introduced policies and programs to support them. These may include initiatives to promote women's access to land, credit, education and agricultural inputs. For instance, subsidies on agricultural inputs, targeted financial products and gender-responsive agricultural extension services have been introduced in some regions to directly support women farmers ^[52].

5. Financial Inclusion and Microfinance

Microfinance institutions and financial technology (fintech) platforms offer new opportunities for women in agriculture to access loans, insurance and savings services. These financial products are tailored to the needs of women farmers, offering low-interest loans and insurance packages that protect them against crop failure, climate risks and economic shocks. Financial inclusion allows women to invest in their farms, diversify income sources and increase financial independence ^[82].

6. Education and Training Programs

Education and skill-building programs that focus on both technical agricultural practices and leadership development can greatly enhance women's participation in agriculture. These programs are increasingly available through NGOs, government agencies and private sector partnerships, providing women with the knowledge and confidence to adopt sustainable practices, manage finances and take on leadership roles ^[68].

7. Advocacy and Policy Change

Women's advocacy and grassroots movements are playing a critical role in pushing for gender-inclusive agricultural policies. Women farmers are organizing at local, national and global levels to call for more equitable access to land, resources, markets and political representation. By supporting these movements, governments and development organizations can ensure that women's voices are heard and their needs are addressed in agricultural policies and programs ^[22].

8. Climate Resilience Initiatives

With the growing recognition of climate change impacts, there is increasing support for women's involvement in climate adaptation and resilience-building efforts. Programs focused on improving women's access to climate-resilient agricultural practices, water conservation technologies and sustainable land management techniques can significantly enhance their capacity to cope with environmental challenges ^[37]. Women play a pivotal role in agriculture, especially in rural areas, where they contribute significantly to food production, income generation and the overall well-being of their families and communities. However, their work is often undervalued and they face numerous challenges that hinder their full participation in and benefit from agricultural activities. Addressing barriers such as limited access to land, credit, technology and decision-making processes is essential to empowering women and unlocking their potential in agriculture ^[53,89].

By creating opportunities for women to access resources, participate in collective organizations such as FPOs, receive training and lead agricultural enterprises, society can foster

greater gender equality in agriculture. With the right policies, programs and support systems in place, women can become key drivers of agricultural innovation, rural development and economic growth. Empowering women in agriculture not only benefits them individually but also has a ripple effect on entire communities, contributing to more inclusive, sustainable and resilient agricultural systems ^[69].

Role of Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) in Empowering Women

Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) have emerged as one of the most effective models for promoting collective action in agriculture, addressing challenges faced by smallholder farmers and enhancing their economic outcomes. One of the critical advantages of FPOs is their potential to empower women in agriculture by providing them with a platform for participation, skill-building, market access and leadership opportunities. Women, particularly in rural and agricultural settings, often face numerous barriers, including gender discrimination, limited access to resources and marginalization in decision-making. FPOs have the capacity to overcome some of these challenges, thus fostering greater gender equality, financial independence and social empowerment ^[83].

Enhancing Economic Opportunities for Women Farmers

FPOs play a vital role in enhancing the economic opportunities of women farmers by enabling them to access better markets, fair prices and increased incomes.

- **Collective Marketing and Improved Bargaining Power**

One of the key advantages of FPOs is collective marketing, where farmers come together to sell their produce in bulk, thereby reducing transaction costs and increasing their bargaining power in the market. Women, who often have limited market access due to time constraints, social norms and lack of financial resources, can significantly benefit from this collective approach ^[38]. By pooling their produce together, women can reach larger buyers, negotiate better prices and ensure consistent demand for their products. For example, through FPOs, women engaged in dairy farming, vegetable cultivation or poultry production can collectively market their produce to wholesalers, retailers and processors, securing fairer prices and eliminating exploitative middlemen. This increases their income and reduces their dependency on individual buyers, who may offer lower prices due to limited negotiation power ^[6].

- **Value-Added Products and Diversification**

FPOs also provide women farmers with opportunities to engage in value-added activities that increase the profitability of their agricultural products. Women are often involved in post-harvest processing and value addition, such as packaging, milling, preserving or producing artisanal goods. By working through FPOs, women can access resources, training and market linkages for value-added products, expanding their income streams beyond basic crop or livestock sales. FPOs can also help women diversify their income by providing access to new agricultural practices, such as organic farming, agro-processing or even eco-

tourism related to sustainable agriculture. Diversification helps to buffer women against risks associated with climate change, price volatility and market fluctuations ^[23].

- **Financial Inclusion**

Access to credit and financial services is a major barrier for women farmers, especially smallholder women who often lack collateral or a formal credit history. FPOs act as intermediaries, enabling women to access financial products such as microloans, insurance and savings accounts. By organizing into groups, women can leverage the collective strength of the FPO to qualify for loans from banks or microfinance institutions ^[54]. Additionally, some FPOs provide internal financing mechanisms or savings and credit groups that enable women to access capital at low interest rates. This financial inclusion allows women to invest in their farms, purchase inputs or expand their agricultural enterprises.

Promoting Leadership and Decision-Making

A key component of FPOs is their democratic governance structure, which allows for participatory decision-making. This governance structure is crucial for empowering women and ensuring their voices are heard in the agricultural sector.

1. Women in Leadership Roles

In many traditional agricultural systems, leadership positions are dominated by men, while women's involvement in decision-making is often limited to household-level affairs. FPOs provide an inclusive space where women can take on leadership roles, including positions on the Board of Directors, as community representatives or in managing the daily operations of the organization. This visibility and participation in leadership roles build women's confidence, leadership skills and influence in both their communities and the broader agricultural value chain. FPOs that actively promote women's leadership help challenge gender stereotypes, break cultural barriers and inspire other women to take on leadership positions in agriculture. By being in leadership roles, women also have a greater say in shaping the policies and priorities of the organization, ensuring that their unique needs and perspectives are taken into account ^[39].

2. Capacity Building and Skill Development

FPOs also provide valuable training opportunities for women farmers, which is crucial for enhancing their technical knowledge, management skills and overall empowerment. These capacity-building initiatives can include agricultural best practices, financial literacy, leadership development and market strategies. For example, women involved in FPOs may receive training on modern farming techniques, use of new technology, climate-smart agriculture and efficient use of resources, all of which increase productivity and reduce costs. By equipping women with these skills, FPOs enable them to become more independent and successful entrepreneurs, which enhances their financial and social standing within their communities ^[55].

3. Access to Technology and Innovation

FPOs play a vital role in bridging the technology gap for

women farmers, who often lack access to modern agricultural technologies. Through FPOs, women can gain access to improved seeds, machinery, equipment and digital tools that increase productivity and reduce labor. Women also benefit from training in digital technologies that facilitate market access, financial management and weather forecasting. For example, women can benefit from mobile applications that offer real-time information on weather patterns, pest management or market prices, allowing them to make informed decisions about planting, harvesting and selling their produce. FPOs that facilitate access to such technologies empower women to improve productivity, reduce risks and boost their competitive edge in the market [7].

Fostering Social Inclusion and Community Development

FPOs are often catalysts for social change by promoting gender equality and social inclusion. The inclusive nature of FPOs ensures that women’s voices are integrated into the decision-making processes, both at the household and community levels.

1. Social Empowerment through Collective Action

By bringing together women farmers in a collective and supportive environment, FPOs provide a platform for mutual support, solidarity and networking. Women in rural areas often face social isolation due to geographical constraints, limited mobility and cultural restrictions. FPOs break these barriers by fostering a sense of community and empowerment among women. The collaborative environment within FPOs also facilitates knowledge sharing and mutual assistance, where women can learn from each other’s experiences, exchange information and support one

another in tackling challenges. This collective action not only improves agricultural productivity but also strengthens social cohesion and trust among women in rural communities [24,88].

2. Changing Social Norms and Reducing Gender Discrimination

The presence of women in decision-making positions within FPOs can help challenge patriarchal social norms and increase gender equality in rural areas. As women take on more prominent roles in their communities, they are able to advocate for their rights and challenge discriminatory practices. FPOs provide a platform for women to engage in dialogues about their needs and aspirations, thus transforming traditional gender roles and expectations. In addition, FPOs can play a significant role in addressing issues such as child labour, gender-based violence and unequal access to education and healthcare, contributing to the overall well-being and empowerment of women and their families [8].

3. Strengthening Women’s Networks and Advocacy

FPOs offer an organized platform for women farmers to voice their concerns and demand better services and policies from governments and development organizations. These platforms enable women to organize collectively, advocate for their rights and lobby for gender-responsive policies in agriculture. By joining an FPO, women can access a network of fellow farmers, community leaders and policymakers, which strengthens their collective voice and advocacy efforts. This network can be pivotal in influencing policy changes that address the specific needs of women in agriculture [25].

Case Studies of Women Empowerment through FPOs

Case Study	Country	Key Focus Area	Initiatives	Outcomes
Self-Employed Women’s Association (SEWA)	India	Collective action, market access and financial inclusion	- Collective marketing - Training in agriculture, entrepreneurship and leadership - Financial services access	- Increased income and market access - Women took on leadership roles - Advocacy for gender-sensitive policies
Swayam Shikshan Prayog (SSP)	India (Maharashtra)	Training, FPO formation and leadership	- Capacity building in agriculture and leadership - Formation of women-led FPOs - Access to credit and resources	- Increased productivity and income - Women in leadership roles - Enhanced agricultural sustainability
Ethiopian Women’s Coffee Farmers Cooperative (EWCF)	Ethiopia	Coffee production and marketing	- Collective coffee marketing and fair trade certification - Training in farming, processing and marketing - Leadership development programs	- Fairer prices and global market access - Improved product quality - Women empowered to influence policies
Kenya Cooperative Creameries (KCC) Dairy Cooperative	Kenya	Dairy farming and financial access	- Collective milk marketing - Training in dairy farming techniques - Access to credit and veterinary services	- Increased milk production and income - Leadership roles in cooperatives - Improved market access and pricing

Challenges to Women’s Inclusion in Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs)

Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) have the potential to empower women in agriculture by providing them with access to markets, credit, leadership opportunities and collective resources. However, despite the advantages, the inclusion of women in FPOs remains limited in many rural settings due to a combination of socio-cultural, economic and institutional barriers [40]. Addressing these challenges is

essential to ensuring that women can fully benefit from the opportunities offered by FPOs.

1. Socio-Cultural Barriers

1.1. Traditional Gender Roles and Norms

In many rural societies, deeply entrenched cultural and social norms dictate the roles and responsibilities of men and women, often relegating women to domestic tasks while men are seen as the primary decision-makers in agricultural

activities. These traditional gender roles may limit women's mobility, participation in public forums and access to leadership positions within FPOs. Women may be perceived as less capable or suitable for roles in farming cooperatives, especially in leadership or management positions^[55].

For example, in some regions, cultural expectations prevent women from attending meetings or engaging in market activities, as these are seen as "men's work." This often results in a lack of confidence among women to step forward and take on active roles in FPOs, limiting their participation in key decisions^[70].

1.2. Gender-Based Violence and Discrimination

Gender-based violence (GBV) and discrimination remain significant barriers to women's involvement in FPOs. In some rural areas, women face domestic violence, harassment or other forms of gender discrimination that hinder their ability to engage in social and economic activities, including participation in FPOs. Women who face such challenges may feel disempowered or lack the social support needed to join or actively engage in an FPO^[41].

Moreover, in some communities, women may face ridicule or rejection from their male counterparts when they attempt to assert themselves or take leadership positions in FPOs. This discriminatory behaviour further discourages women from participating in the organizational activities of FPOs^[26].

2. Economic Barriers

2.1. Limited Access to Land and Resources

In many parts of the world, women have limited access to land and agricultural resources, which directly affects their ability to participate meaningfully in FPOs. Land ownership is a critical asset in agricultural activities, as it determines access to inputs like credit, tools and government subsidies. Since women often do not own land or have control over resources, they are less likely to be active participants in FPOs, which are often focused on land-based activities^[71].

Without secure land tenure or control over agricultural resources, women may not have the means to engage in commercial farming or expand their agricultural activities. As a result, they may have limited financial capacity or incentive to join an FPO^[9,87].

2.2. Financial Constraints and Limited Access to Credit

Access to credit and financial services is another major challenge that women face when attempting to engage in FPOs. Women often have less control over household finances and in many cases, they lack the collateral or formal credit history required to access loans. This financial constraint can limit women's ability to invest in their farming operations, purchase inputs or contribute to the collective funds of an FPO.

Additionally, many women in rural areas are not aware of the financial services available through FPOs or have difficulty navigating financial institutions due to a lack of financial literacy. The result is that women are excluded from economic opportunities that could help them improve their agricultural productivity and income^[72].

2.3. Limited Access to Technology and Innovation

Technology and innovation play a crucial role in improving

agricultural productivity and market access. However, women often have limited access to new agricultural technologies, digital tools and training programs that could enhance their productivity and income. This digital divide is especially prevalent in rural areas, where women may lack access to mobile phones, internet connectivity or digital literacy programs^[42].

Without access to technology, women may find it difficult to engage with modern agricultural practices, access market information or improve the quality of their produce. This lack of access to technology can further marginalize women within FPOs, as they may be unable to fully participate in technology-driven agricultural initiatives or business ventures^[55].

3. Institutional and Organizational Barriers

3.1. Male-Dominated Leadership and Decision-Making Structures

In many FPOs, leadership positions are dominated by men, even though women may be active participants in the day-to-day activities of the organization. This gender imbalance in leadership can hinder women's influence in decision-making processes, as well as their ability to shape the priorities and policies of the FPO.

Moreover, male-dominated leadership structures may be less responsive to the specific needs and concerns of women, such as access to female-friendly extension services, childcare facilities or gender-sensitive training programs. Women's voices and perspectives may be sidelined or overlooked, resulting in less inclusive and equitable organizational outcomes^[27].

3.2. Lack of Gender-Sensitive Policies and Programs

Many FPOs lack gender-sensitive policies or programs that actively promote women's participation and address their specific needs. For example, some FPOs may not provide equal opportunities for women to hold leadership roles, participate in training sessions or access resources like credit or land. Without explicit policies aimed at fostering gender equality, FPOs may unintentionally perpetuate existing gender disparities.

Additionally, some FPOs may fail to recognize or address the challenges that women face in balancing agricultural work with domestic responsibilities. This oversight can discourage women from fully engaging with the organization, as they may feel that FPO activities do not align with their daily realities or needs^[10].

3.3. Gender-Blind Extension Services

Agricultural extension services play a key role in providing farmers with information, training and resources to improve their agricultural practices. However, extension services in many regions are often gender-blind, meaning they do not take into account the unique needs, challenges or interests of women farmers. Extension officers may primarily target male farmers or fail to engage with women in ways that are culturally sensitive and accessible^[42].

For example, extension officers may conduct meetings at times when women are occupied with household chores or child-rearing or they may use communication methods that do not resonate with women. As a result, women miss out on valuable knowledge that could enhance their agricultural

productivity and empower them to take on leadership roles in FPOs [28].

4. Lack of Awareness and Training on Women's Rights

4.1. Low Awareness of Legal Rights

In many rural communities, women are often unaware of their legal rights to land ownership, inheritance and access to agricultural services. Without awareness of these rights, women may not be able to advocate for themselves or demand inclusion in FPOs. Furthermore, lack of knowledge about legal frameworks that support women's economic empowerment can prevent women from asserting their ownership or leadership within agricultural organizations [56].

FPOs that provide legal education and awareness on women's rights, land tenure and gender equality can help break down these barriers, enabling women to take more active roles in their agricultural enterprises and FPOs [11].

4.2. Limited Training Opportunities

Even if women are aware of their rights, they may not have access to the necessary training or mentorship to develop the skills required for leadership in FPOs. In many cases, FPOs do not offer gender-specific training, which may limit women's ability to take on roles within the organization, particularly in technical, financial or managerial positions. Providing targeted training that focuses on leadership skills, financial literacy and agricultural innovations for women can bridge this gap and equip them with the tools needed to succeed within FPOs [73,86].

While Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) have great potential to empower women in agriculture by improving market access, financial inclusion and leadership opportunities, several challenges hinder their full inclusion. Socio-cultural norms, economic constraints, limited access to resources and technology, gender-blind institutional frameworks and lack of awareness and training all play a role in limiting women's participation in FPOs [43]. Addressing these barriers requires concerted efforts to implement gender-sensitive policies, promote women's leadership, provide targeted training and ensure access to resources. By recognizing and overcoming these challenges, FPOs can create more inclusive, equitable and sustainable agricultural systems that empower women, improve their livelihoods and contribute to the overall development of rural communities [12].

Conclusion

Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) have emerged as powerful vehicles for empowering women in agriculture. By providing access to markets, financial resources, leadership opportunities and training, FPOs help women overcome the barriers that limit their participation and success in agriculture. Furthermore, FPOs foster social inclusion and community development by promoting gender equality and providing a platform for women to engage in collective action and advocacy. Through FPOs, women are not only able to enhance their economic outcomes but also transform social norms, challenge traditional gender roles and take on leadership positions within their communities. As more FPOs emerge with a focus on women's empowerment, the potential for increased agricultural productivity, improved rural livelihoods and gender equality in agriculture

continues to grow, creating a more inclusive and sustainable agricultural sector. Farmer Producer Organizations hold immense potential to empower women in agriculture by providing them with access to resources, markets, training and leadership opportunities. Through FPOs, women can overcome traditional barriers that hinder their growth and actively participate in shaping the future of agriculture. By ensuring the inclusive participation of women in FPOs and addressing the challenges they face, these organizations can serve as powerful tools for achieving gender equality and sustainable rural development. For women farmers, the empowerment offered by FPOs can ultimately transform their lives, their communities and the broader agricultural economy.

References

1. Abokyi MG. Exploring the Farmer Based Organisation (FBO) extension approach: A case study of an NGO in Northern Ghana. MSc Research Project. Van Hall Larenstein University of Applied Sciences, Wageningen, the Netherlands; c2013.
2. Aditya RLS. Study on organisational structure of farmer producer organisations for effective value chain analysis: A case analysis of Telangana and Karnataka. MSc Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University; 2015.
3. Agarwal B. Women's land rights and farmer producer organizations. *Indian J Agric Econ.* 2016.
4. Anonymous. Financing. NABARD. Available from: <https://www.nabard.org/english/Financing.aspx>. (Accessed on 10 June 2015).
5. Anonymous. Report of the high-level committee on formation of cooperative business as companies. GoI, New Delhi; 2016.
6. Asante BO, Afari-Sefa V, Sarpong DB. Determinants of small scale farmer's decision to join farmer based organizations in Ghana. *Afr J Agric Res.* 2011;6(10):2273-2279.
7. Elbehri A, Lee M. The role of women producer organizations in agricultural value chains: Practical Lessons from Africa and India. FAO; 2001.
8. Babu S, Kumar P. Farmer producer organizations: A new model for sustainable rural development. *J Rural Stud.* 2018.
9. Bachke ME. Are farmers' organizations a good tool to improve small-scale farmers' welfare? Paper presented at the II Conferencia do IESE "Dinamicas da Pobreza e Padrões de Acumulação em Moçambique", Maputo, 22-23 April; 2009. Available from: http://www.iese.ac.mz/lib/publication/II_conf/GrupoII/Farmers_Organizations_Welfare_BACHKE.pdf.
10. Barrett CB. Smallholder market participation: Concepts and evidence from eastern and southern Africa. *Food Policy.* 2008;33(4):299-317.
11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2007.10.005>.
12. Bernard T, Spielman DJ. Reaching the rural poor through rural producer organizations? A study of agricultural marketing cooperatives in Ethiopia. *Food Policy.* 2009;34:60-69. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2008.08.001>.
13. Bijman J, Iliopoulos C, Poppe K, Gijssels C, Hagedorn K, Hanisch M, *et al.* Support for Farmers'

- Cooperatives. Report prepared for the European Commission, Brussels; 2012.
14. Blanc J, Kledal P. The Brazilian organic food sector: Prospects and constraints of facilitating the inclusion cooperatives: Recent evidence from rural Ethiopia. *J Rural Stud.* 2012;50:84-94.
 15. Bosc PM, Didier E, Karim H, Bruno L, Marie-Rose M, Pierre R, Sadie MW. Reaching the rural poor: The Role of Rural Producers Organisations (RPOs) in the World Bank Rural Development Strategy. Background study; 2001.
 16. Brown O, Sander C. Supermarket buying power: Global supply chain and smallholder farmers. Trade Knowledge Network, International Institute of Sustainable Development, Winnipeg; 2007.
 17. Carney D. Formal farmers organisations in the agricultural technology system: Current roles and future challenges. *Nat Resour Perspect.* 1994;(14). Available from: <https://www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-files/2963.pdf>.
 18. Cazzuffi C. Small scale farmers in the market and the role of processing and marketing cooperatives: A case study of Italian dairy farmers. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Economics, University of Sussex; 2012.
 19. Chamala S. Establishing a group: A participative action model. In: Chamala S, Mortiss PD, editors. *Working Together for Landcare: Group Management Skills and Strategies.* Australian Academic Press; 1990. p. 13-38.
 20. Chirwa E, Dorward A, Kachule R, Kumwenda I, Kydd J, Poole N, Oulton C, Stockbridge M. Farmer organisations for market access: Principles for policy and practice. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a08c60ed915d622c0012e1/R8275_040524_PolicyBriefingPaper.pdf.
 21. Elbehri A, Lee M. Role of women producer organizations in agricultural value chains. Rome, Italy: FAO; 2011.
 22. Elsner DM. Organizaciones económicas campesinas y políticas públicas. La Paz: Plural editores/IIED/PIEB/DFID; 2005.
 23. Evenson RE, Gollin D. Assessing the impact of the Green Revolution, 1960 to 2000. *Science.* 2003;300(5620):758-762. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1078710>.
 24. FAO. Sustainable agriculture and rural development, policy brief 12. Available from: <ftp://ftp.fao.org/sd/sda/sdar/sard/SARD-farmersorgs%20-%20english.pdf>; 2007.
 25. FAO. Agrifood and Forest Farm Facility, Strength in numbers: Effective forest producer organizations. Rome: FAO; 2012. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/docrep/016/ap452e/ap452e00.pdf>.
 26. FAO. The state of food and agriculture: Leveraging food systems for inclusive rural transformation. Rome: FAO; 2017.
 27. Fischer E, Qaim M. Gender equality and development. *World Dev.* 2012;40(6):1255-1268. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2011.11.018>.
 28. GFRAS. Producer organisations in rural advisory services: Evidence and experiences. Position Paper. Lindau: Global Forum for Rural Advisory Services; 2015.
 29. Golan J, Lay J. More coffee, more cigarettes? Coffee market liberalisation, gender and bargaining in Uganda. Kiel Working Papers 1402. Kiel Institute for the World Economy; 2008.
 30. Government of India. National Policy on Farmer Producer Organizations. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare; 2015.
 31. Government of India. Policy and Process Guidelines for Farmer Producer Organisations. Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, New Delhi; 2013.
 32. Govil R, Neti A, Rao MR. Farmer Producer Companies: Past, Present, Future. Azim Premji University, Bangalore; 2020.
 33. Gulati A, Minot N, Delgado C, Bora S. Growth in high-value agriculture in Asia and the emergence of vertical links with farmers. In: Swinnen JF, editor. *Global Supply Chains, Standards and the Poor: How the Globalization of Food Systems and Standards Affects Rural Development and Poverty.* CAB International, Oxford; 2007. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845931858.0091>.
 34. Gupta R, Singh S. Empowering women farmers through collective action. *Agric Econ Res Rev.* 2019.
 35. Hazell PC, Poulton S, Wiggins A, Dorward A. The future of small farms: Trajectories and policy priorities. *World Dev.* 2010;38(10):1349-1361. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2009.06.012>.
 36. Hazell P, Poulton C, Wiggins S, Dorward A. The future of small farms: Trajectories and policy priorities. *World Dev.* 2010;38(10):1349-1361.
 37. Herck KV. Assessing efficiencies generated by agricultural producer organisations. Report by European Commission, B-1049, Brussels; 2014.
 38. Humphrey J, Memedovic O. Global value chain in agrifood sector. Working paper, United Nations Industrial Development Organisation, Vienna; 2006.
 39. Hussein K. Farmers' organisations and agricultural technology: Institutions that give farmers a voice. Draft paper, Rural Policy and Environment Group, ODI Overseas Development Institute, London SW1E 5DP; 2001. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.development.1110294>.
 40. Jayalakshmi R. Gender in cooperatives. Kerala Research Program, Institute of Co-operative Management, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala; 2003.
 41. Jere P. Inventory and SWOT analysis of farmer organizations in the SADC region: A regional synthesis report on strengths, weaknesses, capacity needs, and communication needs of FOs in the SADC. Submitted to Food, Agriculture, and Natural Resources Policy Analysis Network (FANRPAN), Malawi; 2005. Available from: http://www.fanrpan.org/documents/d00049/Inventory_and_SWOT_analysis.pdf.
 42. Jhansi B, Kalal AN, Rajeshwari N. Empowering rural women through farmer producer organizations (FPOs). *Arch Agric All Sci.* 2023.
 43. Kaaria S, Osorio M, Wagner S, Gallina A. Rural women's participation in producer organizations: An

- analysis of the barriers that women face and strategies to foster equitable and effective participation. *J Gend Agric Food Secur.* 2016;1(2):148-67.
44. Kanika M. What is FPO and how it is helpful to farmers. *Krishi Jagran - Agripedia.* 2021. Available from: <https://krishijagran.com/agripedia/what-is-fpo-and-how-it-is-helpful-to-farmer/>
 45. Kurien V. India's milk revolution: Investing in rural producer organizations. In: Narayan-Parker D, editor. *Ending poverty in South Asia: Ideas that work.* Washington: World Bank; 2007.
 46. Latynskiy E, Thomas B. Networks of rural producer organizations in Uganda: What can be done to make them work better? *World Dev.* 2016;78:572-86. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.10.014>
 47. Lind LW. The demutualization of a cooperative-Swedish Meats. In: 2011 International Congress, Zurich, Switzerland; 2011.
 48. Ling KC. Measuring performance of dairy cooperatives. *Rural Development, USDA, Research Report 212, Washington, D.C.;* 2006. Markelova H, Meinzen-Dick R, Hellin J, Dohrn S. Collective action for smallholder market access. *Food Policy.* 2009;1:1-7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2008.10.001>
 49. Mahesh Babu T. Critical analysis of farmer producer organizations (FPOs) in Rayalaseema region of Andhra Pradesh. Ph.D. thesis, Agr. Ext. Edu., SVAG College, ANGRAU, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh; 2021.
 50. McKee G. The financial performance of North Dakota grain marketing and farm supply cooperatives. *J Cooperatives.* 2008;21:15-34.
 51. Mishra AK, Tegegne F, Sandretto CL. The impact of participation in cooperatives on the success of small farms. *J Agribusiness.* 2004;22(1):31-48.
 52. Misra RS. ITC Chaupal in inclusive value chain in India: Linking smallest producer to modern market. In: Harper M, editor. *World Scientific, Singapore;* 2008. p. 42-62.
 53. Mojo D, Fischer C, Degefa T. The determinants and economic impacts of membership in coffee farmer cooperatives: Recent evidence from rural Ethiopia. *J Rural Stud.* 2017;50:84-94.
 54. Moustier P, Tam PTG, Anh DT, Binh VT, Loc NTT. The role of farmer organizations in supplying supermarkets with quality food in Vietnam. *Food Policy.* 2010;35(1):69-78. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2009.08.003>
 55. Mudege NN, Nyekanyeka T, Kapalasa E, Chevo T, Demo P. Understanding collective action and women's empowerment in potato farmer groups in Ntcheu and Dedza in Malawi. *J Rural Stud.* 2015;42:91-101. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2015.09.002>
 56. Mukherjee A. An analytical study on status, prospects, and challenges of farmers' producer companies. Ph.D. thesis, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi; 2018.
 57. Mukherjee P, Singh P, Anand S, Kumar U. Women empowerment through Farmers Producers Organization. *Food Sci Rep.* 2020;1:9-14. Available from: https://foodandscientificreports.com/assets/uploads/issues/1583721064women_empowerment_through_fpc.pdf
 58. Mwambi M, Bijman J, Mshenga P. Which type of producer organization for smallholders? *J Rural Stud.* 2012;28:142-154.
 59. NABARD. Farmer Producers' Organizations (FPOs): Status, issues & suggested policy reforms. National Level Paper, Potential Linked Plans (PLP) 2019-20. 2015. Available from: <https://www.nabard.org/auth/writereaddata/CareerNotices/3108183411Paper%20on%20FPOs%20-%20Status%20&%20Issues.pdf>
 60. NABARD. Farmers' Producer Organizations. Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs). National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development, Mumbai, India; c2011. p. 4-5.
 61. NABARD. Farmers Producer Organizations. Frequently Asked Questions. National Bank for Rural Development, Mumbai, India; 2015.
 62. Narrod C, Roy D. The role of public-private partnerships and collective action in ensuring smallholder participation in high value fruit and vegetable supply chains. *CAPRI Working Paper No. 70.* 2007.
 63. Ngeno V. Impact of dairy hubs on smallholder welfare: Empirical evidence from Kenya. *Agric Food Process.* 2020;91:213-236. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/apce.12269>
 64. Nikam V, Singh P, Ashok A, Kumar S. Farmer producer organizations: Innovative institutions for upliftment of small farmers. *Indian J Agric Sci.* 2019;89(9):1383-1392.
 65. Nyang MN, Webo C, Roothaert RL. The power of farmer's organisations in smallholder agriculture in East Africa: A review of 5 project initiatives of the Maendeleo Agricultural Technology Fund, FARM Africa Working Paper. London; 2010. Available from: http://www.farmafrica.org/downloads/resources/MATF_Working_Paper13_FarmerOrganisations.pdf
 66. Olwande J, Mathenge M. Market participation among poor rural households in Kenya. *Contributed Paper, International Association of Agricultural Economists, Triennial Conference, Foz-do-Iguaçu, Brazil;* 2012 Aug 18-24.
 67. Onumah G, Davis J, Kleih U, Proctor F. Empowering smallholder farmers in markets: Changing agricultural marketing systems and innovative responses by producer organizations. *MPRA, Munich.* 2007.
 68. Penrose-Buckley C. Producer organisations: A guide to developing collective rural enterprises. London: Oxfam; 2007. Available from: <https://policypractice.oxfam.org/resources/producer-organisations-a-practical-guide-to-developing-collective-rural-enterpr-115532/>
 69. Peppelenbos LC. *Trading up: Building cooperation between farmers and traders in Africa.* Amsterdam: KIT/IIRR; 2008.
 70. Praveen Kumar C, Babu Ch. Small and marginal farming by women farmer producer groups and companies: A new approach (A case study of Yachram Farmer Producer Company, Telangana). 2018.
 71. Pretty J, Ward H. Social capital and the environment. *World Dev.* 2001;29(2):209-27. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(00\)00098-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(00)00098-X)

72. Puantani. Study on policy of rural and farmers' empowerment and the farmer organizations' role towards rural advisory services. Report for GFRAS. Indonesian Women Farmer and Rural Women Organization, Banten; 2014.
73. Ramappa KB, Yashashwini MA. Evolution of farmer producer organizations: Challenges and opportunities. *Res J Agric Sci.* 2020;9(4):709-715.
74. Roy D, Thorat A. Success in high value horticultural export markets for the small farmers: The case of Mahagrapes in India. *World Dev.* 2008;36(10):1874-90. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.09.009>
75. Salifu A, Francesconi G, Kolavalli S. A review of collective action in rural Ghana. IFPRI Discussion Paper 00998. Available from: www.ifpri.org/sites/default/files/publications/ifpridp00998.pdf
76. SEWA Academy. Organizing for Change: Union and Cooperatives. Self Employed Women's Association, Ahmedabad, Mahila Self Employed Women's Association Trust; 2000.
77. SFAC. Krishi Sutra 2: Success stories of farmer producer organizations. Small Farmers Agribusiness Consortium, New Delhi: Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Government of India; 2013.
78. Shepherd A. The implications of supermarket development for the horticultural farmers and traditional market systems in Asia. Paper presented at FAO/ACMA/FAMA regional workshop on the growth of supermarkets as retailers of fresh produce, FAO Rome; 2005.
79. Storbakk AE. Social capital and rural producer organisations: An assessment of the relevancy of social capital in the functioning of rural producers organisations in Bolivia. MSc thesis, Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Agder; 2013.
80. Stringfellow R, Coulter J. Agriculture producer organisations: Their contribution in rural capacity building and poverty reduction. Summary of a workshop, Washington DC, June 28-30. Rural Development Department, World Bank; 1997.
81. Thompson J, Teshome A, Hughes D, Chirwa E, Omiti J. Challenges and opportunities for strengthening farmers' organisations in Africa: Lessons from Ethiopia, Kenya and Malawi. FAC Policy Brief 31. Future Agricultures Consortium, Brighton; 2009.
82. Ton Giel. Challenges for smallholder market access: A review of literature on institutional arrangements in collective marketing. *Stewart Postharvest Rev.* 2008;5:1-4.
83. Towo E. The gender dimension of rural producer organizations in Tanzania. Working Paper: 131, NIBR. 2004. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7577/nibr/notat/2004/131>
84. Trebbin A, Markus H. Farmers' producer companies in India: A new concept for collective action? *Environ Plan A.* 2012;44:412-27. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1068/a44143>
85. Vadivelu A, Kiran BR. Problems and prospects of agricultural marketing in India: An overview. *Int J Agric Food Sci.* 2013;3(3):108-118.
86. Veenita Kumari, Chaitanya Kumari MS. Success stories of women farmer producer organizations. Report by National Institute of Agricultural Extension Management (MANAGE), Hyderabad, India; 2021.
87. Vorley B, Fearne A, Ray D. Regoverning markets: A place for small-scale producers in modern agrifood chains? Aldershot: Growers Publishing Limited; 2007.
88. Williamson OE. The economic institutions of capitalism. New York: Macmillan; 1985.
89. Wills RL. Evaluating price enhancement by processing cooperatives. *Am J Agric Econ.* 1985;67(2):183-92. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1240669>
90. Wilson GA. The spatiality of multifunctional agriculture: A human geography perspective. *Geoforum.* 2009;40:69-80. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2008.12.007>
91. World Bank. Agriculture for development. World Development Report. The World Bank, Washington, DC; 2008.