P-ISSN: 2618-0723 E-ISSN: 2618-0731



NAAS Rating: 5.04 www.extensionjournal.com

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 7; SP-Issue 11; November 2024; Page No. 115-119

Received: 12-09-2024 Indexed Journal
Accepted: 20-10-2024 Peer Reviewed Journal

Knowledge level of cotton growers about cotton production technology in Khandwa District of Madhya Pradesh

Shivpal Singh¹, Suresh Barde², Girish Patidar³, Jinendra Birla⁴ and MM Patel⁵

1Assistant Professor, Medi-Caps University, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

²Assistant Professor, Medi-Caps University, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

³Assistant Professor, Medi-Caps University, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

⁴Assistant Professor, Medi-Caps University, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

⁵Retd. Professor & Head, RVSKVV, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2024.v7.i11Sb.1348

Corresponding Author: Shivpal Singh

Abstract

Cotton (*Gossypium* spp.) is one of the most important fibre and cash crop of India and plays a leading role in the industrial and agricultural economy of the country. It provides the basic raw material (cotton fibre) to cotton textile industry. The study was conducted in two blocks namely Khandwa and Pandhana block of Khandwa district of Madhya Pradesh. The data were collected from randomly selected 200 cotton growers. In the light of objectives set for the study, the variables studied were knowledge level of cotton growers, socio- economic & personal, communication and psychological attributes like age, education, caste, family size, type of family, annual income, land holding, farm mechanization, extension participation, information seeking behaviour, cosmopoliteness, economic motivation, scientific orientation and risk orientation. The primary data were collected by interviewing the selected respondents with the help of semi structured interview schedule. The study also revealed that the knowledge level of cotton growers was significantly associated with their age, education, family size, family type, land holding, farm mechanization, extension participation, information seeking behaviour, economic motivation, scientific orientation & risk orientation.

Keywords: Cotton, growers, knowledge, production, significant, Behaviour

Introduction

Cotton (Gossypium spp.) is one of the most important fibre and cash crop of India and plays a leading role in the industrial and agricultural economy of the country. It provides the basic raw material (cotton fibre) to cotton textile industry. In India Cotton occupies a predominant place among cash crops touching the country's economy at several points by generating direct and indirect employment in the agricultural and industrial sectors. Cotton is cultivated in three different agro-ecological regions (north, central and south) of the country. The northern zone is almost irrigated, though the percentage of irrigated area is much lower in the central and southern zones. In Madhya Pradesh, cotton occupies an area of about 0.6 million hectare with a production of 19 lakh bales and with a productivity of 527 kg/ha (2020-2021). The productivity of cotton is very poor and this crop is attacked by a number of insects, pests, diseases, nematodes and weeds. So, the aims of the study were to determine the knowledge of cotton growers about cotton production technology and find association between selected socio -economic & personal, communication and psychological characteristics of cotton growers and their knowledge of cotton production technology (Kumar et al.

Materials and Methods

The research study on knowledge level of cotton growers about cotton production practices was conducted during the year 2021-2022 in Pandhana and Khandwa block of Khandwa district (Madhya Pradesh). In the present investigation, descriptive type of ex-post-facto research design was employed. This design was appropriate because the phenomenon had previously happened. Ex-post-facto research is the most logical empirical enquiry in which the researcher does not have any control over independent variables as their appearance has already occurred or as they are inherent and not manipulatable thus, inferences about relations among variables were made without direct intervention from concomitant variation of independent and dependent variables. Khandawa district comprises of 7 blocks namely Khandwa, Punasa, Pandhana, Harsud, Chhaigaon, Baldi and Khalwa. Out of these two blocks namely;Pandhana and Khandwa block were purposively selected, because of highest area under cotton crop. The Pandhana block comprise of 124 villages and Khandwa block comprise of 97 villages. Out of which ten villages were selected on the basis of larger area under cotton crop. From the ten selected villages, 200 cotton growers were selected from each selected village by using proportionate

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 115

random sampling method to make the total sample size for the present study.

The knowledge level of cotton growers with respect to improved cultivation practices of cotton was studied by computing knowledge score. Regarding knowledge, the responses were rated on three-point continuum namely complete knowledge, partial knowledge and no knowledge. A numerical score of 2 was assigned for complete knowledge, a score 1 was assigned for partial knowledge and a zero was assigned for no knowledge. The score of all identified practices was then summed up. This sum total indicates the knowledge score of that particular individual respondent. The scores of all the practices earned by each individual were added together to work out the knowledge score of an individual. The raw score then was converted into knowledge index as mentioned below.

$$Knowledge Index = \frac{Total \ knowledge \ score \ obtained}{Maximum \ obtainable \ knowledge \ score} \quad x \ 100$$

On the basis of knowledge index, the respondents were categorized in to low, medium and high groups based on mean \pm sd

The primary data were collected personally by the researcher by interviewing the selected respondents with the help of semi structured interview schedule.

Results and Discussion

Socio economic personal. communication psychological characteristics of cotton growers: The data presented in (Table 1) revealed that majority of the cotton growers belonged to young and middle age group (87.5%). possessed medium level of education (72%), belonged to other backward caste & general caste (66%), had medium to large size family (80%) and belonged to joint family (63.5%). The data further revealed that, majority of the cotton growers belong to medium to high annual income group (86%), possessed small to medium land holdings (78.5%), medium level of farm mechanization (67%), and extension participation (61%). Furthermore, the data indicated that the majority of the respondents had medium level of information seeking behaviour (74%), economic motivation (75%), scientific orientation ((74%) and risk orientation (68%). The finding is in line with the findings of Jadav et al. (2018)^[7] and Singh et al. (2021)^[12].

Table 1: Distribution of the cotton growers according to their socio-economic &personal, communication and psychological characteristics (N = 200)

S. No.	Characteristic	Categories		%	Mean	SD
	Age	Young (Up to 35 years)	84	42.00		11
1		Middle (36 to 50 years)	87	43.50	40	
		Old (Above 50 years)	29	14.50		
	Education	Low (Up to 1 Score)	37	18.50		
2		Medium (1.00 to 3 Score)	144	72.00	2	1.02
		High (Above 3 Score)		09.50		
	Caste	Scheduled Caste	16	08.00		
2		Scheduled Tribes	52	26.00	2.94	0.97
3		Other Backward Caste	60	30.00	2.94	
		General	72	36.00		
		Small (<4 Members)	38	19.00		
4	Family size	Medium (5 to 8 Members)	111	55.50	2.07	0.67
	-	Large (Above 8 Members)	51	25.50		
-	Б. П.	Nuclear	73	36.50	1.66	0.53
5	Family type	Joint	127	63.50		
		Low income (Up to Rs.1.50 Lakh)	27	13.50		
6	Annual Income	Medium income (Rs1.50 to 3.00 Lakh.)	101	50.50	2.23	0.67
		High income (Above Rs.3.00 Lakh)	72	36.00		
		Marginal farmers (Up to 1ha.)	10	05.00		
7	Land holding	Small farmers (1.01 to 2 ha.)	38	19.00	2.00	0.74
7		Medium farmers (2.01 to 4 ha.)	119	59.50	2.88	
		Large farmers (Above 4 ha.)	33	16.50		
	Farm mechanization	Low (Up to 48 Score)	39	19.50		
8		Medium (49 to 230 Score)	134	67.00	139.17	90.96
		High (Above 230 Score)	27	13.50		
	Extension participation	Low (Up to 1 Score)	55	27.50		
9		Medium (2 to 4 Score)	123	61.50	2.70	1.17
		High (Above 4 Score)	22	11.00		
		Low (Up to 5 Score)	28	14.00		
10	Information seeking behaviour	Medium (6 to 10 Score)	148	74.00	7.82	2.52
	C .	High (Above 10 Score)	24	12.00		
		Low (Up to 4 Score)	75	37.50		
11	Cosmopoliteness	Medium (5 to 7 Score)	104	52.00	5.27	1.66
		High (Above 7 Score)	21	10.50		
		Low (Up to 24 Score)	27	13.50		
12	Economic Motivation	Medium (25 to 33 Score)	151	75.50	28.12	4.41
		High (Above 33 Score)	22	11.00		

www.extensionjournal.com

13			Low (Up to 20 Score)	24	12.00		
		Scientific Orientation	Medium (21 to 29 Score)	149	74.50	24.67	4.37
			High (Above 29 Score)	27	13.50		
			Low (Up to 18 Score)	28	14.00		
	14	Risk Orientation	Medium (19 to 27 Score)	136	68.00	22.83	4.46
			High (Above 27 Score)	36	18.00		

Knowledge level of the cotton growers about recommended cotton production technology: Knowledge level of the cotton growers regarding different practices of cotton cultivation is presented in (Table 2). The perusal of data clearly indicated that the majority of the cotton growers partial complete and possessed knowledge recommended time of application of FYM (90%), suitable inter crop (96%), suitable soil type for cotton crop (97%), intercultural operation (88%), recommended time of sowing of cotton (98%), benefit of summer ploughing (96%), improved varieties (91%) and duration irrigation methods (76%) and spacing and method of sowing (75%). Whereas, about fifty per cent of them did not possessed knowledge

about seed treatment, and use of bio-fertilizer, nearly 70 per cent did not possessed knowledge about suitable trap crop, flower drop and boll drop control. Nearly, three fourth of them did not possessed knowledge about recommended application weedicide and insect management. The data also indicated that huge majority of the respondents did not possessed any knowledge about pheromone trap and light trap (91%), recommended doses of fertilizer (79%) and disease management (78%). The feasible reason of poor knowledge may be lack of education, poor mass media exposure and information seeking behaviour of the cotton growers. The finding is in line with the findings of Bharamagoudar *et al.* (2013)^[1] and Jaday *et al.* (2020)^[6].

Table 2: Practice wise Knowledge level of the cotton growers about recommended cotton production technology N = 200)

S. No.	Describer	Knowledge Level					
5. No.	Practices	Complete Knowledge	Partial Knowledge	No Knowledge	Mean	Rank	
1	Suitable soil type for cotton crop	108	86	06	151	III	
1	Sultable son type for cotton crop	(54.00)	(43.00)	(3.00)	131	111	
2	Benefit of summer ploughing	28	164	08	110	VI	
	Delicite of summer ploughing	(14.00)	(82.00)	(4.00)		V 1	
3	Recommend dose of FYM	48	124	28	110	VI	
3	Recommend dose of 1 11v1	(24.00)	(62.00)	(14.00)		V 1	
4	Application time of FYM	154	26	20	167	I	
_	Application time of 1 1 W	(77.00)	(13.00)	(10.00)		1	
5	Improved varieties and duration of cotton crop	30	152	18	106	VII	
3	improved varieties and duration of cotton crop	(15.00)	(76.00)	(9.00)	100	V 11	
6	Time for sowing of cotton crop	76	122	02	137	V	
U	Time for sowing of cotton crop	(38.00)	(61.00)	(1.00)	137	V	
7	Seed rate of cotton crop	28	102	70	79	X	
,	Seed rate of cotton crop	(14.00)	(51.00)	(35.00)	19	Λ	
8	Charing & mathed of souring	34	116	50	92	IX	
0	Spacing & method of sowing	(17.00)	(58.00)	(25.00)	92		
9	Vnoveledge shout his feetilizer	20	81	99	60.5	XIII	
9	Knowledge about bio-fertilizer	(10.00)	(40.50)	(49.50)			
10	V	21	80	99	<i>C</i> 1	VI	
10	Knowledge about seed treatment	(10.50)	(40.00)	(49.50)	61	XI	
1.1	W 1 1 1 4 4 11 4	22	36	142	40	XIII	
11	Knowledge about suitable trap crop	(11.00)	(18.00)	(71.00)	40	AIII	
12	W 1 1 1 4 4 11 6 14 1	124	68	08	158	П	
12	Knowledge about suitable crops for intercropping	(62.00)	(34.00)	(4.00)	158		
12	T 1.1 1	08	34	158	25	VVIII	
13	Knowledge about recommended doses of fertilizer	(4.00)	(17.00)	(79.00)	25	XVII	
1.4	T7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	90	98	12	120	13.7	
14	Knowledge about intercultural operations	(45.00)	(49.00)	(6.00)	139	IV	
1.5	77 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20	30	150	25	37137	
15	Knowledge about recommended weedicide	(10.00)	(15.00)	(75.00)	35	XIV	
1.0	T7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	54	97	49	100.5	3 / 11 1	
16	Knowledge about irrigation	(27.00)	(48.50)	(24.50)	102.5	VIII	
1.7	Insect management	06	44	150	20	37377	
17		(3.00)	(22.00)	(75.00)	28	XVI	
1.0	D:	12	32	156	20	3/3/1	
18	Disease management	(6.00)	(16.00)	(78.00)	28	XVI	
4.0		08	48	144	22	3737	
19	Flower drops and boll drop control	(4.00)	(24.00)	(72.00)	32	XV	
20	Pheromone trap and light trap	08(4.00)	10(5.00)	182(91.00)	13	XVIII	

Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 117

Overall knowledge level of cotton growers regarding cotton production practices: It can be inferred from the (Table 3) that, the majority of the cotton growers (67.00%) had a medium level of knowledge regarding recommended cotton production practices, followed by low (17.50%) and high (15.50%) level of knowledge regarding recommended cotton production practices respectively. Thus, it may be seen from the data that the majority of cotton growers had medium knowledge regarding recommended improved practices of cotton cultivation. The probable reason behind their knowledge level was the medium level economic motivation, extension participation, information seeking behaviour and annual income. The finding is in line with the findings of Bishnoi *et al.* (2016) [2] and Gohil *et al.* (2016)

Table 3: Distribution of cotton growers according to their overall knowledge score on various cotton production practices

S. No. Categories		Frequency	Percentage
1.	Low (Up to 29 Score)	35	17.50
2.	Medium (30 to 63 Score)	134	67.00
3.	High (Above 63 Score)	31	15.50
Total		200	100.00

(Mean = 45.72, S.D = 16.91)

Association between Socio economic personal,

communication and psychological characteristics of cotton growers and their knowledge level: It is apparent from the (Table 4) that out of fourteen independent variables eight variables namely age, education, family size, type of family, farm mechanization, extension participation, economic motivation, and scientific orientation had significant association at 0.01 level of probability with knowledge level of the cotton growers, while, three variables namely land holding, information seeking behaviour and risk orientation had significant association at 0.5 level of probability with knowledge level of the cotton growers. Therefore the null hypotheses were rejected and original propositions that there would be association between age, education, family size, type of family, farm mechanization, extension participation, economic motivation, and scientific orientation land holding, information seeking behaviour and risk orientation of cotton growers and their level of knowledge was accepted. The remaining three variables viz; caste, annual income and cosmopoliteness had no significant association with knowledge level of the cotton growers. Hence, the null hypotheses were accepted and original propositions that there would be association between caste, annual income & cosmopoliteness of cotton growers and their knowledge level were rejected. The finding is in line with the findings of Bishnoi et al. (2016) [2] and Shahet et al. (2024).

Table 4: Association between Socio economic personal, communication and psychological characteristics of cotton growers and their knowledge level

S. No.	Independent variables	Chi square value (χ²)
1.	Age	39.63**
2.	Education	63.22**
3.	Caste	7.30 ^{NS}
4.	Family size	22.55**
5.	Type of family	66.07**
6.	Annual income	8.64 ^{NS}
7.	Land holding	10.68*
8.	Farm mechanization	64.21**
9.	Extension participation	44.90**
10.	Information seeking behaviour	29.02*
11.	Cosmopoliteness	7.44 ^{NS}
12.	Economic Motivation	39.34**
13.	Scientific Orientation	68.07**
14.	Risk orientation	9.79*

^{*}Significant at 0.05 level of probability

NS = Non-Significant

Conclusion

The study revealed that huge majority of the respondents did not possessed any knowledge about pheromone trap and light trap, recommended doses of fertilizer and disease management. Furthermore the study indicated that the majority of cotton growers had medium level of knowledge regarding cotton production technology. Significant association was observed between knowledge level of cotton growers and their age, education, family size, family type, land holding, farm mechanization, extension participation, information seeking behaviour, economic motivation, scientific orientation and risk orientation. Hence, to improve the knowledge level of cotton growers, the various training programmes and method & result demonstrations should be organised on farmers' field and

extension agencies may also be used information communication technologies for dissemination of cotton production technologies among cotton growers.

Acknowledgement

The authors thankful to the Department of Agricultural Extension and Communication, for providing all the inputs and facilities to carry out this study.

References

- 1. Bharamagoudar MV, Maraddi GN. Extent of knowledge level of farmers regarding Bt cotton production technology. Maharashtra Journal of Extension Education. 2013;20(3):163-167.
- 2. Bishnoi M, Sisodia SS, Ranawat Y. Knowledge of

118

^{**} Significant at 0.01 level of probability

- farmers about improved bt cotton production technology. Journal of progressive agriculture. 2016;7(2):64-68.
- 3. Bishnoi M, Sisodiya SS, Sharma FL, Kumar V. Knowledge of Farmers about Improved Bt Cotton Production Technology. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2017;53(4):121-123.
- Gohil GR, Parakhiya AM, Lakhlani MM. Constraints Faced by Cotton Growers in Crisis Management of Cotton Cultivation in South Saurashtra Agro-Climatic Zone of Gujarat State. AGRES An International e-Journal. 2016;5(3):285-290.
- 5. Itwadiya KK. Study on Technological Gap in cotton Production of Badawaha block of Khargone district Madhya Pradesh. Unpublished Master Thesis (M.Sc.), Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India. 2011;96-100.
- Jadav NB, Sharma PS, Undhad SV. Assessment of perceived knowledge of bt. Cotton growers about integrated pest management technology. Innovative Farming. 2020;5(1):49-53.
- 7. Jadav NB, Undhad SV, Sharma PS. 2018.Growers knowledge and adoption of chemical fertilizer in Bt. cotton. Journal of Krishi Vigyan. 2018;7:69-73.
- 8. Kalidasan T. Knowledge and Adoption Level of Respondents in Recommended Cotton Cultivation Technologies. Advances in; c2019 .p. 21.
- 9. Khandave S, Prajapati MR, Prajapati RR. 2017. Study on technological gap and knowledge of the cotton growers. Gujarat Journal of Extension Education. 2017;28(1):146-151.
- 10. Sarada O, Kumar GS. Knowledge and adoption of recommended production technology by cotton farmers; c2013 .p. 58-64.
- 11. Shah AH, Syed SK, Angrej A, Naqeeb R. Knowledge of the recommended package of practices by the almond growers of pampore area of Kashmir valley; c2024 .p. 322-328.
- 12. Singh G, Singh P, Sodhi GP. Assessment and analysis of agricultural technology adoption in cotton cultivation in southwestern Punjab. Agricultural Research Journal. 2021;58(2):155-160.
- 13. Ullah MK, Amir RM, Shahbaz B, Rasul F. Assessing awareness and adoption levels of sustainable cotton production practices among cotton growers in Punjab. International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences. 2023;2(4):916-927.
- 14. Wagan SA, Memon QU, Tan Y, Damalas CA, Memon A, Sheikh MJ. Farmers knowledge of pests and decision for pesticide selection in cotton impact on production efficiency levels in southern Pakistan. International Journal of Pest Management. 2023;30:1-10.

<u>www.extensionjournal.com</u> 119